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Preface

About the Object Management Group (OMG)

The Object Management Group, Inc. (OMG) is an international organization supported by over 600 members, including information system vendors, software developers and users. Founded in 1989, the OMG promotes the theory and practice of object-oriented technology in software development. The organization's charter includes the establishment of industry guidelines and object management specifications to provide a common framework for application development. Primary goals are the reusability, portability, and interoperability of object-based software in distributed, heterogeneous environments. Conformance to these specifications will make it possible to develop a heterogeneous applications environment across all major hardware platforms and operating systems.

OMG’s objectives are to foster the growth of object technology and influence its direction by establishing the Object Management Architecture (OMA). The OMA provides the conceptual infrastructure upon which all OMG specifications are based.

Associated OMG Documents

The CORBA documentation set includes the following:

- CORBA: Common Object Request Broker Architecture and Specification contains the architecture and specifications for the Object Request Broker.
- CORBA services: Common Object Services Specification contains specifications for the object services.
- CORBA facilities: Common Facilities Architecture contains information about the design of Common Facilities; it provides the framework for Common Facility specifications.
- Object Management Architecture Guide defines the OMG’s technical objectives and terminology and describes the conceptual models upon which OMG standards are based. It also provides information about the policies and procedures of OMG, such as how standards are proposed, evaluated, and accepted.
OMG collects information for each book in the documentation set by issuing Requests for Information, Requests for Proposals, and Requests for Comment and, with its membership, evaluating the responses. Specifications are adopted as standards only when representatives of the OMG membership accept them as such by vote. To obtain books in the documentation set, or other OMG publications, refer to the enclosed subscription card or contact the Object Management Group, Inc. at:

OMG Headquarters
250 First Avenue
Needham, MA 02494
Tel: +1-781-444-0404
Fax: +1-781-444-0320
pubs@omg.org
http://www.omg.org

OMG’s adoption of the UML specification reduces the degree of confusion within the industry surrounding modeling languages. It settles unproductive arguments about method notations and model interchange mechanisms and allows the industry to focus on higher leverage, more productive activities. Additionally, it enables semantic interchange between visual modeling tools.

Introduction to CWM

The main purpose of CWM is to enable easy interchange of warehouse and business intelligence metadata between warehouse tools, warehouse platforms and warehouse metadata repositories in distributed heterogeneous environments. CWM is based on three key industry standards:

- UML - Unified Modeling Language, an OMG modeling standard
- MOF - Meta Object Facility, an OMG metamodelling and metadata repository standard
- XMI - XML Metadata Interchange, an OMG metadata interchange standard

These three standards form the core of the OMG metadata repository architecture as illustrated in the figure below.
Common Warehouse Metamodel, v 1.1

The UML standard defines a rich, object oriented modeling language that is supported by a range of graphical design tools. The MOF standard defines an extensible framework for defining models for metadata, and providing tools with programmatic interfaces to store and access metadata in a repository. The XMI standard allows metadata to be interchanged as streams or files with a standard format based on XML. The complete architecture offers a wide range of implementation choices to developers of tools, repositories and object frameworks. XMI in particular lowers the barrier to entry for the use of OMG metadata standards.

Key aspects of the architecture include:

- A four layered metamodeling architecture for general purpose manipulation of metadata in distributed object repositories. See the MOF and UML specifications for more details
- The use of UML notation for representing metamodels and models
- The use of standard information models (UML) to describe the semantics of object analysis and design models
- The use of MOF to define and manipulate metamodels programmatically using fine grained CORBA interfaces. This approach leverages the strength of CORBA distributed object infrastructure.
- The use of XMI for stream based interchange of metadata

This specification mainly consists of definitions of metamodels in the following domains:

- Object model (a subset of UML)
- CWM foundation
- Relational data resources
• Record data resources
• Multidimensional data resources
• XML data resources
• Data transformations
• OLAP (On-line Analytical Processing)
• Data mining
• Information visualization
• Business nomenclature
• Warehouse process
• Warehouse operation

This specification defines these metamodels and provides proof of concept that covers key aspects of CWM. The specification represents the integration of work currently underway by the submitters and supporters in the areas of warehouse metadata management in distributed object environments. The submitters intend to commercialize the CWM technology within the guidelines of the OMG.

The adoption of the UML and MOF specifications in 1997 was a key step forward for the OMG and the industry in terms of achieving consensus on modeling technology and repositories. The adoption of XMI in 1999 reduced the plethora of proprietary metadata interchange formats into one. The adoption of CWM in 2000 has solidified these core technologies by demonstrating their applicability in data warehousing and business intelligence - a major industry domain, as well as solving the most critical problem facing data warehousing and business intelligence today - metadata interchange and management.

Other Parts of the Specification

Volume 2 Extensions

Contains the CWM Extensions (CWMX), which consist of: Entity Relationship, COBOL Data Division, DMS II, IMS, Essbase, Express, InformationSet, and Information Reporting. This volume is not a normative part of the CWM specification.

CWM XML, IDL and DTD files

Contain the CWM XML, CWM IDL and CWM DTD.

In the generation of CWM XML and CWM DTD files:

a. The CWM metamodel identifies the XML namespaces using MOF Tags.

b. No data type model is used for the metadata beyond what is directly supported by MOF.

c. Any special string encodings are described in the documentation of string valued attributes where such encodings apply.
CWMX XML, IDL and DTD files

Contain the CWMX XML, CWMX IDL and CWMX DTD. These files are not a normative part of the CWM specification.

In the generation of CWMX XML and CWMX DTD files:

a. The CWMX metamodel identifies the XML namespaces using MOF Tags.

b. No data type model is used for the metadata beyond what is directly supported by MOF.

c. Any special string encodings are described in the documentation of string valued attributes where such encodings apply.

CWM/CWMX MDL files

Contain the CWM/CWMX MDL. These files are not a normative part of the CWM specification.

Co-submitting Companies and Supporters

The following companies are co-submitters of the Common Warehouse Metamodel specification (hereafter referred to as CWM):
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1.1 Design Overview

This specification proposes that XML Metadata Interchange (XMI) is used to interchange data warehouse metadata based on the CWM metamodel. The CWM metamodel is specified using the Meta Object Facility (MOF) Model, allowing XMI to be used to

- transform the CWM metamodel into a CWM Document Type Definition (DTD),
- transfer instances of warehouse metadata that conform to the CWM metamodel as XML documents, based on the CWM DTD, and
- transform the CWM metamodel itself into an XML document, based on the MOF DTD, for interchange between MOF-compliant repositories.

Thus these specifications work together to allow warehouse metadata and the CWM metamodel to be interchanged using W3C’s Extensible Markup Language (XML).
This specification additionally proposes that IDL is used for specifying programmatic access to data warehouse metadata based on the CWM metamodel. Other programming language APIs may be generated based on the CWM IDL and specific IDL-programming language mappings (for example, IDL-Java, CORBA-COM).

This specification specifically defines the CWM metamodel. The CWM DTD, CWM XML, and CWM IDL specifications are automatically generated from the CWM metamodel, as defined by the MOF and XMI specifications.

Note – Equivalent models have the same data structures and relationships. Equivalent XML documents are documents equivalent models when the Stream Consumption rules are applied to the two documents.

1.2 CWM and the MOF

1.2.1 An Overview of the MOF

The Meta Object Facility (MOF) is the OMG’s adopted technology for defining metadata and representing it as CORBA objects. Metadata is a general term for data that in some sense describes information. The information so described may be information represented in a computer system; for example, in the form of files, databases, running program instances, and so on. Alternatively, the information may be embodied in some system, with the metadata being a description of some aspect of the system such as a part of its design.

The MOF supports any kind of metadata that can be described using Object Modeling techniques. This metadata may describe any aspect of a system and the information it contains, and may describe it to any level of detail and rigor depending on the metadata requirements.

The term model is generally used to denote a description of something from the real world. The concept of a model is highly fluid, and depends on one’s point of view. To someone who is concerned with building or understanding an entire system, a model would include all of the metadata for the system. On the other hand, most people are only concerned with certain components (for example, programs A and B) or certain kinds of detail (for example, record definitions) of the system.

In the MOF context, the term model has a broader meaning. Here, a model is any collection of metadata that is related in the following ways:

- The metadata conforms to rules governing its structure and consistency; that is, it has a common abstract syntax.
- The metadata has meaning in a common (often implied) semantic framework.

Metadata is itself a kind of information, and can accordingly be described by other metadata. In MOF terminology, metadata that describes metadata is called *metametadata*, and a model that consists of meta-metadata is called a *metamodel*. 
One kind of metamodel plays a central role in the MOF. An **MOF metamodel** defines the abstract syntax of the metadata in the MOF representation of a model. Since there are many kinds of metadata in a typical system, the MOF framework needs to support many different MOF metamodels. The MOF integrates these metamodels by defining a common abstract syntax for defining metamodels. This abstract syntax is called the **MOF Model** and is a model for metamodels; that is, a meta-metamodel. The MOF metadata framework is typically depicted as a four layer architecture as shown in Table 1-1.

### Table 1-1 OMG Metadata Architecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meta-level</th>
<th>MOF terms</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>meta-metamodel</td>
<td>The “MOF Model”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>metamodel, meta-metadata</td>
<td>UML Metamodel, CWM Metamodel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>model, metadata</td>
<td>UML models, CWM metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>object, data</td>
<td>Modeled systems, Warehouse data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some points on OMG and MOF metadata terminology:

- To make things easier to understand, we often describe things in terms of their level in the meta-stack; for example, the MOF Model is an M3-level model in a 4 level stack.
- The “meta-” prefix should be viewed in a relative rather than absolute sense. Similarly, the numbering of meta-levels is not absolute.
- While there are typically four layers in an MOF-based metadata stack, the number of layers can be more or less than this.

The MOF specification has three core parts; that is, the specification of the MOF Model, the MOF IDL Mapping, and the MOF’s interfaces.

#### 1.2.1.1 The MOF Model

The “MOF Model” is the MOF’s built-in meta-metamodel. One can think of it as the “abstract language” for defining MOF metamodels. This is analogous to the way that the UML metamodel is an abstract language for defining UML models. While the MOF and UML are designed for two different kinds of modeling; that is, metadata versus object modeling, the MOF Model and the core of the UML metamodel are closely aligned in their modeling concepts. (The alignment of the two models is close enough to allow UML notation to be used to express MOF-based metamodels!)

The three main metadata modeling constructs provided by the MOF are the Class, Association, and Package. These are similar to their counterparts in UML, with some simplifications:
Classes can have Attributes and Operations at both “object” and “class” level. Attributes have the obvious usage; that is, representation of metadata. Operations are provided to support metamodel specific functions on the metadata. Both Attributes and Operation Parameters may be defined as “ordered,” or as having structural constraints on their cardinality and uniqueness. Classes may multiply inherit from other Classes.

Associations support binary links between Class “instances.” Each Association has two AssociationEnds that may specify “ordering” or “aggregation” semantics, and structural constraints on cardinality or uniqueness. When a Class is the type of an AssociationEnd, the Class may contain a Reference that allows navigability of the Association’s links from a Class “instance.”

Packages are collections of related Classes and Associations. Packages can be composed by importing other Packages or by inheriting from them. Packages can also be nested, though this provides a form of information hiding rather than reuse.

The other significant MOF Model constructs are DataTypes and Constraints. DataTypes allow the use of non-object types for Parameters or Attributes. In the OMG MOF specification, these must be data types or interface types expressible in CORBA IDL.

Constraints are used to associate semantic restrictions with other elements in an MOF metamodel. This defines the well-formedness rules for the metadata described by a metamodel. Any language may be used to express Constraints, though there are obvious advantages in using a formal language like OCL.

1.2.1.2 The MOF IDL Mapping

The MOF’s “IDL Mapping” is a standard set of templates that map an MOF metamodel onto a corresponding set of CORBA IDL interfaces. If the input to the mapping is the metamodel for a given kind of metadata, then the resulting IDL interfaces are for CORBA objects that can represent that metadata. The mapped IDL are typically used in a repository for storing the metadata.

The IDL mapping is too large to describe here, and indeed it is largely irrelevant to the problem of model interchange. Instead, we will simply note the main correspondences between elements in a MOF metamodel (M2-level entities) and the CORBA objects that represent metadata (M1-level entities):

- A Class in the metamodel maps onto an IDL interface for metadata objects and a metadata class proxy. These interfaces support the Operations, Attributes, and References defined in the metamodel, and in the case of class proxy, provide a factory operation for metadata objects.
- An Association maps onto an interface for a metadata association proxy that supports association queries and updates.
- A Package maps onto an interface for a metadata package proxy. A package proxy acts as a holder for the proxies for the Classes and Associations contained by the Package, and therefore serves to define a logical extent for metadata associations, classifier level attributes and the like.
The IDL that is produced by the mapping is defined in precise detail so that different vendor implementations of the MOF can generate compatible repository interfaces from a given MOF metamodel. Similarly, the semantic specification of the mapped interfaces allows metadata objects to be interoperable.

In addition to the metamodel specific interfaces for the metadata (defined by the IDL mapping), MOF metadata objects share a common set of Reflective base interfaces. These interfaces allow a ‘generic’ client program to access and update metadata without either being compiled against the metamodel’s generated IDL or having to use the CORBA DII.

### 1.2.1.3 The MOF Interfaces

The final component of the MOF specification is the set of IDL interfaces for the CORBA objects that represent an MOF metamodel. These are not of interest to the meta-modeler who will typically use vendor supplied graphical editors, compilers and generator tools to access an MOF Model repository. However, they are of interest to MOF-based tool vendors, and to programmers who need to access metadata using the Reflective interfaces.

In fact, there is not a lot to say about these interfaces, except to explain how they were derived. In the MOF specification, the MOF Model is defined using the MOF Model as its own modeling language; that is, it is the “fixed point” of the metadata stack. Conceptually, the MOF Model is M3 level metadata conforming to an M4 level metamodel that is isomorphic to the MOF Model. The IDL mapping is then applied to this metamodel (or strictly speaking meta-metamodel) to produce the MOF Model’s IDL interfaces. Likewise, the MOF Model IDL’s operational semantics are largely defined by the mapping and the OCL constraints in the MOF Model specification.

### 1.2.2 The Relationship between CWM and MOF

The MOF has been adopted as OMG’s standard for representing metamodels. The CWM metamodel has been designed to conform to this standard. This allows CWM to use other OMG specifications that are dependent on the MOF. In particular, it allows use of XMI to interchange warehouse metadata that is represented using the CWM metamodel, and it allows use of IDL (and other programming languages) for programmatic access to warehouse metadata based on the CWM metamodel.

### 1.3 CWM and UML

#### 1.3.1 An Overview of UML

The Unified Modeling Language (UML) is a graphical language for modeling discrete systems. Although the UML is not necessarily tied to any particular application area or modeling process, its greatest applicability is in the area of object-oriented software design.
UML is the synthesis, or unification, of three preceding modeling languages that had previously dominated the field of object-oriented software development: The Booch (Grady Booch), OMT (James Rumbaugh), and OOSE (Ivar Jacobson) notational systems were combined together by their authors into the Unified Modeling Language, at Rational Software Corporation, in the 1994-1995 time frame.

The UML definition was subsequently submitted by Rational and a number of other OMG member companies, as a proposal to the Object Management Group in September, 1997, in response to an OMG RFP (OA&DTF RFP-1), requesting a standard approach to object-oriented modeling. The UML submission was created by a team consisting of both its original authors and representatives from the various OMG submitters. The UML submission was subsequently ratified by the OMG in November 1997. Today, UML, along with the Meta Object Facility and XML Meta Data Interchange specifications, serves as one of the cornerstones of the OMG metadata architecture (of which CWM is a domain-specific extension).

The various modeling elements of UML support the specification of both static and behavioral aspects of discrete, object-oriented systems. UML static models include the definition of classes, their attributes, operations, and interfaces. Standard relationships between classes, such as inheritance/generalization, association, dependency and containment can be specified under UML and are used in the construction of class diagrams. The behavioral semantics of the system being modeled can be specified using UML conventions for expressing time-ordered inter-object message sequencing (sequence diagrams) and spatially-oriented collaborations between instances (collaboration diagrams). Support for the specification of state-machines is also provide for detailed modeling of object internals. UML also supports object-oriented analysis and the modeling of external system behavior through use case diagrams. Finally, UML provides notations for specifying the packaging of a logical design into components and the deployment and allocation of those components to nodes in a distributed computing architecture.

The UML language is formally defined by a metamodel (or semantic model) that is itself defined recursively, using UML. This meta-circular definition enables the entire UML to be based on a small number of elementary terms.

1.3.2 The Relationship between CWM and UML

A primary objective of the CWM is to define a metamodel (or, equivalently, a “metadata model”) of a generic data warehouse architecture. Thus, the CWM metamodel defines formal rules for modeling instances of data warehouses. However, there is also a requirement for the CWM metamodel to be expressed in MOF (and thus enabled for interchange via either CORBA interfaces or XMI).

The CWM metamodel includes an Object Model package, which is based on the UML metamodel. It consists of a version of the UML metamodel in which those aspects that are not relevant in a data warehouse scenario have been removed. This Object Model serves two purposes:

- as the base on which the CWM metamodel is built, and
- as the metamodel for object-oriented data resources.
The CWM metamodel is effectively an extension of the UML-based Object Model. Any metaclass within CWM ultimately (if not directly) inherits from some metaclass of the Object Model. For example, consider the CWM Relational Package. The Relational metamodel defines a metaclass called “Table” that represents any relational database table. This metaclass derives from the Object Model metaclass “Class.” Similarly, the Relational metaclass “Column” derives from the Object Model metaclass “Attribute.” This formally establishes the semantic relationship between the relational concepts of Table and Column that it is well understood intuitively; that is, that Table is “something” that has properties (or attributes) and serves as a template for a collection of “things;” that is, rows that all share that same set of properties but supply their own “values” of those properties. The semantic equivalent in UML is the notion of a Class and its Attributes, and this equivalence is established by defining Table as a specialization of the notion of Class, and Column as a specialization of Attribute.

The UML specification is also used in the following ways:

- The UML notation is used in the diagrammatic representations of the CWM metamodel.
- Additional constraints on the CWM metamodel are represented in Object Constraint Language (OCL), as defined in the UML specification.

### 1.4 CWM and XMI

#### 1.4.1 An Overview of XMI

The purpose of XMI is to allow the interchange of models in a serialized form. Since the MOF is the OMG’s adopted technology for representing metadata, it is natural that XMI focuses on the interchange of MOF metadata; that is, metadata conforming to a MOF metamodel. In fact, XMI is really a pair of parallel mappings: one between MOF metamodels and XML DTDs, and another between MOF metadata and XML documents.

XMI can be viewed as a common metadata interchange format that is independent of middleware technology. Any metadata repository or tool that can encode and decode XMI streams can exchange metadata with other repositories or tools with the same capability. There is no need for products to implement the MOF-defined CORBA interfaces, or even to “speak” CORBA at all.

XMI provides a possible route for interchange of metadata with repositories whose metamodels are not MOF based. This interchange can be realized by ad hoc mappings between an XMI document and the repository’s native metamodel.

XMI is based on the W3C’s Extensible Markup Language (XML), and has two major components:

- The XML DTD Production Rules for producing XML Document Type Definitions (DTDs) for XMI encoded metadata. XMI DTDs serve as syntax specifications for XMI documents, and allow generic XML tools to be used to compose and validate XMI documents.
• The XML Document Production Rules for encoding metadata into an XML compatible format. The production rules can be applied in reverse to decode XMI documents and reconstruct the metadata.

XMI supports the interchange of any kind of metadata that can be expressed using the MOF specification. It supports the encoding of metadata consisting of both complete models and model fragments, as well as tool-specific extension metadata. XMI has optional support for interchange of metadata in differential form, and for metadata interchange with tools that have incomplete understanding of the metadata.

### 1.4.2 The Relationship between CWM and XMI

CWM uses XMI as its interchange mechanism. This means that the full power and flexibility of XMI is available for interchanging both warehouse metadata and the CWM metamodel itself. CWM does not require any extensions to XMI.

A standard DTD for the CWM metamodel is generated using XMI’s DTD Production Rules. Warehouse metadata can then be encoded as an XML document using XMI’s Document Production Rules.

A standard XML document for the CWM metamodel is also generated using XMI’s Document Production Rules, based on the MOF DTD.

### 1.5 Design Rationale

#### 1.5.1 Reuse of UML Concepts

The CWM metamodel has as its base an Object Model based on a version of the UML metamodel in which those aspects that are not relevant in a data warehouse scenario have been removed. The CWM metamodel is built on top of and extends this Object Model.

Many of the core UML object types and associations are reflected by the CWM Object Model. Wherever appropriate, Object Model types are subtyped to provide more specific object types in the CWM metamodel, normally with additional attributes or associations. All CWM object types are direct or indirect subtypes of appropriate Object Model types, and so inherit their attributes and associations.

This approach has many advantages. It allows the CWM specification to capitalize on the substantial investment in developing and refining the UML metamodel. The general awareness of UML concepts should aid understanding of the CWM specification and its base Object Model. It also enables easy inclusion of UML models as part of the data warehouse metadata.

#### 1.5.2 Modularity

The CWM metamodel is split up into a set of packages. This aids comprehension of the metamodel by splitting it up into smaller units, and allows users and implementors to ignore packages that are not relevant to their needs.
The CWM metamodel has a layered structure:

- The foundation consists of the UML-based Object Model and the CWM Foundation, which supports additional concepts and structures that are shared by other packages. Additionally, the Software Deployment package supports the deployment information for the data sources and targets in the next layer.

- The Relational, Record, Multidimensional, and XML packages support the definition of various types of data sources and data targets.

- The Transformation, OLAP, Data Mining, Information Visualization, and Business Nomenclature packages define the transformations and analytical processing that takes place on these data sources.

- Finally, the Warehouse Process package supports scheduling information, and the Warehouse Operation package is used to record operational details such as the results of transformation runs.

1.5.3 Generic Model

Much attention has been taken to ensure that the CWM metamodel has been made as generic as possible, and that only information that is shareable between different implementations has been included in the metamodel. Shareability of information has been checked and refined by examining the metadata needs of several different, but representative, implementations as well as a broad range of representative warehouse configurations.
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2.1 Overview

This chapter describes some of the problems that data warehousing users, administrators, developers, and vendors face today and illustrates how CWM helps to address these problems.

As stated in Section 1.5.3, “Generic Model,” on page 1-9, a design goal of CWM is to be independent of any specific data warehouse implementation and to contain features that are effective and easy to use in a broad range of representative warehouse configurations based on specific tools. The usage scenarios contained in this chapter are provided to demonstrate that this design goal is met.

In addition these usage scenarios illustrate problem domains in which CWM is applicable.
2.2 Users of CWM

CWM is targeted at six categories of users:

1. Warehouse platform and tool vendors
2. Professional service providers
3. Warehouse developers
4. Warehouse administrators
5. End users
6. Information technology managers

These users participate in one or more of the following four stages in the development and usage of CWM-based data warehouses:

1. Establishment - Implementing and deploying CWM, including a Repository Common Facility (as shown in the Preface’s OMG Metadata Repository Architecture figure).

2. Build - Exercising CWM to define a baseline data warehouse configuration (establishing the exchange paths between known data sources and targets).

3. Operation - Operating the CWM-based data warehouse.

4. Maintenance - Exercising CWM to define changes in data warehouse configuration (to cover changes as small as the addition of more elements of a type already in the configuration and as large as merger with or replacement by another configuration).

This chapter presents usage scenarios that illustrate activities in the Build and Maintenance steps.
Table 2-1 shows how CWM benefits users in data warehouse development and usage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Category</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Problem or Need</th>
<th>Tools and Repositories</th>
<th>How CWM promotes better Data Warehouse utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Warehouse platform and tool vendors | Build     | Must subscribe to standards for inter-vendor interconnect. | • CWM  
• OMG Repository Common Facility  
• Tools for modeling, development, deployment, and system management | CWM provides a common backplane for pluggable subsystems. It is a globally usable notation for metadata exchange protocols, which enables flexible distribution of enterprise services over a heterogeneous collection of systems. |
| Professional service providers    | Build     | Must accumulate and reuse objects from service engagement. | Third party and in-house tools that apply CWM metadata to concrete database catalogs and vice versa. | Reusable, editable, and extensible CWM metadata provides an asset base that builds value. This base of reusable objects starts a self-reinforcing feedback loop with continually increasing returns (improved engagement productivity). |
| Professional service providers    | Maintenance | Must modify configuration: knowing what and where to modify; knowing dependency closure. | Third party or in-house tools to manage reconfiguration editing of a warehouse model. | CWM exposes the information required to modify a model. Context definition and self-describing features of CWM are used to isolate dependency relationships. |
| Professional service providers, warehouse administrators | Maintenance | Must integrate existing tools and data which adhere to standards other than CWM into a data warehouse configuration. | Tools based on CWM's ability to incorporate metamodels of legacy, web, proprietary, and alternate metadata definition practices and standards. | CWM provides submodels supporting details of information held in a variety of different formats, including XML, Relational SQL, and conventional file formats. |
| Warehouse administrators          | Build     | Must establish and manage expressions, relationships, and lineage over multiple database schemata. | Tools that use built-in facilities of CWM to define schema content, relationships, and lineage. | CWM design is based on need to manage such information at multiple levels. The Transformation and Warehouse Operation packages are designed to allow navigation of metadata correlated to schemata. |
| Warehouse administrators          | Maintenance | Must add, subtract, repartition, reallocate, or merge resources in deployment configuration. | System management tools. | CWM consists of models of metadata that assist in making such changes and allow impact of these changes to be assessed. |
2.3 Usage Scenarios

This section identifies four application scenarios and six tool scenarios outlining likely usages of CWM. The application scenarios cover key data warehousing activities. These are summarized in sections 2.3.1 through 2.3.4 and illustrated in Table 2-2 on page 2-6. The tool scenarios in section 2.3.5 and Table 2-3 on page 2-7 cover some significant data warehousing tools from the submitters used in present day practice.

The purpose of these scenarios is purely to illustrate potential usage of CWM.

In warehouse operations, two common categories of data movement are (a) loading data into a data store, and (b) accessing data for analysis and presentation from the data store. The ETL Scenario addresses the first category. The OLAP Scenario addresses the second category.

2.3.1 ETL Scenario

Extract-Transform-Load (ETL) is a common term for the warehouse load process comprising a set of data movement operations, each from a data source to a data target with some transforming or restructuring logic applied.

The ETL Scenario starts by defining a CWM Transformation model for movement from a data source to a data target. Parameters of the source data, target data, and transformation logic are assigned values in the model. Source data parameters depend on the type of the data source (object-oriented, relational, record-oriented, multidimensional, or XML). Target data parameters are similarly chosen. Transformation logic parameters include identification of a transformation component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Category</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Problem or Need</th>
<th>Tools and Repositories</th>
<th>How CWM promotes better Data Warehouse utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse developers</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Must view source, target, application descriptions (including interfaces).</td>
<td>Tools to facilitate development with ability to refer to information in metadata repository.</td>
<td>CWM includes containers for description at fine and coarse grain levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End users</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Must know • refresh state of inputs and outputs of queries, • mapping between models for transfer of data sets between tools, and • transformation rules.</td>
<td>Query and presentation tools</td>
<td>CWM presents models of metadata to be exploited by query and presentation tools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology managers</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Must have visibility into warehouse deployment state.</td>
<td>System management and report tools</td>
<td>CWM presents models of metadata to be exploited by system management and report tools.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2-1 Value of CWM to Users
and of data sources and data targets. The transformation component is a method composed of a possibly large hierarchy of components (commercial tools, commercial libraries, custom scripts) whose detailed structure is defined elsewhere.

An ETL process is realized by a number of components across several CWM packages. A CWM warehouse process may launch an ETL process as a scheduled operation consisting of a number of transformation steps executed in sequence.

For example, the first transformation consists of the extraction and filtering of data from any of a number of possible data sources. A second transformation cleanses, combines, or otherwise reduces the data and then stores it in a normalized format in some primary relational database of the warehouse. A third transformation selects certain rows from the primary relational database and loads their values into the input cells of a multidimensional database. Finally, the CWM warehouse process might instruct the multidimensional database to re-calculate its aggregated cells based on the new input data.

2.3.2 OLAP Scenario

An end user of a data warehouse engages in an analytic session with a multidimensional or relational database through the OLAP layer. The user navigates cubes and dimensions and selectively launches OLAP queries. At some point, the user decides to drill-down from a consolidated value to lower levels of detail in an OLAP hierarchy, possibly down to the lowest level input value(s) in the hierarchy.

Leveraging CWM’s inherent ability to preserve data lineage, the user may view the operational detail, which formed the input value(s). The original data sources can be identified from the CWM Warehouse Operation that recorded the production of the input value(s).

2.3.3 Questionnaire Scenario

An important aspect of data warehousing is the collection of raw data from external resources using for example application-generated reports, questionnaires, or surveys. To allow for inter-operability of tools supporting raw data collection, the metadata identifying the data to be collected must be defined, together with metadata that can be used to ensure accuracy and validity of data.

Questionnaires are commonly used as a means of collecting data about real-world activities, processes, and opinions. Most of us experience questionnaires as paper documents. However, technological advances are making possible on-line acquisition of questionnaire data and generation of questionnaires from automated sources, such as application systems.

Once assimilated, questionnaire data can be stored in data warehouses for further statistical processing and analysis. The inherent multi-category, hierarchical nature of questionnaire responses makes them ideal candidates for multidimensional analysis. Once questionnaire data has been transformed by an ETL process into a multidimensional data store, it becomes available for analysis with OLAP tools.
2.3.4 Warehouse Administration Scenario

A warehouse administrator needs access to all the necessary information to control and monitor the state of the data warehouse. To accomplish this, ETL processes need to be scheduled to update information in the data warehouse. Monitoring ETL operations and journalizing changes to data warehouses must be performed for a variety of data integrity, organizational, and regulatory reasons. In the event of problems arising, the administrator needs to be able to take appropriate action (such as initiating a rerun of a set of warehouse processes).

For information held in the data warehouse, the administrator may need to determine its source, derivation, and update history. This involves identifying transformations that created the information and determining when they last ran. Because the source of a transformation may itself be another transformation, it may be necessary for the administrator to track backward through several transformations to identify the original source(s) of the information.

Table 2-2 Application Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWM Package</th>
<th>ETL (Extract, Transform, Load)</th>
<th>OLAP</th>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Warehouse Administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Software Deployment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object-Oriented (UML)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-dimensional</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XML</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLAP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Mining</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Visualization</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Nomenclature</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse Process</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse Operation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.5 Tool Scenarios

The following tool scenarios cover some significant data warehousing tools from the submitters used in present day practice:
- Dimension EDI -- Polyval XML Mediator, Polyval XML Questionnaire
- Hyperion -- Hyperion Essbase OLAP Server, Hyperion Integration Server, Hyperion Application Link, Hyperion Analytical Reporting
- IBM -- Visual Warehouse, DB2 Family, DB2 OLAP Server, IMS, Team Connection
- NCR -- Teradata Warehouse Suite
- Oracle -- Oracle Express, Oracle 8i, Oracle Discoverer, Oracle Warehouse Builder, Oracle Repository
- Unisys -- Unisys Universal Repository (UREP)

*Table 2-3 Tool Scenarios*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWM Package</th>
<th>Dimension EDI</th>
<th>Hyperion</th>
<th>IBM</th>
<th>NCR</th>
<th>Oracle</th>
<th>Unisys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWM and Metadata Repository Facility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Deployment</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-dimensional</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XML</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLAP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Mining</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Visualization</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Nomenclature</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse Process</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse Operation</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.1 Overview

The amount of data in a given organization doubles every five years. Most organizations suffer from an overabundance of redundant and inconsistent data that is difficult to manage effectively, to access, and to use for decision making purposes. Data warehousing provides an excellent approach for transforming data into useful and reliable information to support the business decision making process and to achieve business intelligence. One of the most important aspects of data warehousing is metadata. Metadata is used for building, maintaining, managing, and using the data warehouse. Unfortunately, the proliferation of data management and analysis tools has resulted in almost as many different representations and treatments of metadata as there are tools.

Since every data management and analysis tool requires different metadata and a different metadata model (known as a metamodel) to solve the data warehouse metadata problem, it is simply not possible to have a single metadata repository that implements a single metamodel for all the metadata in an organization. Instead, what is needed is a standard for interchange of warehouse metadata.
The CWM is a response to these needs. It provides a framework for representing metadata about data sources, data targets, transformations, and analysis, and the processes and operations that create and manage warehouse data and provide lineage information about its use.

The CWM Metamodel consists of a number of sub-metamodels that represent common warehouse metadata in the following major areas of interest to data warehousing and business intelligence (see Figure 3-1):

- **Data Resources** -- These include metamodels that represent object-oriented, relational, record, multidimensional, and XML data resources. In the case of object-oriented data resource, CWM reuses the base object model.
- **Data Analysis** -- These include metamodels that represent data transformations, OLAP (On-line Analytical Processing), data mining, information visualization, and business nomenclature.
- **Warehouse Management** -- These include metamodels that represent warehouse processes and results of warehouse operations.

![The CWM Metamodel](image)

_Figure 3-1 CWM Metamodel_

The CWM Metamodel is designed to maximize the reuse of Object Model (a subset of UML) and the sharing of common modeling constructs where possible. The most prominent example is that CWM reuses/depends on Object Model for representing object-oriented data resources. In addition, where applicable, key elements of the
metamodels for other types of data resources all subclass from the same model elements in Object Model, as shown in Table 3-1. (The entries listed under Software System and Deployed Software System are examples.)

### Table 3-1 CWM Data Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software System</th>
<th>Deployed Software System</th>
<th>Package</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Object Model</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Package</td>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Attribute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational</td>
<td>DB2 UDB, Oracle 8i, Teradata</td>
<td>Catalog/Schema</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Column</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.1.1 The Roles of UML in CWM

UML is used in CWM in three different critical roles:

1. UML is used as the MOF-equivalent meta-metamodel. UML, or the part that corresponds to the MOF Model, UML Notation, and OCL (Object Constraint Language) are used as the modeling language, graphical notation, and constraint language, respectively, for defining and representing CWM.

2. UML is used as the foundation metamodel. UML, specifically a subset as represented by the Object Model packages, is used as the foundation of CWM from which other metamodels inherit classes and associations.

3. UML is used as the object-oriented metamodel. UML, specifically the Object Model package, is relied on for representing object-oriented data resources.

### 3.2 Organization of the CWM

The CWM Metamodel uses packages and a hierarchical package structure to control complexity, promote understanding, and support reuse. The model elements are contained in the following packages:

**ObjectModel package**

- Core package - Contains classes and associations that form the core of the CWM object model, which are used by all other CWM packages including other ObjectModel packages.
- Behavioral package - Contains classes and associations that describe the behavior of CWM objects and provide a foundation for describing the invocations of defined behaviors.
- Relationships package - Contains classes and associations that describe the relationships between CWM objects.
- Instance package - Contains classes and associations that represents instances of CWM classifiers.
Foundation package

- Business Information package - Contains classes and associations that represent business information about model elements.
- Data Types package - Contains classes and associations that represent constructs that modelers can use to create the specific data types they need.
- Expressions package - Contains classes and associations that represent expression trees.
- Keys and Indexes package - Contains classes and associations that represent keys and indexes.
- Software Deployment package - Contains classes and associations that represent how software is deployed in a data warehouse.
- Type Mapping package - Contains classes and associations that represent mapping of data types between different systems.

Resource package

- Relational package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of relational data resources.
- Record package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of record data resources.
- Multidimensional package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of multidimensional data resources.
- XML package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of XML data resources.

Analysis package

- Transformation package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of data transformation tools.
- OLAP package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of on-line analytical processing tools.
- Data Mining package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of data mining tools.
- Information Visualization package - Contains classes and associations that representing metadata of information visualization tools.
- Business Nomenclature package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata on business taxonomy and glossary.

Management package

- Warehouse Process package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of warehouse processes.
- Warehouse Operation package - Contains classes and associations that represent metadata of results of warehouse operations.
3.2.1 Modeling Conventions

To promote clearer understanding of the contents of the CWM metamodels, this specification contains a number of UML representations of portions of the CWM model packages. The CWM design team has used several conventions in the construction of CWM metamodel packages and accompanying diagrams. These conventions are outlined here and apply to the remainder of the specification.

3.2.1.1 Names

The names of UML packages, classifiers, and associations are shown with the initial letter of their names in upper case; these names must be unique within a package. Features (attributes and operations), references, and association ends are shown with the initial letter of their names in lower case; these names must be unique within their containing classifier or association. Internal upper case letters are used in both types of names to separate words; intervening spaces, hyphens, or underscores have been avoided. Double colon delimiters (“::”) are used to connect individual names into qualified names.

3.2.1.2 Classes

Conforming to standard UML notation, classes are represented in diagrams as rectangular boxes with three horizontal sections containing the class name, attributes, and operations, respectively, from the top. CWM itself defines no operations, but extension packages are permitted to do so.

Classes defined in the current CWM package are shown with all their attributes and operations visible. Classes imported from UML or other CWM packages show only the class name and a notation in parentheses identifying the source package. Attributes and operations of imported classes are not displayed; refer to the package where they are defined to see their complete definition.

In diagrams, classes defined in any CWM package are shown with lightly shaded background fill, whether imported or local. Classes imported from a UML package are shown with no background fill.

3.2.1.3 Attributes

Unless specified otherwise in the specification, attributes have a multiplicity of exactly one; attribute multiplicity is not shown in diagrams. Attributes are shown diagrammatically following standard UML notation:

\[
<<\text{stereotype}\>> \text{name : type} = \text{initvalue}.
\]

Attribute stereotypes and initial values are suppressed in diagrams if they are not defined.
3.2.1.4 Data Types

Metamodel (M2) data types are placed in the most specific package possible and have a stereotype of <<primitive>>, <<datatype>> or <<enumeration>>.

Enumerations are used infrequently within the CWM. In diagrams, the names of enumerations appear only as the types of attributes; their individual values are not displayed. The defined values for an enumeration begin with a lower case letter and can be found in the text where the enumeration is used as the type of an attribute. For example, the values of the WeekDay enumeration used as the type of an attribute named dayOfWeek would appear in the text as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The day of the week on which something interesting happened.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type: WeekDay ( sunday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data types required by CWM extension packages, such as the types of a programming language, are generally represented as instances of the UML DataType class or as instances of other classes that are subclasses of UML’s Classifier class. Refer to the Foundation and Data Types chapters for additional details.

3.2.1.5 Associations

All CWM associations are named. However, to improve readability, their names usually do not appear in diagrams.

Associations declared in UML and other CWM packages can be reused in two ways: inheritance or derivation. Inherited associations are reuses, without modification, of associations declared elsewhere in the metamodel. In contrast, derived associations are “filtered” by OCL statements so that only a subset of the source association’s instances are available in the derived association.

Inherited associations are never renamed and are added to the diagrams only when they clarify the relationships between types appearing in the diagram. They can be identified in diagrams by leading forward slash characters (“/”) on the names of their association ends. For example, the association between a relational table and its columns can be drawn between the Table and Column classes with end names of “/owner” and “/feature,” indicating that the association is an application of the UML association between the Classifier and Feature classes.

Derived associations are separately named and have a real presence in the metamodel. They do not have slashes on the names of association ends. One “filtering” OCL statement on at least one association end is required. (Note, however, that OCL statements that simply restrict the multiplicity of inherited association ends are not sufficient to turn them into derived associations.)
Shared (open diamond) aggregation associations have been avoided unless there was no other way of representing the required semantics. UML association classes have been avoided because MOF 1.3 does not support them.

### 3.2.1.6 Association Ends

All association ends are named in CWM. By default, the names of association ends are the same as the names of the classes to which they connect. Association end names are defined only within the scope of the association whose ends they name. The names of association ends appear in the diagrams only when they have some name other than the default or when their presence is required to clarify the meaning or identity of the association (as with inherited associations appearing on diagrams).

Generally, all CWM association ends are navigable. In the diagrams, navigable association ends are marked with an arrowhead when the opposite end is non-navigable for some specific semantic reason. Such situations are considered rare, occur only when associations cross package boundaries, and are dependent on the specific semantics of each situation.

### 3.2.1.7 References

Because it is based on the MOF, CWM distinguishes references and association ends. References appear as attributes of classes and indicate related instances of the class that is the attribute’s stated type. The names of references are preceded by forward slashes (“/”) in diagrams. Association ends, in contrast, appear as labels on the ends of lines representing associations.

It is appropriate to think of a reference as being “implemented” by a corresponding association end of an association between the reference’s class and the class represented by the reference’s type. Reference names are generally identical to their corresponding association end’s name. However, reference names may differ from end names when doing so improves the clarity of the model.

References may be omitted if traversal to the associated class is either not possible, as is often the case when crossing package boundaries, or not desirable for some other semantic reason. For example, references should be omitted when the association end they correspond to resides in another package or when they would interfere with federation across network metadata repositories (refer to the MOF specification for details).

Examples of these relationships are illustrated in Figure 3-2.
In the figure, \(X.a\) and \(Y.b\) are attributes of type \(String\) in classes \(X\) and \(Y\), respectively. \(X.y\) is a reference from class \(X\) to class \(Y\), and \(Y.x\) is a reference from \(Y\) to \(X\). Although CWM does not specify implementation details, this pair of references can be thought of as being implemented by the \(XY\) association, with the \(XY.x\) association end implementing the reference \(Y.x\) and \(XY.y\) implementing \(X.y\). Consequently, \(X.y\) and \(Y.x\) are mutually inverse references. Similarly, \(X.q\) is implemented by \(XtoY.q\) but has no inverse reference. Because the inverse reference is not defined, instances of \(X\) cannot be directly accessed from \(Y\). However, related instances of \(X\) still can be found by examining the \(XtoY\) association itself. This technique is commonly used when an association crosses a package boundary, and a reference cannot be added to the class in the other package (\(Y\), in this case).

If traversal from \(Y\) to \(X\) were not semantically valid, the \(XtoY\) association could be so marked with an open arrowhead at the \(q\) association end, pointing to \(Y\) (but not shown in the figure).

### 3.2.1.8 Constraints

Constraints are statements of “facts” assumed to be true always and are core parts of any expressive metamodel.

CWM constraints are expressed in two ways. Some constraints are represented in the structure of the model itself. These constraints take the form of multiplicity statements for attributes and association ends, positioning of containment and inheritance relationships, and the abstractness of some metaclasses. Other constraints are, following OMG requirements, expressed as OCL statements. Within the body of a chapter, these constraints are described in text, and corresponding OCL statements are referenced by number and enclosed in square brackets. For example, a reference to the third OCL statement in a chapter would appear as “[C-3].” OCL statements within a chapter are numbered sequentially from C-1 and collected together in a section at the end of chapter. Because the Foundation chapter contains an additional layer of subsections, constraint numbers in it include the subsection number; for example, “[C-2-1]” is the first constraint in the second subsection of the chapter.

A complete description of CWM includes both structural constraints and their accompanying OCL statements. Structural constraints are used to capture general features of CWM and are generally preferred over OCL statements. OCL statements are used when capturing a constraint structurally would overly complicate or otherwise obscure the basic intent and understanding of the metamodel. OCL statements are
written to capture specific situational constraints (such as uniqueness, filters for derived associations, and dependencies between attribute values) or to express relationships between instances that cannot be inferred from the metamodel itself (such as “or-ed” or “xor-ed” associations and attributes, references to superclasses, or other related instances, subsets, and implied transitivity).

Following the ground rules of OCL, CWM does not specify the nature of actions taken when constraints fail. Rather, specification of failure actions and recognition of failure modes are left to individual implementations of CWM.

Unless otherwise stated for a particular OCL constraint, the evaluation policy for all CWM constraints is “deferred” meaning that constraint checking should occur at the end of bulk operations, such as a load, or as part of a model validation operation.

### 3.2.1.9 Instance Diagrams

The specification contains examples of metamodel usage in a graphical presentation similar in appearance to UML collaboration diagrams. These instance diagrams should not, however, be confused with UML collaboration diagrams. Individual instances are represented as rectangular boxes containing the instance’s name (if any) followed by the instance’s type. Lines represent links between instance rectangles and are labeled only when required for clarity. Refer to the specification text for precise definition of the identity and semantics of individual lines. Attribute values are shown, when necessary, in separate boxes linked to their owning instance with text in the form `<attribute name> = <value>`.

### 3.2.1.10 Modularity

As much as possible, different modeling areas have been placed in different packages, and dependencies between packages have been kept to a minimum. This has been done so that CWM packages can be deployed in various combinations rather than as one enormous model.

### 3.3 How the CWM Metamodel is Described

The following topics briefly describe the conventions this specification uses to define the metamodel elements and their characteristics. This section is extracted from the MOF specification.

#### 3.3.1 Classes

Classes are the fundamental building blocks of CWM metamodels. A Class can have three kinds of features: Attributes, References and Operations. They may inherit from other Classes, and may be related to other Classes by Associations.

The CWM uses the term Class with a meaning that is identical to that of Class in UML. A Class is an abstract specification of meta-objects that includes their state, their interfaces, and (at least informally) behavior. A Class specification is sufficient to
allow the generation of concrete interfaces with well defined semantics for managing meta-object state. However, a Class specification does not include any methods to implement meta-object behavior.

Each Class is defined in terms of its name(s), super-Classes, the Classes whose instances it can contain, its attributes, its references, its operations, its constraints, and whether it is abstract or concrete. This specification uses a hybrid textual and tabular notation to define the important characteristics of each Class. The notation defines defaults for most characteristics, so that the Class definitions need only explicitly specify characteristics that are different from the default.

The following text explains the notation used for defining Classes and their characteristics.

### 3.3.1.1 Class Heading

Each Class is introduced by a section heading. The heading defines the standard ModelElement name for the Class. The Class’s name on the heading line can be followed by the word “abstract” or by a “substitute_name” for some mapping.

### 3.3.1.2 Superclasses

This heading lists the Classes that generalize the Class being described. Generalization is another term for inheritance. Multiple inheritance is permitted in CWM.

### 3.3.1.3 Contained Elements

If presented, the heading lists the Classes whose instances may be contained by an instance of this container Class. Instances of Classes may act as containers of other elements by means of composite aggregation associations. Only Classes that are in the current metamodel package or in other packages upon which it is dependent are listed in this section. Omission of a Class from this list does not necessarily preclude instances of that Class from being contained by this container Class.

### 3.3.1.4 Attributes

This heading lists the Attributes for a Class. Attributes that are inherited from the super-Classes are not listed. If the “Attributes” heading is absent, the Class has no Attributes.
The following text explains the notation used for defining variable characteristics of Attributes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>This entry defines the base type for the Attribute.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>This entry defines the “multiplicity” for the Attribute, consisting of its “lower” and “upper” bounds, and “isOrdered” flag, and an “isUnique” flag. The multiplicity for an Attribute is expressed as follows: (1) The “lower” and “upper” bounds are expressed as “exactly one,” “zero or one,” “zero or more,” and “one or more.” (2) If the word “ordered” appears, “isOrdered” should be true. If it is absent, “isOrdered” should be false. (3) If the word “unique” appears, “isUnique” should be true. If it is absent, “isUnique” should be false.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changeable:</td>
<td>This optional entry defines the “isChangeable” flag for the Attribute. If omitted, “isChangeable” is true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>derived from:</td>
<td>This optional entry describes the derivation of a derived Attribute. If the entry is present, the Attribute’s “isDerived” flag will be true. If it is absent, the flag will be false.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scope:</td>
<td>This optional entry defines the “scope” of an Attribute as either “instance_level” or “class_level.” If the entry is absent, the Attribute’s “scope” is “instance_level.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.1.5 References

This heading lists the References for a Class. References that are inherited from the super-Classes are not listed. If the “References” heading is absent, the Class has no References.

A Reference connects its containing Class to an AssociationEnd belonging to an Association that involves the Class. This allows a client to navigate directly from an instance of the Class to other instance or instances that are related by links in the Association.

The following text explains the notation used for defining variable characteristics of References.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>This entry defines the base type of the Reference. Note the “type” of a Reference must be the same as the “type” of the referenced AssociationEnd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>This entry defines the Association and AssociationEnd that the Reference is linked to.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.1.6 Operations

This heading lists the Operations for a Class. Operations that are inherited from the super-Classes are not listed. If the “Operations” heading is absent, the Class has no Operations.

The following text explains the notation used for defining variable characteristics of Operations.

- **multiplicity:** This entry defines the “multiplicity” for the Reference. These are defined in the same way as Attribute “multiplicity” characteristics, except that “unique” is omitted. Note the “multiplicity” settings for an AssociationEnd and its corresponding Reference(s) must be the same.

- **changeable:** This optional entry defines the “isChangeable” flag for the Reference. If omitted, “isChangeable” is true.

- **inverse:** This optional entry defines the “inverse” Reference for this Reference. If this entry is absent, the Reference does not have an inverse Reference.

3.3.1.7 Constraints

This heading lists the Constraints that are attached to this Class. If the “Constraints” heading is absent, the Class has no Constraints.

3.3.2 Associations

Associations describe relationships between instances of Classes. The properties of an Association rests mostly in its two AssociationEnds.

The following text explains the notation used for defining Associations and their characteristics.
3.3.2.1 **Association Heading**

Each Association is introduced by a section heading. The heading defines the standard ModelElement name for the Association. The Association’s name on the heading line can be followed by the word “derived,” and “protected” or “private.”

3.3.2.2 **Ends**

This heading defines the two AssociationEnds for an Association. They are defined by giving their names and defining the remaining characteristics in tabular form.

The following text explains the notation used for defining variable characteristics of AssociationEnds.

| **class:** | This entry specifies the Class whose instances are linked at this end of the Association. |
| **multiplicity:** | This entry defines the “multiplicity” for the AssociationEnd. These are defined in the same way as Attribute “multiplicity” characteristics, except that “unique” is omitted. Note the “multiplicity” settings for an AssociationEnd and its corresponding Reference(s) must be the same. |
| **aggregation:** | This optional entry defines the AssociationEnd’s “aggregation” attribute as one of “composite,” “shared,” or “none.” If the entry is absent, the AssociationEnd’s “aggregation” attribute takes the value “none.” |

3.3.2.3 **Derivation**

This heading defines how a derived Association should be computed. If the “Derivation” heading is absent, the Association is not derived.
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4.1 Overview

The CWM ObjectModel provides basic constructs for creating and describing metamodel classes in all other CWM packages. The ObjectModel is a subset of UML that includes only those features that are needed for creating and describing the CWM. Defining a subset of UML containing only those things needed by CWM allows the CWM to leverage UML’s concepts and modeling power without burdening implementations with the full breadth of UML’s capabilities.

The specification defined in this chapter, where applicable, is based on and taken from the UML specification.
4.2 Organization of the ObjectModel Package

The CWM uses packages to control complexity and create groupings of logically interrelated classes. The ObjectModel is a collection of packages that are described together because they all provide basic metamodel constructs to other CWM packages. A subsection of this chapter is devoted to each of the ObjectModel packages. Because it relies on no other package, the Core package is described first, followed by the Behavioral, Instance, and Relationships packages. Each of the subsequent packages depends only on the Core package; there are no other dependencies between the ObjectModel packages. The relationship between the ObjectModel and each of its constituent packages is shown diagrammatically in Figure 4-1.

Organizing the ObjectModel in this fashion allows the individual metamodel packages to be understood and used independently of each other without sacrificing their common purpose. For example, the CWM Record metamodel depends only on the ObjectModel’s Core and Instance packages for its definition; other ObjectModel packages are not needed for defining records.

![ObjectModel metamodel packages](image-url)
4.3 Core Metamodel

The Core metamodel depends on no other packages. The ObjectModel Core metamodel contains basic metamodel classes and associations used by all other CWM metamodel packages, including other ObjectModel packages. The classes and associations that make up the Core metamodel are shown in Figure 4-2. Figure 4-3 on page 4-4 contains supporting classes within the Core metamodel that are generally used as the types of attributes.

Figure 4-2 Core metamodel
4.3.1 Core Data Types

The ObjectModel metamodel contains the data types, listed below in alphabetical order. Each of these data types is an instance of the DataType class.

Some of these data types have default values. These default values only apply for mandatory attributes or parameters of the relevant data type where an explicit value is not supplied.

Any
The Any data type is used to indicate that an attribute or parameter may take values from any of the available data types. In CWM, the set of data types an Any attribute or parameter may assume includes the data types and enumerations described in this chapter plus any available instances of the Classifier class.

There is no default value for data type Any.

Boolean
Boolean defines an enumeration that denotes a logical condition. Its enumeration literals are:

- true - The Boolean condition is satisfied.
- false - The Boolean condition is not satisfied.

The default for data type Boolean is false.

Float
The Float data type is used to indicate that an attribute or parameter may take on floating point numeric values. The number of significant digits and other representational details are implementation defined.

The default for the Float data type is the value 0.0.
Integer

Integer represents the predefined type of integers. An instance of Integer is an element in the (infinite) set of integers (…-2, -1, 0, 1, 2…).

The default for Integer is 0.

Name

Name defines a token that is used for naming ModelElements and similar usages. Each Name has a corresponding String representation. For purposes of exchange a name should be represented as a String.

The default for the Name data type is an empty string.

String

String defines a piece of text. Strings do not normally have a defined length; rather, they are considered to be arbitrarily long (practical limits on the length of Strings exist, but are implementation dependent). When String is used as the type of an Attribute, string length sometimes can be specified (see the Relational and Record packages for examples).

The default for the String data type is an empty string.

Time

Time defines a statement that will define the time of occurrence of an event. The specific format of time expressions is not specified here and is subject to implementation considerations.

There is no default for the Time data type.

UnlimitedInteger

UnlimitedInteger defines a data type whose range is the nonnegative integers augmented by the special value “unlimited.” It is used for the upper bound of multiplicities.

The default for an UnlimitedInteger is the special value “unlimited.”

The ObjectModel metamodel contains the enumerated data types shown below in alphabetical order. Enumeration literals defined for each enumerated type are described as well.

ChangeableKind

In the metamodel ChangeableKind defines an enumeration that denotes how an attribute link may be modified. Its values are:

- \textit{ck\_changeable} - No restrictions on modification.
- \textit{ck\_frozen} - The value may not be changed from the source end after the creation and initialization of the source object. Operations on the other end may change a value.
• `ck_addOnly` - If the multiplicity is not fixed, values may be added at any time from the source object, but once created a value may not be removed from the source end. Operations on the other end may change a value.

The default value is `ck_changeable`.

**OrderingKind**

In the metamodel OrderingKind defines an enumeration that specifies how the elements of a set are arranged. Used in conjunction with elements that have a multiplicity in cases when the multiplicity value is greater than one. The ordering must be determined and maintained by operations that modify the set. Its values are:

- `ok_unordered` - The elements of the set have no inherent ordering.
- `ok_ordered` - The elements of the set have a sequential ordering.

The default value is `ok_unordered`.

**ScopeKind**

In the metamodel ScopeKind defines an enumeration that denotes whether a feature belongs to individual instances or an entire classifier. Its values are:

- `sk_instance` - The feature pertains to instances of a Classifier. For example, it is a distinct attribute in each instance or an operation that works on an instance.
- `sk_classifier` - The feature pertains to an entire Classifier. For example, it is an attribute shared by the entire Classifier or an operation that works on the Classifier, such as a creation operation.

The default value is `sk_instance`.

**VisibilityKind**

In the metamodel VisibilityKind defines an enumeration that denotes how the element to which it refers is seen outside the enclosing namespace. Its values are:

- `vk_public` - Other elements may see and use the target element.
- `vk_protected` - Descendants of the source element may see and use the target element.
- `vk_private` - Only the source element may see and use the target element.
- `vk_package` - Elements declared in the same package as the target element may see and use the target element.
- `vk_notapplicable` - May be used where namespaces do not support the concept of visibility.

The default value is `vk_public`.

### 4.3.2 Core Classes

#### 4.3.2.1 Attribute

An Attribute describes a named slot within a Classifier that may hold a value.
Superclasses

StructuralFeature

Attributes

initialValue

An Expression specifying the value of the attribute upon initialization. It is meant to be evaluated at the time the object is initialized. (Note that an explicit constructor may supersede an initial value.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2.2 BooleanExpression

In the metamodel BooleanExpression defines a statement that will evaluate to an instance of Boolean when it is evaluated.

Superclasses

Expression

4.3.2.3 Class

A class is a description of a set of objects that share the same attributes, operations, methods, relationships, and semantics. A class may use a set of interfaces to specify collections of operations it provides to its environment. In the metamodel, a Class describes a set of objects sharing a collection of Features that are common to the set of objects.

The purpose of a Class is to declare a collection of Features that fully describe the structure and behavior of objects. Some Classes may not be directly instantiated. These Classes are said to be abstract and exist only for other Classes to inherit and reuse the Features declared by them. No object may be a direct instance of an abstract Class, although an object may be an indirect instance of one through a subclass that is non-abstract.

A Class acts as the namespace for various kinds of contained elements defined within its scope, including classes, interfaces, and associations (note that this is purely a scoping construction and does not imply anything about aggregation). The contained classes can be used as ordinary classes in the container class. If a class inherits another class, the contents of the ancestor are available to its descendents if the visibility of an element is public or protected. If the visibility is private, then the element is not visible and therefore not available in the descendant.
4.3.2.4 Classifier

Abstract

A classifier is an element that describes structural and behavioral features; it comes in several specific forms, including class, data type, interface, component, and others that are defined in other metamodel packages. Classifier is often used as a type.

In the metamodel a Classifier may declare a collection of Features, such as Attributes, Operations, and Methods. It has a name, which is unique in the Namespace enclosing the Classifier. Classifier is an abstract metaclass.

Classifier is a child of Namespace. As a Namespace, a Classifier may declare other Classifiers nested in its scope. Nested Classifiers may be accessed by other Classifiers only if the nested Classifiers have adequate visibility. There are no data value or state consequences of nested Classifiers; that is, it is not an aggregation or composition.

Superclasses

Namespace

Contained Elements

Feature

isAbstract

| An abstract Classifier is not instantiable. |
|----|---|
| **type**: | Boolean |
| **multiplicity**: | exactly one |

References

feature

| An ordered list of Features owned by the Classifier. |
|----|---|
| **class**: | Feature |
| **defined by**: | ClassifierFeature::feature |
| **multiplicity**: | zero or more; ordered |
| **inverse**: | Feature::owner |
4.3.2.5 Constraint

A constraint is a semantic condition or restriction expressed in text.

In the metamodel a Constraint is a BooleanExpression on an associated ModelElement(s) that must be true for the model to be well formed. This restriction can be stated in natural language, or in different kinds of languages with well defined semantics. Certain Constraints are predefined, others may be user defined. Note that a Constraint is an assertion, not an executable mechanism.

The specification is written as an expression in a designated constraint language. The language can be specially designed for writing constraints (such as OCL), a programming language, mathematical notation, or natural language. If constraints are to be enforced by a model editor tool, then the tool must understand the syntax and semantics of the constraint language. Because the choice of language is arbitrary, constraints can be used as an extension mechanism.

The constraint concept allows new semantics to be specified linguistically for a model element. In the metamodel a Constraint directly attached to a ModelElement describes semantic restrictions that this ModelElement must obey.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

**body**

A BooleanExpression that must be true when evaluated for an instance of a system to be well formed. A boolean expression defining the constraint. Expressions are written as strings in a designated language. For the model to be well formed, the expression must always yield a true value when evaluated for instances of the constrained elements at any time when the system is stable; that is, not during the execution of an atomic operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>BooleanExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**constrainedElement**

A ModelElement or list of ModelElements affected by the Constraint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ElementConstraint::constrainedElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>ModelElement::constraint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Constraints**

A Constraint cannot be applied to itself. [C-3-1]

**4.3.2.6 DataType**

A data type is a type whose values have no identity; that is, they are pure values. Data types include primitive built-in types (such as integer and string) as well as definable enumeration types.

In the metamodel a DataType defines a special kind of Classifier in which operations are all pure functions; that is, they can return data values but they cannot change data values, because they have no identity. For example, an “add” operation on a number with another number as an argument yields a third number as a result; the target and argument are unchanged.

A DataType is a special kind of Classifier whose instances are primitive values, not objects. For example, integers and strings are usually treated as primitive values. A primitive value does not have an identity, so two occurrences of the same value cannot be differentiated. Usually, DataTypes are used for specification of the type of an attribute or parameter.

**Superclasses**

Classifier

**Constraints**

A DataType cannot contain any other ModelElements. [C-3-2]

**4.3.2.7 Dependency**

A dependency states that the implementation or functioning of one or more elements requires the presence of one or more other elements.

In the metamodel a Dependency is a directed relationship from a client (or clients) to a supplier (or suppliers) stating that the client is dependent on the supplier; that is, the client element requires the presence and knowledge of the supplier element.

A dependency specifies that the semantics of a set of model elements requires the presence of another set of model elements. This implies that if the source is somehow modified, the dependents probably must be modified. The reason for the dependency can be specified in several different ways (for example, using natural language or an algorithm) but is often implicit.

Whenever the supplier element of a dependency changes, the client element is potentially invalidated. After such invalidation, a check should be performed followed by possible changes to the derived client element. Such a check should be performed after which action can be taken to change the derived element to validate it again.
4.3.2.8 Element

An element is an atomic constituent of a model. In the metamodel, an Element is the top metaclass in the metaclass hierarchy. Element is an abstract metaclass.
4.3.2.9 Expression

In the metamodel an Expression defines a statement that will evaluate to a (possibly empty) set of instances when executed in a context. An Expression does not modify the environment in which it is evaluated. An expression contains an expression string and the name of an interpretation language with which to evaluate the string.

Superclasses
Element

Attributes

body

The text of the expression expressed in the given language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

language

Names the language in which the expression body is represented. The interpretation of the expression depends on the language. If the language name is omitted, no interpretation for the expression can be assumed. In general, a language name should be spelled and capitalized exactly as it appears in the document defining the language. For example, use COBOL, not Cobol; use Ada, not ADA; use PostScript, not Postscript.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2.10 Feature

A feature is a property, like attribute or operation that is encapsulated within a Classifier.

In the metamodel a Feature declares a structural or behavioral characteristic of an instance of a Classifier or of the Classifier itself. Feature is an abstract metaclass.

Superclasses
ModelElement
4.3.2.11 Model

A model captures a view of a physical system. It is an abstraction of the physical system, with a certain purpose. The model completely describes those aspects of the physical system that are relevant to the purpose of the model, at the appropriate level of detail.

In the metamodel Model is a subclass of Package. It contains a containment hierarchy of ModelElements that together describe the physical system. A Model also contains a set of ModelElements that represents the environment of the system.

Different Models can be defined for the same physical system, where each model represents a view of the physical system defined by its purpose and abstraction level; for example, an analysis model, a design model, an implementation model. Typically different models are complementary and defined from the perspectives (viewpoints) of different system stakeholders.

Superclasses

Package

4.3.2.12 ModelElement

A model element is an element that is an abstraction drawn from the system being modeled.

Supplementary information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ownerScope</td>
<td>ScopeKind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>owner</td>
<td>The Classifier declaring the Feature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class</td>
<td>Classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ClassifierFeature::owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Classifier::feature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the metamodel a ModelElement is a named entity in a Model. It is the base for all modeling metaclasses in the CWM. All other modeling metaclasses are either direct or indirect subclasses of ModelElement.

**Superclasses**

Element

**Contained Elements**

TaggedValue

**Attributes**

**name**

An identifier for the ModelElement within its containing Namespace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**visibility**

Specifies extent of the visibility of the ModelElement within its owning Namespace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>VisibilityKind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**clientDependency**

Inverse of client. Designates a set of Dependency in which the ModelElement is a client.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Dependency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DependencyClient::clientDependency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Dependency::client</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**constraint**

A set of Constraints affecting the element. A constraint that must be satisfied by the model element. A model element may have a set of constraints. The constraint is to be evaluated when the system is stable; that is, not in the middle of an atomic operation.

| class:       | Constraint    |
importer

References the set of Package instances that import the ModelElement.

class: Package

| defined by: | ImportedElements::importer |
| multiplicity: | zero or more |
| inverse: | Package::importedElement |

namespace

Designates the Namespace that contains the ModelElement. Every ModelElement except a root element must belong to exactly one Namespace or else be a composite part of another ModelElement (which is a kind of virtual namespace). The pathname of Namespace or ModelElement names starting from the root package provides a unique designation for every ModelElement. The association attribute visibility specifies the visibility of the element outside its namespace (see ElementOwnership).

class: Namespace

| defined by: | ElementOwnership::namespace |
| multiplicity: | zero or one |
| inverse: | Namespace::ownedElement |

taggedValue

References the set of TaggedValue instances that extend the ModelElement.

class: TaggedValue

| defined by: | TaggedElement::taggedValue |
| multiplicity: | zero or more |
| inverse: | TaggedValue::modelElement |

Constraints

Tags associated with a model element (directly via a property list or indirectly via a stereotype) must not clash with any meta attributes associated with the model element. [C-3-3]

A model element must have at most one tagged value with a given tag name. [C-3-4]

A stereotype cannot extend itself. [C-3-5]
4.3.2.13 Multiplicity

In the metamodel a Multiplicity defines a non-empty set of non-negative integers. A set that only contains zero (\{0\}) is not considered a valid Multiplicity. Every Multiplicity has at least one corresponding String representation.

**Superclasses**

Element

**Contained Elements**

MultiplicityRange

**References**

range

References the set of MultiplicityRange instances that describe the cardinality of the Multiplicity instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>MultiplicityRange</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>RangeMultiplicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>MultiplicityRange::multiplicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2.14 MultiplicityRange

In the metamodel a MultiplicityRange defines a range of integers. The upper bound of the range cannot be below the lower bound. The lower bound must be a nonnegative integer. The upper bound must be a nonnegative integer or the special value unlimited, which indicates there is no upper bound on the range.

**Superclasses**

Element

**Attributes**

lower

Specifies the positive integer lower bound of the range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**upper**

Specifies the upper bound of the range, which is a positive integer or the special value 'unlimited' indicating no upper bound is defined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>UnlimitedInteger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**multiplicity**

References the Multiplicity instance that owns theMultiplicityRange.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>RangeMultiplicity::multiplicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Multiplicity::range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.3.2.15 Namespace

**Abstract**

A namespace is a part of a model that contains a set of ModelElements each of whose names designates a unique element within the namespace.

In the metamodel, a Namespace is a ModelElement that can own other ModelElements, such as Classifiers. The name of each owned ModelElement must be unique within the Namespace. Moreover, each contained ModelElement is owned by at most one Namespace. The concrete subclasses of Namespace may have additional constraints on which kind of elements may be contained.

Namespace is an abstract metaclass.

Note that explicit parts of a model element, such as the features of a Classifier, are not modeled as owned elements in a namespace. A namespace is used for unstructured contents such as the contents of a package, or a class declared inside the scope of another class.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Contained Elements**

ModelElement
References

ownedElement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ElementOwnership::ownedElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>ModelElement::namespace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2.16 Package

A package is a grouping of model elements.

In the metamodel Package is a subclass of Namespace. A Package contains ModelElements such as Packages and Classifiers. A Package may also contain Constraints and Dependencies between ModelElements of the Package.

The purpose of the package construct is to provide a general grouping mechanism. In fact, its only semantics is to define a namespace for its contents. The package construct can be used for organizing elements for any purpose; the criteria to use for grouping elements together into one package are not defined.

A package owns a set of model elements, with the implication that if the package is removed from the model, so are the elements owned by the package. Elements with names, such as classifiers, that are owned by the same package must have unique names within the package, although elements in different packages may have the same name.

There may be relationships between elements contained in the same package, and between an element in one package and an element in a surrounding package at any level. In other words, elements “see” all the way out through nested levels of packages. Elements in peer packages, however, are encapsulated and are not a priori visible to each other. The same goes for elements in contained packages; that is, packages do not see “inwards.”

Elements owned by a Package can be made available to other Packages by importing them. Although any ModelElement may be imported by a Package, imported ModelElements are typically other Packages. When an element is imported by a package it extends the namespace of that package. Thus the elements available in a Package consists of its owned and imported ModelElements.

Superclasses

Namespace
4.3.2.17 ProcedureExpression

In the metamodel ProcedureExpression defines a statement that will result in a change to the values of its environment when it is evaluated.

4.3.2.18 Stereotype

The stereotype concept provides a way of branding (classifying) model elements so that they behave as if they were instances of new virtual metamodel constructs. These model elements have the same structure (attributes, associations, operations) as similar non-stereotyped model elements of the same kind. The stereotype may specify additional constraints and required tagged values that apply to model elements. In addition, a stereotype may be used to indicate a difference in meaning or usage between two model elements with identical structure.

In the metamodel the Stereotype metaclass is a subclass of ModelElement. Tagged Values and Constraints attached to a Stereotype apply to all ModelElements branded by that Stereotype.

A stereotype keeps track of the base class to which it may be applied. The base class is a class in the metamodel (not a user-level modeling element) such as Class, Association, etc. If a model element is branded by an attached stereotype, then the CWM base class of the model element must be the base class specified by the stereotype or one of the subclasses of that base class.

References

importedElement

The namespace defined by the package is extended by model elements imported from other packages.

class: ModelElement

defined by: ImportedElements::importedElement

multiplicity: zero or more

inverse: ModelElement::importer
Contained Elements

Constraint
TaggedValue

Attributes

**baseClass**

Specifies the name of a modeling element to which the stereotype applies, such as Class, Association, Constraint, etc. This is the name of a metaclass; that is, a class from the metamodel itself rather than a user model class.

type: Name
multiplicity: exactly one

References

**extendedElement**

Designates the model elements affected by the stereotype. Each one must be a model element of the kind specified by the baseClass attribute.

class: ModelElement
defined by: StereotypedElement::extendedElement
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: ModelElement::stereotype

**requiredTag**

Specifies a set of TaggedValues, each of which specifies a tag that an element classified by the Stereotype is required to have. The value part indicates the default value for the tagged value, that is, the tagged value that an element will be presumed to have if it is not overridden by an explicit tagged value on the element bearing the stereotype. If the value is unspecified, then the element must explicitly specify a tagged value with the given tag.

class: TaggedValue
defined by: StereotypeTaggedValues::requiredTag
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: TaggedValue::stereotype

**stereotypeConstraint**

Designates constraints that apply to all model elements branded by this stereotype. These constraints are defined in the scope of the full metamodel.

class: Constraint
Constraints

The base class name must be provided. [C-3-6]

4.3.2.19 StructuralFeature

A structural feature refers to a static feature of a model element.

In the metamodel, a StructuralFeature declares a structural aspect of a Classifier that is typed, such as an attribute. For example, it specifies the multiplicity and changeability of the StructuralFeature. StructuralFeature is an abstract metaclass.

Superclasses

Feature

Attributes

changeability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>ChangeabilityKind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

multiplicity

The possible number of data values for the feature that may be held by an instance. The cardinality of the set of values is an implicit part of the feature. In the common case in which the multiplicity is 1..1, then the feature is a scalar; that is, it holds exactly one value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ordering

Specifies whether the set of instances is ordered. The ordering must be determined and maintained by Operations that add values to the feature. This property is only relevant if the multiplicity is greater than one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>OrderingKind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
targetScope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specifies whether the targets are ordinary Instances or are Classifiers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designates the Classifier whose instances are values of the feature. It must be a Class, DataType, or Interface.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>defined by:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2.20 Subsystem

A subsystem is a grouping of model elements that represents a behavioral unit in a physical system. A subsystem offers interfaces and has operations.

In the metamodel, Subsystem is a subclass of both Package and Classifier. As such it may have a set of Features.

The purpose of the subsystem construct is to provide a grouping mechanism for specifying a behavioral unit of a physical system. Apart from defining a namespace for its contents, a subsystem serves as a specification unit for the behavior of its contained model elements.

The contents of a subsystem is defined in the same way as for a package, thus it consists of owned elements and imported elements, with unique names within the subsystem.

Superclasses

Classifier

Package

4.3.2.21 TaggedValue

A tagged value allows information to be attached to any model element in the form of a “tagged value” pair; that is, name = value. The interpretation of tagged value semantics is intentionally beyond the scope of CWM. It must be determined by user or tool conventions. It is expected that tools will define tags to supply information needed for their operations beyond the basic semantics of CWM. Such information could
include code generation options, model management information, or user-specified semantics.

Even though TaggedValues are a simple and straightforward extension technique, their use restricts semantic interchange of metadata to only those tools that share a common understanding of the specific tagged value names.

Superclasses

Element

Attributes

tag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contains the name of the TaggedValue. This name determines the semantics that are applicable to the contents of the value attribute.

value

Contains the current value of the TaggedValue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

modelElement

References the ModelElement to which the TaggedValue pertains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Defined by</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Inverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ModelElement</td>
<td>TaggedElement::modelElement</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
<td>ModelElement::taggedValue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

stereotype

References a Stereotype that uses the TaggedValue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Defined by</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Inverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stereotype</td>
<td>StereotypeTaggedValues</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
<td>Stereotype::requiredTag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.3 Core Associations

4.3.3.1 ClassifierFeature

The ClassifierFeature association provides a composite aggregation containment relationship between Classifiers and the Features they own. The feature end of the association is ordered allowing preservation of the ordering of Features within their owning Classifier. A Feature can be owned by at most one Classifier. The optional character of ownership is intended as a convenience to tools, allowing them to create Features prior to linking them to their owning Classifier.

Ends

owner

Identifies the Classifier instance that owns the Feature.

class: Classifier

multiplicity: zero or one

aggregation: composite

feature

Identifies the Features owned by a Classifier instance and provides their ordering.

class: Feature

multiplicity: zero or more; ordered

4.3.3.2 DependencyClient

The DependencyClient association links Dependency instances with ModelElements that act as clients in the represented dependency relationship.

Ends

client

Identifies the ModelElements that are clients of the Dependency instance.

class: ModelElement

multiplicity: one or more
4.3.3.3 DependencySupplier

The DependencySupplier association links Dependency instances with ModelElements that act as suppliers in the represented dependency relationship.

Ends

supplier

Identifies the ModelElements that are suppliers of the Dependency instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

supplierDependency

The DependencySupplier association links Dependency instances with ModelElements that act as suppliers in the represented dependency relationship.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Dependency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.4 ElementConstraint

Protected

The ElementConstraint association provides linkages between ModelElements and the Constraint instances that constrain their state. Note that a Constraint instance may not simultaneously participate in both the ElementConstraint and the StereotypeConstraint associations.

Ends

constrainedElement

Identifies the ModelElements whose state is constrained by the Constraint instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
constraint

Identifies the Constraint instances that restrict the possible states that a ModelElement may take.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Constraint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.5 ElementOwnership  
Protected  
The ElementOwnership association identifies ModelElements owned by Namespaces. ModelElements may be owned by at most one Namespace. Refer to the above discussion of the Namespace class for more information on the nature of the ownership relationship between Namespaces and ModelElements.

Ends

ownedElement

Identifies the ModelElements owned by a Namespace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

namespace

Identifies the Namespace, if any, that owns the ModelElement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Namespace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.6 ImportedElements  
Protected  
The ImportedElements association identifies ModelElements that a Package instance imports from other Namespaces. Although any ModelElement may be imported by a Package, imported ModelElements are typically other Packages or aggregate Classifiers, such as Class instances.

Ends

importedElement

Identifies ModelElements imported by a Package.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
importer

Identifies the Packages that import a ModelElement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Package</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.7 RangeMultiplicity

The RangeMultiplicity association identifies the set of MultiplicityRange instances that specify the lower and upper bounds of individual cardinality ranges defined by a Multiplicity instance. A MultiplicityRange instance must be owned by a single Multiplicity instance.

Ends

multiplicity

Identifies the Multiplicity instance that owns the MultiplicityRange.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

range

Identifies the set of MultiplicityRange instances owned by a Multiplicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>MultiplicityRange</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.8 StereotypeConstraints

The StereotypeConstraints association links Stereotypes with Constraints that further restrict the states that a stereotyped ModelElement may assume. A Constraint instance may not simultaneously participate in both the StereotypeContraints association and the ElementConstraint association.

Ends

stereotypeConstraint

Identifies the set of Constraint instances defined for the Stereotype instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Constraint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.3.9 StereotypedElement

The StereotypedElement association links Stereotypes with the ModelElements to which they apply.

Ends

4.3.3.10 StereotypeTaggedValues

The StereotypeTaggedValues association links Stereotypes with the set of TaggedValues they require.

TaggedValues cannot simultaneously participate in both the TaggedElement and StereotypeTaggedValues associations.

Ends

requiredTag

Specifies a set of TaggedValues, each of which specifies a tag that an element classified by the Stereotype is required to have.
4.3.3.11 **StructuralFeatureType**

The StructuralFeatureType association identifies the Classifier instance that defines the type of particular StructuralFeatures. A StructuralFeature instance must have a Classifier instance that defines its type.

**Ends**

**structuralFeature**

Identifies the set of StructuralFeatures for which the Classifier defines the type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>StructuralFeature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**type**

Identifies the Classifier defining the type of a StructuralFeature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.12 **TaggedElement**

The TaggedElement association links TaggedValues with the ModelElements that own them.

TaggedValues cannot simultaneously participate in both the TaggedElement and StereotypeTaggedValues associations.

**Ends**

**modelElement**

Identifies the ModelElement instance that owns the TaggedValue instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
taggedValue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>TaggedValue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.4 OCL Representation of Core Constraints

4.3.4.1 Operations

The operation **allFeatures** results in a Set containing all Features of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Features.

```
allFeatures : Set(Feature);
allFeatures = self.feature->union(self.parent.oclAsType(Classifier).allFeatures)
```

The operation **allAttributes** results in a Set containing all Attributes of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Attributes.

```
allAttributes : set(Attribute);
allAttributes = self.allFeatures->select(f | f.oclIsKindOf(Attribute))
```

The operation **specification** yields the set of Classifiers that the current Classifier realizes.

```
specification: Set(Classifier)
specification = self.clientDependency->
  select(d | d.stereotype.name = "realization"
    and d.supplier.oclIsKindOf(Classifier)).supplier.oclAsType(Classifier)
```

The operation **parent** returns a Set containing all direct parents of a Classifier.

```
parent : Set(Classifier);
parent = self.generalization.parent
```

The operation **allParents** returns a Set containing all the Classifiers inherited by this Classifier (the transitive closure), excluding the Classifier itself.

```
allParents : Set(Classifier);
allParents = self.parent->union(self.parent.allParents)
```
The operation **allContents** returns a Set containing all ModelElements contained in the Classifier together with the contents inherited from its parents.

\[
\text{allContents} : \text{Set(ModelElement)};
\text{allContents} = \text{self.contents}\rightarrow\text{union(self.parent.allContents}\rightarrow\text{select(e | e.elementOwnership.visibility = #public or e.elementOwnership.visibility = #protected))}
\]

The operation **supplier** results in a Set containing all direct suppliers of the ModelElement.

\[
\text{supplier} : \text{Set(ModelElement)};
\text{supplier} = \text{self.clientDependency.supplier}
\]

The operation **allSuppliers** results in a Set containing all the ModelElements that are suppliers of this ModelElement, including the suppliers of these Model Elements. This is the transitive closure.

\[
\text{allSuppliers} : \text{Set(ModelElement)};
\text{allSuppliers} = \text{self.supplier}\rightarrow\text{union(self.supplier.allSuppliers)}
\]

The operation **contents** results in a Set containing all ModelElements contained by the Namespace.

\[
\text{contents} : \text{Set(ModelElement)}
\text{contents} = \text{self.ownedElement} \rightarrow \text{union(self.namespace.contents)}
\]

The operation **allContents** results in a Set containing all ModelElements contained by the Namespace.

\[
\text{allContents} : \text{Set(ModelElement)};
\text{allContents} = \text{self.contents}
\]

The operation **allVisibleElements** results in a Set containing all ModelElements visible outside of the Namespace.

\[
\text{allVisibleElements} : \text{Set(ModelElement)}
\text{allVisibleElements} = \text{self.allContents}\rightarrow\text{select(e | e.elementOwnership.visibility = #public)}
\]

The operation **allSurroundingNamespaces** results in a Set containing all surrounding Namespaces.

\[
\text{allSurroundingNamespaces} : \text{Set(Namespace)}
\text{allSurroundingNamespaces} = \text{self.namespace}\rightarrow\text{union(self.namespace.allSurroundingNamespaces)}
\]
The operation **contents** results in a Set containing the ModelElements owned by or imported by the Package.

```plaintext
contents : Set(ModelElement)
contents = self.ownedElement->union(self.importedElement)
```

The operation **allImportedElements** results in a Set containing the ModelElements imported by the Package.

```plaintext
allImportedElements : Set(ModelElement)
allImportedElements = self.importedElement
```

The operation **allContents** results in a Set containing the ModelElements owned by or imported by the Package.

```plaintext
allContents : Set(ModelElement)
allContents = self.contents
```

### 4.3.4.2 Constraints

[C-3-1] A Constraint cannot be applied to itself.

```plaintext
context Constraint inv:
not self.constrainedElement->includes (self)
```

[C-3-2] A DataType cannot contain any other ModelElements.

```plaintext
context DataType inv:
self.ownedElement->isEmpty
```

[C-3-3] Tags associated with a model element (directly via a property list or indirectly via a stereotype) must not clash with any meta attributes associated with the model element.

```plaintext
context ModelElement inv:
-- cannot be specified with OCL
```

[C-3-4] A model element must have at most one tagged value with a given tag name.

```plaintext
context ModelElement inv:
self.taggedValue->forall(t1, t2 : TaggedValue | t1.tag = t2.tag implies t1 = t2)
```
4.4 Behavioral Metamodel

The Behavioral metamodel depends on the following package:

```
org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
```

The Behavioral metamodel collects together classes and associations that describe the behavior of CWM types and provides a foundation for recording the invocations of defined behaviors. The elements of the Behavioral metamodel are shown in Figure 4-5.

![Figure 4-5 Behavioral metamodel](image)

4.4.1 Behavioral Data Types

The Behavioral package provides the following enumerated type.

[C-3-5] A stereotype cannot extend itself.

```text
context ModelElement inv:
self.stereotype->excludes(self)
```

[C-3-6] The base class name must be provided.

```text
context Stereotype inv:
Set {self.baseClass}->notEmpty
```
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4.4.1.1 ParameterDirectionKind

In the metamodel ParameterDirectionKind defines an enumeration that denotes if a Parameter is used for supplying an argument and/or for returning a value. The enumeration values are:

- `pdk_in`: An input Parameter (may not be modified).
- `pdk_out`: An output Parameter (may be modified to communicate information to the caller).
- `pdk_inout`: An input Parameter that may be modified.
- `pdk_return`: A return value of a call.

The default value is `pdk_in`.

4.4.2 Behavioral Classes

4.4.2.1 Argument

Argument is an expression describing how to determine an actual value passed in a CallAction.

In the metamodel an Argument is a composite part of a CallAction and contains a meta-attribute, value, of type Expression. It states how the actual argument is determined when the owning CallAction is executed.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An expression determining the actual Argument instance when executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>callAction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the CallAction that uses the Argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.2.2 BehavioralFeature

Abstract

A behavioral feature refers to a dynamic feature of a model element, such as an operation or method. In the metamodel BehavioralFeature specifies a behavioral aspect of a Classifier. All different kinds of behavioral aspects of a Classifier, such as Operation and Method, are subclasses of BehavioralFeature.

BehavioralFeature is an abstract metaclass.

Superclasses

Feature

Contained Elements

Parameter

Attributes

isQuery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>defined by:</th>
<th>CallArguments::action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>CallAction::actualArgument</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specifies whether an execution of the BehavioralFeature leaves the state of the system unchanged. True indicates that the state is unchanged; false indicates that side-effects may occur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

parameter

An ordered list of Parameters for the BehavioralFeature. To call the BehavioralFeature, the caller must supply a list of values compatible with the types of the Parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>BehavioralFeatureParameter::parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Parameter::behavioralFeature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Constraints

All Parameters should have a unique name.  [C-4-1]
The type of the Parameters should be included in the Namespace of the Classifier. [C-4-2]

4.4.2.3 CallAction

A call action is an action resulting in an invocation of an operation.
The purpose of a CallAction is to identify the actual Arguments used in a specific invocation of an Operation.

Superclasses

ModelElement

References

operation

The Operation that will be invoked when the CallAction is executed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>CalledOperation::operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

actualArgument

The Argument(s) supplied to the CallAction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Argument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>CallArguments::actualArgument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Argument::callAction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

The number of arguments must be the same as the number of the Operation.  [C-4-3]

4.4.2.4 Event

Event is a specification of an observable occurrence. The occurrence that generates an event instance is assumed to take place at an instant in time.
4.4.2.5 Interface

Interface is a named set of operations that specify the behavior of an element.

In the metamodel, an Interface contains a set of Operations that together define a service offered by a Classifier realizing the Interface. A Classifier may offer several services, which means that it may realize several Interfaces, and several Classifiers may realize the same Interface.

Superclasses
Classifier

Constraints
An Interface can only contain Operations. [C-4-4]
An Interface cannot contain any ModelElements. [C-4-5]
All Features defined in an Interface are public. [C-4-6]

4.4.2.6 Method

Method is the implementation of an Operation. It specifies the algorithm or procedure that effects the results of an Operation.
**Superclasses**

BehavioralFeature

**Attributes**

**body**

A specification of the Method in some appropriate form (such as a programming language). The exact form of a Method’s specification and knowledge of the language in which it is described is outside the scope of the CWM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>ProcedureExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**specification**

References the Operation that the Method implements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>OperationMethod::specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Operation::method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constraints**

If the realized Operation is a query, then so is the Method. [C-4-7]

The signature of the Method should be the same as the signature of the realized Operation. [C-4-8]

The visibility of the Method should be the same as for the realized Operation. [C-4-9]

The realized Operation must be a feature (possibly inherited) of the same Classifier as the Method. [C-4-10]

If the realized Operation has been overridden one or more times in the ancestors of the owner of the Method, then the Method must realize the latest overriding (that is, all other Operations with the same signature must be owned by ancestors of the owner of the realized Operation). [C-4-11]

There may be at most one Method for a given Classifier (as owner of the Method) and Operation (as specification of the Method) pair. [C-4-12]
4.4.2.7 Operation

Operation is a service that can be requested from an object to effect behavior. An Operation has a signature, which describes the parameters that are possible (including possible return values).

In the metamodel, an Operation is a BehavioralFeature that can be applied to instances of the Classifier that contains the Operation.

Operation is the specification, while Method is the implementation.

Superclasses

BehavioralFeature

Attributes

isAbstract

If true, then the Operation does not have an implementation, and one must be supplied by a descendant. If false, the Operation must have an implementation in the class or inherited from an ancestor.

type: Boolean
multiplicity: exactly one

References

method

References the set of Method instances defined for the Operation.

class: Method
defined by: OperationMethod::method
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: Method::specification

4.4.2.8 Parameter

Parameters are used in the specification of operations, methods, and events. A Parameter may include a name, type, and direction of communication.

Superclasses

ModelElement
Attributes

**defaultValue**

An Expression whose evaluation yields a value to be used when no argument is supplied for the Parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**kind**

Specifies what kind of a Parameter is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>ParameterDirectionKind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**behavioralFeature**

References the BehavioralFeature instance for which the Parameter instance describes a parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>BehavioralFeature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>BehavioralFeatureParameter::behavioralFeature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>BehavioralFeature::parameter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**event**

References the Event instance for which the Parameter instance describes a parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>EventParameter::event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Event::parameter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**type**

Designates a Classifier to which an argument value must conform.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ParameterType::type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.3 Behavioral Associations

4.4.3.1 BehavioralFeature::parameter

The BehavioralFeature::parameter association identifies and orders Parameter instances describing the parameters of a BehavioralFeature. Parameters may be owned by at most one BehavioralFeature instance. The set of parameters of a BehavioralFeature, together with its name and return value, are said to constitute the BehavioralFeature’s “signature.”

Ends

behavioralFeature

| Identifies the BehavioralFeature instance owner of a Parameter instance. |
|---|---|
| class: | BehavioralFeature |
| multiplicity: | zero or one |
| aggregation: | composite |

parameter

| Identifies the Parameter instances that describe the parameters of the BehavioralFeature. |
|---|---|
| class: | Parameter |
| multiplicity: | zero or more; ordered |

4.4.3.2 CallArguments

Identifies the Argument instances representing the actual argument values passed to an Operation during the particular invocation indicated by the CallAction instance. The ordering of actual argument values is assumed to correspond to the ordering of the Operation’s parameters as represented by the ordering of the BehavioralFeature::parameter association.

Ends

actualArgument

| Identifies the Argument instances representing the actual arguments passed during Operation invocation. |
|---|---|
| class: | Argument |
| multiplicity: | zero or more; ordered |
4.4.3.3 **CalledOperation**

The CalledOperation association identifies the CallAction instance representing a particular invocation of an Operation.

**Ends**

**callAction**

Identifies the CallAction instance representing a particular invocation of an Operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>CallAction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**operation**

Identifies the Operation instance for which the CallAction instance records an invocation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.3.4 **EventParameter**

The EventParameter association identifies the set of Parameter instances owned by an Event instance.

**Ends**

**event**

Identifies the Event owning a set of Parameter instances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.3.5 OperationMethod

The OperationMethod association links an Operation with the Method instance(s) that realize it. The various Method instances represent alternative implementations (usually in different programming languages or environments) of the Operation.

**Ends**

**specification**

Identifies the Operation that a Method implements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**method**

Identifies the set of Methods defined for an Operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.3.6 ParameterType

The ParameterType association links a Parameter instance with the Classifier that defines the parameter’s type.

**Ends**

**parameter**

Identifies the set of Parameter instances for which a particular Classifier acts as a type definition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.4 OCL Representation of Behavioral Constraints

4.4.4.1 Operations

The operation **hasSameSignature** checks if the argument has the same signature as the instance itself.

```ocl
hasSameSignature ( b : BehavioralFeature ) : Boolean;
hasSameSignature (b) =
  (self.name = b.name) and
  (self.parameter->size = b.parameter->size) and
  Sequence{ 1..(self.parameter->size) }->forAll( index : Integer |
    b.parameter->at(index).type =
    self.parameter->at(index).type and
    b.parameter->at(index).kind =
    self.parameter->at(index).kind
  )
```

The operation **allOperations** results in a Set containing all Operations of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Operations.

```ocl
allOperations : Set(Operation);
allOperations = self.allFeatures->select(f | f.ockIsKindOf(Operations))
```

The operation **allMethods** results in a Set containing all Methods of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Methods.

```ocl
allOperations : Set(Method);
allMethods = self.allFeatures->select(f | f.ockIsKindOf(Method))
```
4.4.4.2 Constraints

[C-4-1] All Parameters should have a unique name.
context BehavioralFeature inv:
self.parameter->forAll(p1, p2 | p1.name = p2.name implies p1 = p2)

[C-4-2] The type of the Parameters should be included in the Namespace of the Classifier.
context BehavioralFeature inv:
self.parameter->forAll(p | self.owner.namespace.allContents->includes(p.type))

[C-4-3] The number of arguments must be the same as the number of parameters of the Operation.
context CallAction inv:
self.actualArgument->size = self.operation.parameter->size

[C-4-4] An Interface can only contain Operations.
context Interface inv:
self.allFeatures->forAll(f | f.oclIsKindOf(Operation))

[C-4-5] An Interface cannot contain any ModelElements.
context Interface inv:
self.allContents->isEmpty

[C-4-6] All Features defined in an Interface are public.
context Interface inv:
self.allFeatures->forAll(f | f.visibility = #public)

[C-4-7] If the realized Operation is a query, then so is the Method.
context Method inv:
self.specification->isQuery implies self.isQuery

[C-4-8] The signature of the Method should be the same as the signature of the realized Operation.
context Method inv:
self.hasSameSignature(self.specification)
4.5 Relationships Metamodel

The Relationships metamodel depends on the following package:

org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core

The Relationships metamodel collects together classes and associations that describe the relationships between objects within a CWM information store. The Relationships metamodel describes types of relationships: association and generalization.

Association relationships record linkages between model elements. These linkages may represent simple linkages between model elements or aggregation ("is part of") relationships between model elements; aggregation relationships come in two forms -- shared and composite. Associations have two or more named ends that link them to instances of the classes connected by the association.

Generalization relationships record arrangements of model elements into type hierarchies in a parent/child (or "is type of") fashion. Child types are said to "specialize," "subclass," or "subtype" their parental types, represent a subset of parental instances that fulfill the definition of the child type, and inherit the structural
features (Attributes, AssociationEnd) and behavioral features (Operations, Methods) of their parents. Parental types are said to “generalize” their child types or to be “superclasses” or “supertypes” of their children.

CWM generalization hierarchies support multiple inheritance; that is, child types may have more than one parental type and inherit the union of the features of all their parental types. Although called “hierarchies,” multiple inheritance actually represents a directed acyclic graph of parental and child types.

The classes and associations of the Relationships metamodel are shown in Figure 4-6.

4.5.1 Relationships Data Types

The Relationships metamodel contains the following enumerated type:

- **AggregationKind**

  An enumeration that denotes what kind of aggregation an Association defines. When placed on a target end, specifies the relationship of the target end to the source end. AggregationKind defines an enumeration whose values are:
  - **ak_none** - The end is not an aggregate.
- ak_aggregate - The end is an aggregate; therefore, the other end is a part and must have the aggregation value of none. The part may be contained in other aggregates.

- ak_composite - The end is a composite; therefore, the other end is a part and must have the aggregation value of none. The part is strongly owned by the composite and may not be part of any other composite.

The default value is ak_none.

4.5.2 Relationships Classes

4.5.2.1 Association

An association defines a semantic relationship between classifiers. Associations have two or more named ends. Associations with two or more ends are called “n-ary” whereas associations with exactly two ends are called “binary.” Each end, depending upon its multiplicity, connects to zero or more instances of some classifier.

In the metamodel, an Association is a declaration of a semantic relationship between Classifiers, such as Classes. Associations must have two, and may have more, association ends. Each end is connected to a Classifier; the same Classifier may be connected to more than one association end in the same association. (Refer to the ObjectModel’s Instance package, below, for a description of how Associations are instantiated.)

Because Associations are classifiers, they own and order their association ends (which are Attributes) via the ClassifierFeature association. In addition, because Associations are Classes, they can also own more traditional StructuralFeatures such as Attributes. Consequently, they may act in a manner similar to “association classes” described by some other object models.

An association may represent an aggregation; that is, a whole/part relationship. In this case, the association end attached to the whole element is designated, and the other association end represents the parts of the aggregation.

Associations can be of three different kinds: (1) ordinary association, (2) composite aggregate, and (3) shareable aggregate. Since the aggregate construct can have several different meanings depending on the application area, CWM gives a more precise meaning to two of these constructs; that is, association and composite aggregate and leaves the shareable aggregate more loosely defined in between. Only binary Associations can have composite or sharable aggregation semantics.

Composite aggregation is a strong form of aggregation, which requires that a part instance be included in at most one composite at a time and that the composite object has sole responsibility for the disposition of its parts. This means that the composite object is responsible for the creation and destruction of the parts. In implementation terms, it is responsible for their memory allocation. If a composite object is destroyed, it must destroy all of its parts. It may remove a part and give it to another composite object, which then assumes responsibility for it. If the multiplicity from a part to
A composite is zero-to-one, the composite may remove the part and the part may assume responsibility for itself, otherwise it may not live apart from a composite.

A consequence of these rules is that a composite aggregation implies propagation semantics; that is, some of the dynamic semantics of the whole is propagated to its parts. For example, if the whole is copied or destroyed, then so are the parts as well (because a part may belong to at most one composite).

A classifier on the composite end of an association may have parts that are classifiers and associations. At the instance level, an instance of a part element is considered “part of” the instance of a composite element. If an association is part of a composite and it connects two classes that are also part of the same composite, then an instance of the association will connect objects that are part of the same composite object of which the instance is part.

A shareable aggregation denotes weak ownership; that is, the part may be included in several aggregates and its owner may also change over time. However, the semantics of a shareable aggregation does not imply deletion of the parts when an aggregate referencing it is deleted. Both kinds of aggregations define a transitive, antisymmetric relationship; that is, the instances form a directed, non-cyclic graph. Composition instances form a strict tree (or rather a forest).

**Superclasses**

Class

**Constraints**

An Association must have at least two AssociationEnds. [C-5-1]

The AssociationEnds must have a unique name within the association. [C-5-2]

At most one AssociationEnd may be an aggregation or composition. [C-5-3]

If an Association has three or more AssociationEnds, then no AssociationEnd may be an aggregation or composition. [C-5-4]

The connected Classifiers of the AssociationEnds should be included in the Namespace of the Association, or be Classifiers with public visibility in other Namespaces to which the Association has access. [C-5-5]

**4.5.2.2 AssociationEnd**

An association end is an endpoint of an association that connects the association to a classifier. Each association end is part of one association. The association ends of each association are ordered.

In the metamodel an AssociationEnd is part of an Association and specifies the connection of an Association to some other Classifier. Because AssociationEnds are a kind of StructuralFeature, they are owned and ordered by Association instances via the ClassifierFeature association. The StructuralFeatureType association is used to
identify the Classifier to which the AssociationEnd is attached. Each AssociationEnd has a name and defines a set of properties of the connection.

The multiplicity property of an association end specifies how many instances of the classifier at a given end (the one bearing the multiplicity value) may be associated with a single instance of the classifier at the other end. The association end also states whether or not the connection may be traversed towards the instance playing that role in the connection (the isNavigable attribute); that is, if the instance is directly reachable via the association.

Superclasses
StructuralFeature

Attributes

aggregation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>AggregationKind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

isNavigable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

An AssociationEnd must have an owning Association. [C-5-6]

The Classifier of an AssociationEnd cannot be an Interface or a DataType if the association is navigable away from that end. [C-5-7]

An Instance may not belong by composition to more than one composite Instance. [C-5-8]

An AssociationEnd with composite or shared aggregation semantics must be owned by an Association. [C-5-9]
4.5.2.3 Generalization

A generalization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general element and a more specific element. The more specific element is fully consistent with the more general element (it has all of its properties, members, and relationships) and may contain additional information.

In the metamodel a Generalization is a directed inheritance relationship, uniting a Classifier with a more general Classifier in a hierarchy. Generalization is a subtyping relationship; that is, an instance of the more general (“parent”) Classifier may be substituted by an instance of the more specific (“child”) Classifier.

To understand inheritance fully, it is necessary to understand the concept of a full descriptor and a segment descriptor. A full descriptor is the full description needed to describe an instance of a metamodel object. It contains a description of all of the attributes, associations, and operations that the object contains.

In a pre-object-oriented language, the full descriptor of a data structure was declared directly in its entirety. In an object-oriented language, the description of an object is built out of incremental segments that are combined using inheritance to produce a full descriptor for an object. The segments are the modeling elements that are actually declared in a model. Each classifier contains a list of features and other relationships that it adds to what it inherits from its ancestors. The mechanism of inheritance defines how full descriptors are produced from a set of segments connected by generalization. The full descriptors are implicit, but they define the structure of actual instances.

Features of a classifier that have private visibility are not visible to descendants of the classifier.

If a classifier has no parent, then its full descriptor is the same as its segment descriptor. If a classifier has one or more parents, then its full descriptor contains the union of the features from its own segment descriptor and the segment descriptors of all of its ancestors. No attribute, operation, or association end with the same signature may be declared in more than one of the segments (in other words, they may not be redefined). A method may be declared in more than one segment. A method declared in any segment supersedes and replaces a method with the same signature declared in any ancestor. If two or more methods nevertheless remain, then they conflict and the model is ill formed. The constraints on the full descriptor are the union of the constraints on the segment itself and all of its ancestors. If any of them are inconsistent, then the model is ill formed.

In any full descriptor for a classifier, each method must have a corresponding operation. In a concrete classifier, each operation in its full descriptor must have a corresponding method in the full descriptor.

Superclasses

ModelElement
References

child

Designates a Classifier that occupies the child or specialization position of the Generalization relationship.

class: Classifier
defined by: ChildElement::child
multiplicity: exactly one

parent

Designates a Classifier that occupies the parent or generalization position of the Generalization relationship.

class: Classifier
defined by: ParentElement::parent
multiplicity: exactly one

4.5.3 Relationships Associations

4.5.3.1 ChildElement

The ChildElement association links Classifiers with the Generalization instances that describe where they participate as children in the inheritance hierarchy.

Ends

child

Identifies the Classifier instance that acts as a child in the Generalization relationship.

class: Classifier
multiplicity: exactly one

generalization

Identifies the set of Generalization instances in which the Classifier acts as a child in the inheritance hierarchy.

class: Generalization
multiplicity: zero or more
4.5.3.2 ParentElement

The ParentElement association links Classifiers with the Generalization instances that describe where the Classifiers participate as parents in the inheritance hierarchy.

Ends

parent

Identifies the Classifier instance that acts as a parent in an inheritance hierarchy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

specialization

Identifies the set of Generalization instances in which the Classifier acts a parent in the inheritance hierarchy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Generalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5.4 OCL Representation of Relationships Constraints

4.5.4.1 Association

Operations

The operation allConnections results in the set of all AssociationEnds of the Association.

allConnections : Set(AssociationEnd);
allConnections = self.feature.oclIsKindOf(AssociationEnd)

Constraints

[C-5-1] An Association must have at least 2 AssociationEnds.
context Association inv:
self.allConnections->size > 1

[C-5-2] The AssociationEnds must have a unique name within the association.
context Association inv:
selc.allConnections->forAll( r1, r2 | r1.name = r2.name implies r1 = r2)
4.5.4.2 AssociationEnd

Constraints

[C-5-6] An AssociationEnd must have an owning Association.

**context** AssociationEnd **inv:**

```plaintext
self.owner.oclIsKindOf(Association)
```

[C-5-7] The Classifier of an AssociationEnd cannot be an Interface or a DataType if the association is navigable away from that end.

**context** AssociationEnd **inv:**

```plaintext
(self.type.oclIsKindOf(Interface) or self.type.oclIsKindOf(DataType)) implies
self.owner->select (ae | ae <self>-forAll(ae | ae.isNavigable = #false))
```
4.6 Instance Metamodel

The Instance metamodel depends on the following package:

```
org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
```

In addition to the metadata normally interchanged with CWM, it is sometimes useful to interchange specific data instances as well. The ObjectModel’s Instance metamodel allows the inclusion of data instances with the metadata.

The Instance metamodel is shown in Figure 4-7.

Data values may be stored in one of two alternative ways. The Slot class is a generic container that can hold either DataValue or Object instances. One way of storing a data value is to create a Slot instance and place a DataValue instance “in” the Slot via the SlotValue association. The alternate way is to create an instance of DataSlot, storing the value into its dataValue attribute. The former method is more general while the latter creates fewer total objects. Both techniques are illustrated in the example in Figure 4-7.

[C-5-8] An instance may not belong by composition to more than one composite Instance.

**context** AssociationEnd **inv:**

```
self.aggregation = #ak_composite implies self.multiplicity.max <= 1
```

[C-5-9] An AssociationEnd with composite or shared aggregation semantics must be owned by an Association.

**context** AssociationEnd **inv:**

```
self.aggregation = #ak_composite or self.aggregation = #ak_shared implies self.owner.oclIsKindOf(Association)
```
To aid understanding of the appropriate use of Instance metamodel classes and associations, a full example is presented in Figure 4-7, showing how Instance metamodel objects are used to represent the model, shown in Figure 4-8, and its instances.

The example model describes people and their marital relationships to other people. Marital relationships are represented by the reflective Marriage association between two separate people. The Marriage association has two association ends named “person” and “spouse.” Notice that each instance of the Marriage association has a string-valued attribute describing the current status of the marital relationship it
represents. Valid values for the MaritalStatus attribute are “Married,” “Divorced,” and “Widowed.” People who have never been married have no instances of the Marriage association.

Figure 4-9 on page 4-59 shows how the example model is represented as instances of the CWM ObjectModel metaclasses Class, Attribute, DataType, Association, and AssociationEnd. In addition, Instance metamodel classes are used to capture two kinds of data values that might be exchange using the CWM DTDs: valid values of the MaritalStatus attribute, and the marital relationship between the people George and Martha Custis Washington. In the figure, instances of the Instance metamodel are shown with a shaded background and labeled with an uppercase letter near their upper right corner to facilitate discussion. Lines in the figure represent ObjectModel associations that capture relationships between instances and are labeled with the associations name.

George is represented by Object A, and Martha, by Object B. These person objects own DataSlots C and D, respectively, that contain the names of the individuals.

The valid values of the MaritalStatus attribute are recorded by DataValue instances I, J, and K.

The marital relationship between George and Martha is represented, from George’s perspective, by Object F, which is an instance of the Marriage association. Object F owns Slots E, F, and G. Slot E holds the person association end and references Object A (George), whereas Slot G holds the spouse association end, referencing Object B (Martha). Slot H holds a DataValue instance describing the current value (“Married”) of the MaritalStatus attribute for Object F.
Figure 4-9  Instance metamodel example instances
4.6.1 Instance Classes

4.6.1.1 DataSlot

A Slot that is used to hold a data value where there is no need to manage the value as an element in its own right (in which case a DataValue would be used) - for example it is a one-off string value or a number. The dataValue (and dataType where set) must be consistent with the type of the DataSlot’s feature (Attribute) and must obey any constraints on the full descriptor of the Attribute’s DataType (including both explicit constraints and built-in constraints such as multiplicity).

Superclasses
Slot

Attributes

dataValue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References
dataType

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DataType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DataSlotType::dataType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A DataType instance associated with a DataSlot instance must be compatible with the type of the DataSlot indicated by the feature::type inherited from Slot. [C-6-6]

The StructuralFeature instance obtained via the feature reference inherited from Slot must be an Attribute. [C-6-7]

The value reference inherited from Slot must be empty. [C-6-8]
4.6.1.2 DataValue

A data value is an instance with no identity. In the metamodel, DataValue is a child of Instance that cannot change its state; that is, all operations that are applicable to it are pure functions or queries that do not cause any side effects. DataValues are typically used as attribute values.

Since it is not possible to differentiate between two data values that appear to be the same, it becomes more of a philosophical issue whether there are several data values representing the same value or just one for each value. In addition, a data value cannot change its data type and it does not have contained instances.

Superclasses

Instance

Attributes

value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A string representation of the value.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A DataValue originates from a Classifier that is a DataType. [C-6-1]

A DataValue has no Slots. [C-6-2]

4.6.1.3 Extent

Each instance of Extent owns a collection of instances and is used to link such collections to their structural and behavioral definitions in CWM Resource packages. Because Extent is a subclass of package, it owns member instances via the ElementOwnership association.

Superclasses

Package

contained Elements

Object
4.6.1.4 Instance

Abstract

The instance construct defines an entity to which a set of operations can be applied and which has a state that stores the effects of the operations. In the metamodel Instance is connected to a Classifier that declares its structure and behavior. It has a set of attribute values matching the definition of its Classifier. The set of attribute values implements the current state of the Instance.

Because Instance is an abstract class, all Instances are either Object or DataValue instances.

The data content of an Instance comprises one value for each attribute in its full descriptor (and nothing more). The value must be consistent with the type of the attribute. An instance must obey any constraints on the full descriptor of the Classifier of which it is an instance (including both explicit constraints and built-in constraints such as multiplicity).

Superclasses

ModelElement

References

classifier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Classifier that declares the structure of the Instance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class: Classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by: InstanceClassifier::classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.1.5 Object

An object is an instance that originates from a class.

In the metamodel Object is a subclass of Instance originating from a Class. The Class may be modified dynamically, which means that the set of features of the Object may change during its lifetime.

An object is an instance that originates from a class; it is structured and behaves according to its class. All objects originating from the same class are structured in the same way, although each of them has its own set of attribute slots. Each attribute slot references an instance, usually a data value or possibly another object. The number of attribute slots with the same name fulfills the multiplicity of the corresponding attribute in the class. The set may be modified according to the specification in the corresponding attribute. For example, each referenced instance must originate from (a specialization of) the type of the attribute, and attribute slots may be added or removed according to the changeable property of the attribute.
An Object instance’s slots may contain either DataValue instances or other Object instances. Owned Object instances occur as side-effects of either of two metamodel situations: First, the Classifier of the owning instance contains features (via the ClassifierFeature association) whose types are non-DataType Classifiers. Second, the StructuralFeature describing the attribute slot is an AssociationEnd.

An Object instance may own other Object instances. This occurs when the Classifier describing the owning Object contains the Classifier(s) describing the owned object through namespace containment via the ElementOwnership association. Namespace rules imply that an Object instance contained in another Object instance has access to all names that are accessible to its container instance.

**Superclasses**

Instance

**Contained Elements**

Slot

**References**

*slot*

| The set of Slot instances owned by the Object. |
|---|---|
| **class:** | Slot |
| **defined by:** | ObjectSlot::slot |
| **multiplicity:** | zero or more |
| **inverse:** | Slot::object |

**Constraints**

An Object may only own Objects and DataValues.  [C-6-3]

If an Object represents an association, at least two of its ends must not be empty.  [C-6-4]

**4.6.1.6 Slot**

A slot is a named location in an Object instance that holds the current value of the StructuralFeature associated with the Slot instance. Normally, the StructuralFeature associated with the slot will be either an Attribute instance or an AssociationEnd instance. Slots are owned by Objects; DataValues do not have slots.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement
References

feature

References the StructuralFeature instance that describes the value held by the Slot instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>StructuralFeature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>FeatureSlot::feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

object

References the Object instance that owns the Slot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ObjectSlot::object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Object::slot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

value

References the DataValue or Object instance that contains the current value held by the Slot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Instance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>SlotValue::value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

If the StructuralFeature describing a Slot is an AssociationEnd, the Classifier associated with the Object owning the Slot must be an Association. [C-6-5]

If the Slot instance is not also a DataSlot, the value reference must be present. [C-6-9]

4.6.2 Instance Associations

4.6.2.1 DataSlotType

The DataSlotType association connects DataSlot instances with the DataType instance that identifies the type of information stored in the DataSlot::dataValue attribute.
### 4.6.2.2 FeatureSlot

The FeatureSlot association connects Slot instances with the StructuralFeature instance (usually either an Attribute or AssociationEnd instance) describing the structure of the value held by the Slot.

#### Ends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the StructuralFeature instance for which the Slot instance contains the current value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>slot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the set of Slot instances containing values of the StructuralFeature instance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.6.2.3 InstanceClassifier

The InstanceClassifier association links Instances with Classifiers that describe them.
Ends

instance

Identifies the set of Instances described by the Classifier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Instance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

classifier

Identifies the Classifier that describes the structure of the Instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.2.4 ObjectSlot

The ObjectSlot association connects Slot instances with their owning Object instances.

Ends

object

Identifies the Object instance that owns the Slot instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>composition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

slot

Identifies the set of Slot instances owned by the Object instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Slot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.2.5 SlotValue

The SlotValue association connects slot instances with the DataValue or Object instance that contains the current value held by the slot.
4.6.3 OCL Representation of Instance Constraints

**Constraints**

[C-6-1] A DataValue originates from a Classifier that is a DataType.

```ocl
context DataValue inv:
    self.classifier.oclIsKindOf(DataType)
```

[C-6-2] A DataValue has no Slots.

```ocl
context DataValue inv:
    self.valueSlot->isEmpty
```

[C-6-3] An Object may only own Objects and DataValues.

```ocl
context Object inv:
    self.contents->forAll(c | c.oclIsKindOf(Object) or c.oclIsKindOf(DataValue))
```

[C-6-4] If an Object represents an association, at least two of its ends must not be empty.

```ocl
context Object inv:
    self.classifier.oclIsKindOf(Association) implies
```

---

**Ends**

**value**

Identifies the Instance subtype (either a DataValue or an Object) that holds the current value represented by the Slot instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Instance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**valueSlot**

Identifies the set of Slot instances for which the DataValue or Object instance contains the current value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Slot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
self.slot.feature->iterate( ae ; cnt : Integer = 0 |
  if ae.oclIsKindOf(AssociationEnd) and ae.value.notEmpty then
    cnt + 1
  else
    cnt
  end if ) > 1

[C-6-5] If the StructuralFeature describing a Slot is an AssociationEnd, the Classifier associated with the Object owning the Slot must be an Association.

context Slot inv:

self.feature.oclIsKindOf(AssociationEnd) implies self.value.classifier.oclIsKindOf(Association)

[C-6-6] A DataType instance associated with a DataSlot instance must be compatible with the type of the DataSlot indicated by the feature::type inherited from Slot.

context DataSlot inv:

self.dataType->notEmpty implies self.dataType.oclIsKindOf(self.feature.type)

[C-6-7] The StructuralFeature instance obtained via the feature reference inherited from Slot must be an Attribute.

context DataSlot inv:

self.feature.oclIsTypeOf(Attribute)

[C-6-8] The value reference inherited from Slot must be empty.

context DataSlot inv:

self.value->isEmpty()

[C-6-9] If the Slot instance is not also a DataSlot, the value reference must be present.

context Slot inv:

self.oclIsTypeOf(Slot) implies self.value->notEmpty()
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5.1 Overview

The Foundation is a collection of metamodel packages that contain model elements representing concepts and structures that are shared by other CWM packages. Consequently, Foundation model elements often have a more general-purpose nature than model elements found in packages at higher CWM organizational levels.

Foundation model elements in a particular metamodel package are not necessarily intended to describe fully all aspects of concepts and structures they represent. Rather, they are meant to provide a common foundation which other packages can extend as necessary to meet their specific needs.
Foundation model elements differ from ObjectModel elements because they are specific to the goals and purposes of CWM. ObjectModel elements, in contrast, are of a general purpose nature and applicable in diverse areas.

5.2 Organization of the Foundation

The CWM uses packages to control complexity and create groupings of logically interrelated classes. The Foundation is a collection of packages that are described together because they all provide metamodel services to other CWM packages. A subsection of this chapter is devoted to each of the Foundation packages, presented in alphabetical order. The relationship between the Foundation and each of its constituent packages is shown in Figure 5-1.

Organizing the Foundation in this fashion allows the individual metamodel packages to be understood and used independently of each other without sacrificing their common purpose. For example, a CWM extension package supporting a programming language might need the DataTypes, Expressions, TypeMapping, and SoftwareDeployment packages but not need the KeysIndexes or BusinessInformation packages.

Figure 5-1   Foundation Top Level Packages

5.3 Business Information Metamodel

The Business Information package depends on the following package:

org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
The Business Information Metamodel provides general purpose services available to all CWM packages for defining business-oriented information about model elements. The business-oriented services described here are designed to support the needs of data warehousing and business intelligence systems; they are not intended as a complete representation of general purpose business intelligence metamodel.

Business Information Metamodel services support the notions of responsible parties and information about how to contact them, identification of off-line documentation and support for general-purpose descriptive information. Three CWM classes “anchor” these services: ResponsibleParty, Document, and Description, respectively.

The metamodel is shown in Figure 5-2.

![Business Information metamodel](image)

**Figure 5-2** BusinessInformation metamodel

To aid in representing the diversity of organizational structures and documentation relationships that may be encountered in a business intelligence system, the metamodel provides robust relationships between the anchor classes and every model element in the CWM metamodel. The necessary robustness is achieved in several ways.

First, every CWM model element may have zero or more instances of each anchor class associated with it. This means, for example, that a single Description instance can be used to describe many different model elements. Conversely, a single model element may be described by many different Description instances. Likewise, Document and
ResponsibleParty instances can be associated in completely ad hoc ways with any model element. Extending this idea means, for example, that Description instances could be used to further describe ResponsibleParty and Document instance, if needed.

Second, because they are Namespaces, the anchor classes can be organized into hierarchies using the ElementOwnership association. For instance, an organizational structure can be represented by a hierarchy of ResponsibleParty instances. Also, the internal structure of a document; that is, its chapters, sections, subsections, etc. might be represented by a hierarchy of Document instances.

Finally, instances of the three anchor classes can be associated with any model element (via their individual associations to ModelElement) and referenced by multiple instances of any of the three anchor classes. Because of the strong containment of the ElementOwnership association in the ObjectModel, anchor class instances can only participate in one hierarchy, but there are no restrictions preventing anchor class instances embedded in a hierarchy from referencing, or being referenced by, other model elements (even other members of the same hierarchy).

To illustrate some of the ways that the metamodel can be used, Figure 5-3 shows a simple document hierarchy with responsibility assignments and descriptive comments (boxes represent instances of metamodel classes and labelled lines represent metamodel associations connecting instances). In the example, the product plan document for the Widget product is composed of three subplans: a marketing plan, an engineering plan, and a resource plan. The relationships between the subplans documents is shown as a hierarchy with the product plan owning the three subordinate plans via the ElementOwnership association. Each part of the plan is assigned to a responsible party using the ModelElementResponsibility association. Finally, Description instances are used to record roles for the responsible parties.
Similar robustness is provided for structuring relationships between ResponsibleParty instances and the means of contacting them. Each ResponsibleParty can have multiple, ordered sets of contact information (the Contact class) and a single set of contact information can service multiple ResponsibleParties. Also, because they are not owned by any particular Contact instance, Telephone, Email, Location, and ResourceLocator instances can be reused elsewhere in the CWM metamodel. An example of the use of Business Information classes to find the ChiefEngineer at three times (Weekday, Weekend, Emergency) is shown in Figure 5-4.
5.3.1 BusinessInformation Classes

5.3.1.1 Contact

Each Contact instance collects together the various types of related contact information. Each Contact instance can be associated with multiple Email, Location, and Telephone instances. Conversely, each Email, Location, ResourceLocator, and Telephone instance can be associated with many Contact instances. The ordering constraints on the associations between these classes and the Contact class can be used to represent a prioritized sequence in which the various types of contact information should be used.

A particular ResponsibleParty instance may have multiple instances of Contact associated with it via the ResponsiblePartyContact association. This association is ordered to support representation of the sequence in which Contact instances should be used. For example, a ResponsibleParty instance representing an employee might be associated with Contact instances representing their office, home, and mobile contact information with an indication that the employee should be contacted first at the office, then at home, and finally via their mobile phone.

To maximize flexibility of the metamodel, Contact instances may provide contact information for multiple ResponsibleParty instances.
### Superclasses
ModelElement

### References

#### email
Identifies the Email instances associated with this Contact instance. The ordered constraint may be used to identify the order in which Email instances should be contacted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ContactEmail::email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Email::contact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### location
Identifies the Location instances associated with this Contact instance. The ordered constraint may be used to identify the order in which Location instances should be contacted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ContactLocation::location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Location::contact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### responsibleParty
Identifies the ResponsibleParty instances associated with this Contact instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ResponsibleParty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ResponsiblePartyContact::responsibleParty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>ResponsibleParty::contact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### telephone
Identifies the Telephone instance associated with this Contact instance. The ordered constraint may be used to identify the order in which Telephone instances should be contacted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ContactTelephone::telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Telephone::contact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.1.2 Description

Instances of the Description class contain arbitrary textual information relevant to a particular ModelElement. While Description instances may contain any desired textual information, they will typically contain documentation or references to external reference information about the owning ModelElement.

Any ModelElement may have multiple Description instances associated with it. Indeed, a ModelElement instance that is a Description instance may itself have multiple Description instances linked to it. Also, a hierarchies of Description instances can be constructed.

Description instances are meant to hold descriptive textual information that will be stored in the metamodel itself. In contrast, Document instances are meant to describe the location documentary information stored outside the metamodel.

### Superclasses

Namespace

### Attributes

**body**

Contains a textual description of information pertaining to the owning ModelElement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**language**

Contains an identification of the language in which the content of the body attribute is specified. If desired, the language specification may be applied to the name attribute derived from ModelElement as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Description instance may not describe itself \[C-3-1\].

5.3.1.3 Document

The Document class represents externally stored descriptive information about some aspect of the modeled system. An instance of Document might be associated with one or more ModelElements. The name of a Document instance is derived from its superclasses.

Although the purposes of the Description and Document types may overlap somewhat, their chief distinction is that Description instances are stored with the CWM metadata whereas Documentation instances are stored externally to the CWM metadata. Although there is an implication here that Documentation instances might represent more voluminous information than Description instances, there is no particular requirement that this be so.

Because Documentation instances are themselves Namespace instances, hierarchical relationships between various externally stored documents can be represented.

**Superclasses**

Namespace
Attributes

**reference**

Contains a textual representation of the identification, and perhaps the physical location, of externally maintained documentary information about some aspect of the ModelElement(s) with which the Document instance is associated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**type**

Contains a textual description of the type of information the Document represents. Specific contents are usage defined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**modelElement**

Identifies the ModelElement(s) for which this Document instance is relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DocumentDescribes::modelElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A Document instance may not describe itself [C-3-2].

5.3.1.4 Email

An Email instance identifies a single email address. Via a Contact instance, an email address can be associated with one or more ResponsibleParty instances. Email instances might, for example, be used by an automated tool to send an automatically generated email message to a ResponsibleParty instance responsible about some change of state for a particular ModelElement. Multiple Email instances may be associated with a single Contact instance and the ordering of the association between them may be used to represent the sequence in which the Email instances should be contacted.

Because email addresses are first class objects within the CWM, they can be used for purposes beyond those associated with the CWMFoundation’s Business Information concepts.
Superclasses

ModelElement

Attributes

**eMailAddress**

A textual representation of an email address.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**eMailType**

Contains a textual representation of the type of the email address. Interesting values might include location information such as “home” or “work,” or perhaps an indication of the type of email system for which the eMailAddress is formatted, such as “SMTP” or “X.400.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**contact**

Identifies the Contact instance(s) for which this Email instance is relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ContactEmail::contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Contact::email</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1.5 Location

Instances of the Location class represent physical locations. Note that the **name** of a Location is derived from its superclass, ModelElement.

Because Locations are first class objects within the CWM, they can be used for purposes beyond those associated with the CWM Foundation’s Business Information concepts. If additional attributes about Location instances are required, they should be added by creating subtypes of the Location class and placing the additional attributes therein.

Superclasses

ModelElement
### Attributes

#### locationType

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive information about the character or identity of the Location instance.

#### address

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The address of the Location instance. The precise content of this string is usage-defined.

#### city

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The name of the city in which the Location instance is found. The precise content of this string is usage-defined.

#### postCode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The postal code of the Location instance. The precise content of this string is usage-defined.

#### area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The area in which the Location instance is found. The precise content of this string is usage-defined, but a common usage would be to refer to a geographical subdivision such as a state or province.

#### country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The name of the country in which the Location instance is found. The precise content of this string is usage-defined.
5.3.1.6 ResourceLocator

Instances of the ResourceLocator class provide a general means for describing the resources whose location is not defined by a traditional mailing address. For example, a ResourceLocator instance could refer to anything from a location within a building (“Room 317, third file cabinet, 2nd drawer”) to a web location (“www.omg.org”).

Because they are first class objects in the CWM, ResourceLocator instances may also be used for purposes beyond those associated with the CWM Foundation’s Business Information concepts.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

**url**

Contains the text of the resource location. For Internet locations, this will be a web URL (Uniform Resource Locator) but there is no requirement that this be so. In fact, the string can contain any text meaningful to its intended use in a particular environment.

- **type:** String
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**References**

**contact**

Identifies the Contact instance(s) for which the ResourceLocator instance is relevant.

- **class:** Contact
- **defined by:** ContactResourceLocator::contact
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** Contact::url
5.3.1.7 ResponsibleParty

The ResponsibleParty class allows representation of entities within an information system that are in some way interested in receiving information about, or are otherwise responsible for, particular ModelElements. Each ResponsibleParty may own multiple sets of contact information, and a single ResponsibleParty may be associated with many ModelElements.

ResponsibleParty instances may represent any entity appropriate to the system being modeled and need not be limited to people. For example, a ResponsibleParty instance might represent an individual such as “George Washington,” a role (the “President”), or an organization (“Congress”), depending upon the needs of the system being modeled. Similarly, the precise semantics of the responsibility attribute are open to interpretation and may be adapted on a system-by-system basis.

Because ResponsibleParty instances are Namespaces, they can be organized into hierarchies of ResponsibleParty instances, capturing organizational structures or similar relationships.

**Superclasses**

Namespace

**Attributes**

**responsibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Textual identification or description of the ResponsibleParty in a usage-dependent format.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**contact**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the Contact instance(s) associated with a ResponsibleParty instance. The ordered constraint on this reference allows retention of the sequence in which multiple Contact should be employed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
modelElement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ModelElementResponsibility::modelElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constraints**

A ResponsibleParty instance may not be responsible for itself. [C-3-3]

5.3.1.8 Telephone

Instances of the Telephone class represent telephone contact information.

Because telephones are first class objects within the CWM, they can be used for purposes beyond those associated with the CWM Foundation’s Business Information concepts.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

**phoneNumber**

A textual representation of the telephone’s number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**phoneType**

A textual representation of the telephone’s type, such as “multi-line,” or its usage, such as “home,” “work,” “fax,” or “mobile.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References

5.3.2 BusinessInformation Associations

5.3.2.1 ContactEmail

The ContactEmail association indicates the Email instances relevant used by Contact instances.

Ends

5.3.2.2 ContactLocation

The ContactLocation association relates Contact instances to relevant Location instances.
5.3.2.3 ContactResourceLocator

The ContactResourceLocator association relates ResourceLocator instances to the Contact instances in which they participate.

Ends

contact

Identifies the Contact instance(s) with which this Location instance is associated.

class: Contact

Multiplicity: zero or more

location

Identifies the Location instances associated with this Contact instance. The ordered constraint may be used to identify the order in which Location instances should be contacted.

class: Location

Multiplicity: zero or more; ordered

5.3.2.4 ContactTelephone

The ContactTelephone association relates telephones to the Contact instances that reference them.

Ends

contact

Identifies the Contact instances for which a ResourceLocator instance is relevant.

class: Contact

Multiplicity: zero or more

url

Identifies the ResourceLocator instances related to this ContactInfo instance. Note that the ordered constraint on this role can be used to indicate the sequence in which ResourceLocator should be contacted.

class: Telephone

Multiplicity: zero or more; ordered
### 5.3.2.5 DocumentDescribes

The DocumentDescribes association connects a Document instance with the ModelElement instances to which it pertains.

**Ends**

- **modelElement**
  - Identifies the ModelElement instances for which this Document instance is relevant.
  - **class:** ModelElement
  - **multiplicity:** zero or more

- **document**
  - Identifies the Document instances relevant to a particular ModelElement.
  - **class:** Document
  - **multiplicity:** zero or more

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.2.7 ModelElementResponsibility

The ModelElement Responsibility association identifies the ResponsibleParty instances for each ModelElement and allows determination of the ModelElements for which a ResponsibleParty instance is responsible.

Ends

**modelElement**

Identifies the model elements for which this ResponsibleParty instance has some interest or responsibility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**responsibleParty**

Identifies the ResponsibleParty instances relevant for a particular ModelElement instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ResponsibleParty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2.8 ResponsiblePartyContact  

The ResponsiblePartyContact association allows a ResponsibleParty to have multiple sets of contact information. The ordered constraint can be used to determine the sequence in which the sets of contact information should be used.

Identifies the Description instances relevant for a particular ModelElement instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.3 OCL Representation of BusinessInformation Constraints

[C-3-1] A Description may not describe itself.

context Description inv:
self.modelElement->forAll(p | p <> self)

[C-3-2] A Document may not describe itself.

context Document inv:
self.modelElement->forAll(p | p <> self)

[C-3-3] A ResponsibleParty may not describe itself.

context ResponsibleParty inv:
self.modelElement->forAll(p | p <> self)

5.4 DataTypes Metamodel

The DataTypes package depends on the following packages:
org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core

The CWM DataTypes metamodel supports definition of metamodel constructs that modelers can use to create the specific data types they need. Although the CWM Foundation itself does not contain specific data type definitions, a number of data type definitions for widely used environments are provided (in the CWM Data Types chapter) as examples of the appropriate usage of CWM Foundation classes for creating data type definitions.
5.4.1 DataTypes Classes

5.4.1.1 Enumeration

The Enumeration class is intended as a starting point from which enumerated data types can be created. An enumerated data type is a collection of identifiers often used as the permitted states that some other attribute or property of the enumerated type may take.

The isOrdered attribute of an Enumeration instance is used to determine if the ordered constraint on the EnumerationLiterals association is relevant for the enumeration. The particular ordering of EnumerationLiteral instances is obtained from the ordered constraint on the association even if the value attributes of the EnumerationLiteral instances contain non-null values that might be used to determine ordering. This is done to provide more flexible ordering semantics.

An instance of Enumeration is also required to create a range data type. Refer to the EnumerationLiteral class for details.

Superclasses

DataType
5.4.1.2 **EnumerationLiteral**

EnumerationLiteral instances describe the enumeration identifiers, and possibly the values, associated with an enumerated data type. Enumeration identifiers are contained in the **name** attribute derived from the EnumerationLiteral instance’s ModelElement superclass.

EnumerationLiteral instances may also be used to define expression-based values such as ranges. To do so, simply state the membership expression in the instance’s value. For example, a range literal can be created by setting the **value** attribute to “m..n,” where m represents the lower bound of the range, and n, the upper bound. In this way, ranges and other more complicated expressions can be intermixed with simple enumeration literals. For example, an enumeration might contain the literals “1,” “2,” “4..7,” and “> 10.”

Consequently, a simple range data type can be created with an Enumeration instance that owns a single EnumerationLiteral instance. For example, a data type for positive integers could be created as shown in Figure 5-6. A model attribute of this data type might then be declared as “posInt : PositiveInteger.”
Figure 5-6 Using Enumeration and EnumerationLiteral instances to create range data types

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes

value

The value associated with an enumeration identifier can be stored here. The attribute is optional because enumeration literals are not required to have a specific, displayable value. This is indicated by either an empty value attribute or a value attribute value whose expression body attribute is a zero-length string.

type: Expression
multiplicity: zero or more

References

enumeration

Identifies the Enumeration instance for which this enumeration literal is relevant.

class: Enumeration
defined by: EnumerationLiterals::enumeration
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: Enumeration::literal

5.4.1.3 QueryExpression

QueryExpression instances contain query statements in language-dependent form.

Superclasses

ProcedureExpression
5.4.1.4 TypeAlias

The TypeAlias class is intended to provide a renaming capability for Classifier instances. This class is required to support situations in which creation of an alias for a class effectively creates a new class. For example, CORBA IDL type aliases have different typeCodes than their base types and are therefore treated as distinct types.

Superclasses

DataType

References

type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the Classifier instance for which this TypeAlias instance acts as an alias.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>defined by:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A TypeAlias instance cannot alias itself. [C-4-1]

5.4.1.5 Union

The Union class represents programming language unions and similarly structured data types. Because of the diversity of union semantics found across software systems, the Union and UnionMember classes are likely candidates for specialization to better capture union semantics in specific language extension packages.

A discriminated Union has a collection of UnionMembers that determine the sets of contents that the Union may contain. Such Unions have an attribute called the discriminator that identifies the memberCase value of the UnionMember that the Union currently contains. The discriminator is found via the UnionDiscriminator association to StructuralFeature. The discriminator may be embedded within UnionMembers or may be located outside the discriminator. If it is located within UnionMembers, the discriminator should occur in every UnionMember at the same location (often, the first).

Undiscriminated unions (for example, a C language union) are also supported, but have an empty discriminator reference, and the memberCase attribute of the UnionMembers it contains is ignored.

Undiscriminated Unions are often used to represent alternate views of a single physical storage area. A fine degree of control over this aspect of Unions may be obtained by creating a class that derives from both UnionMember and FixedOffsetField (in the CWM Record package) and setting the offset attribute instances of that class accordingly.
Superclasses
Classifier

Contained Elements
UnionMember

References

discriminator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>StructuralFeature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>UnionDiscriminator::discriminator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints
A Union can have at most one default UnionMember instance. [C-4-2]

5.4.1.6 UnionMember

UnionMembers are described as features of a Union and each represents one of the members of a Union. Note, however, that multiple case values can map to a single UnionMember. If isDefault is true, the union member is the default member. UnionMember instances are allowed to have a memberCase and be the default case.

UnionMember instances often represent structured storage areas. A particular UnionMember may be associated with a Classifier that describes its internal structure via the StructuralFeatureType association (defined in the ObjectModel::Core package). For example, the Record::Group class, itself a Classifier, can be used as the type of a UnionMember in a manner completely analogous to how it is used to describe the type of a structured field (see the instance diagrams in the Record metamodel chapter for details).

Superclasses
Attribute

Attributes

memberCase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
isDefault

Indicates if this UnionMember is the default member of the Union (implying that when unstated, the Union’s discriminator would assume this instance’s memberCase value).

| type:    | Boolean |
| multiplicity: | exactly one |

5.4.2 DataTypes Associations

5.4.2.1 ClassifierAlias

The ClassifierAlias association connects TypeAlias instances with the Classifier instances that they rename.

Ends

type

Identifies the Classifier instance for which this TypeAlias instance acts as an alias.

| class:       | Classifier |
| multiplicity: | exactly one |

alias

Identifies the TypeAliases that have been defined for a particular Classifier instance.

| class:       | TypeAlias |
| multiplicity: | zero or more |

5.4.2.2 EnumerationLiterals

The EnumerationLiterals association links enumeration literals to the Enumeration instances that contain them.

If the Enumeration’s isOrdered attribute is True, the ordering constraint on the association is relevant. Otherwise, it is ignored.

Ends

enumeration

Identifies the Enumeration instance for which this enumeration literal is relevant.

| class:       | Enumeration |
| multiplicity: | exactly one |
5.4.2.3 **UnionDiscriminator**

The UnionDiscriminator association connects a Union instance with the StructuralFeature instance that can be used to determine which UnionMember instance is currently present in the Union instance. This “discriminating” attribute may be a feature of the UnionMembers themselves or may be a feature of some Classifier that contains the Union instance as one of its Features. In the former case, the discriminating feature will usually be present at the same offset in each UnionMember instance. If the discriminator reference is empty for a particular Union instance, it is considered to be an “undiscriminated” Union and determination of the current UnionMember residing in the Union is usage-defined.

**Ends**

**discriminator**

Identifies the StructuralFeature instance that serves as the discriminator for the Union.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>StructuralFeature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**discriminatedUnion**

Identifies the Union instances in which a particular StructuralFeature acts as the discriminator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Union</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4.3 OCL Representation of DataTypes Constraints

[C-4-1] A TypeAlias instance cannot alias itself.

```oclm
context TypeAlias inv:
self.type <> self
```

[C-4-2] A Union can have at most one default UnionMember instance.

```oclm
context Union inv:
self.allFeatures->select(isDefault)->size <= 1
```

5.5 Expressions Metamodel

The Expressions package depends on the following packages:

```
org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
```

The CWM Expressions metamodel provides basic support for the definition of expression trees within the CWM. The intent of the Expressions metamodel is to provide a place for other CWM packages (such as Transformation) and CWM compliant tools to record shared expressions in a common form that can be used for interchange and lineage tracking.

![Figure 5-7 Expressions metamodel](image-url)
The expression concept in the CWM Foundation takes a functional view of expression trees, resulting in the ability of relatively few expression types to represent a broad range of expressions. Every function or traditional mathematical operator that appears in an expression hierarchy is represented as a FeatureNode. For example, the arithmetic plus operation “a + b” can be thought of as the function “sum(a, b).” The semantics of a particular function or operation are left to specific tool implementations and are not captured by the CWM.

The hierarchical nature of the CWM’s representation of expressions is achieved by the recursive nature of the OperationArgument association. This association allows the sub-hierarchies within an expression to be treated as actual parameters of their parent nodes.

By way of example, the following instance diagram shows one representation of a CWM expression tree for the well-known Einstein equation $E = mc^2$. To better understand how the equation is mapped into the expression tree, the formula can be rewritten in a functional notation as

$$\text{Assign}(E, \text{Multiply}(m, \text{Power}(c, 2)))$$

This functional form of the equation is then mapped into a set of expression tree instances as shown in Figure 5-8.

Alternatively, if sharing and lineage tracking of elements within the expression are not required, the expression could be stored using an Attribute of type ExpressionNode by assigning the string “$E = mc^2$” as the Attribute’s expression::body value. For flexibility, use of the expression attribute within an expression hierarchy is allowed, but the precise semantics of such situations are not defined by CWM.
5.5.1 Expressions Classes

5.5.1.1 ConstantNode

Instances of the ConstantNode class are ExpressionNodes that represent constant values within expressions. Appropriate uses of the ConstantNode class place the values of constants in the `value` attribute, rather than in the `expression::body` attribute inherited from ExpressionNode. The latter attribute is intended for a different purpose; see the description of the ExpressionNode class for details.

**Superclasses**

ExpressionNode
5

5.5.1.2 ElementNode

An ElementNode is a node in an expression that references some ModelElement instance. This subclass of ExpressionNode allows an expression to reference any CWM model element that is not a Feature and cannot, therefore, be represented as a FeatureNode.

Typically, use of this subclass of ExpressionNode implies that a tool attempting to evaluate the expression will be able to determine if the referenced ModelElement instance is also an instance of some interesting subclass of ModelElement that contains a value of interest in the expression.

Superclasses

ExpressionNode

References

modelElement

Identifies the ModelElement instance that this ElementNode references.

class: ModelElement

defined by: ReferencedElement::modelElement

5.5.1.3 ExpressionNode

All node types within an expression are derived from the ExpressionNode type.

An expression is stored as a collection of instances of the subtypes of ExpressionNode arranged in a hierarchical fashion. The ExpressionNode instance at the top (or “root”) of the hierarchy represents the value of the expression and serves as a starting point for expression evaluation. Refer to the descriptions of the subtypes of ExpressionNode (ElementNode, ConstantNode, and FeatureNode) for additional information about the representation of expressions.

One important purpose for providing storage of expressions as a general feature of the CWM is to promote sharing them between tools and to provide a means for recording lineage relationships between components within expressions. Specific details of the implementation of expression operators are left to the implementing tools.

Attributes

value

The value of a constant in an expression tree.

type: Any

Multiplicity: exactly one

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class</td>
<td>ModelElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ReferencedElement::modelElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When ExpressionNode is used as the type of an Attribute, an instance of the Attribute can contain either an expression tree as described here or a textual representation of the expression in body and language values in an attribute of type Expression (defined ObjectModel). The expression attribute is provided for the latter usage. To obtain CWM’s sharing and lineage tracking features for elements within an expression, the expression must be represented as an expression hierarchy.

**Superclasses**

Element

**Attributes**

**expression**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contains a textual representation of the expression relevant for this ExpressionNode instance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**featureNode**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the FeatureNode for which this ExpressionNode instance represents the value of an argument. Because arguments can themselves represent entire expression sub-trees, this reference is used to create hierarchies of expression nodes, permitting representation of entire expression trees within the CWM.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>defined by:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>inverse:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the Classifier instance that represents the type of the expression at this level in the expression hierarchy. Although, formally, each node within an expression tree is capable of having a value and therefore, a data type, this reference is optional because modeling the data type of intermediate nodes in an expression tree is not always interesting, thereby reducing the effort required to create expression trees.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>defined by:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.1.4 FeatureNode

The FeatureNode class represents ExpressionNode instances that are features; that is, attributes or operations of some Classifier instance within the CWM.

A FeatureNode with a null OperationArgument association represents either a parameter-less operation or an attribute value obtained from some StructuralFeature instance.

Superclasses

ExpressionNode

Contained Elements

ExpressionNode

References

argument

Identifies the ExpressionNode instances that represent the actual arguments for this FeatureNode. By convention, the first actual argument is a reference to the object itself. If the argument reference is null, the FeatureNode is an attribute or parameter-less function or operation.

class: ExpressionNode
defined by: OperationArgument::argument
multiplicity: zero or more; ordered
inverse: ExpressionNode::featureNode

feature

Identifies the Feature (attribute or operation) that this FeatureNode instance represents.

class: Feature
defined by: NodeFeature::feature
multiplicity: exactly one

Constraints

A FeatureNode that has parameters other than the “this” parameter represents a Feature that is also an Operation. [C-5-1]

If the FeatureNode represents an instance-scope feature, the first argument is a “this” or “self” argument; that is, the object invoking the feature. The convention is enforced by checking that the type of the first argument is the same as the type of the feature. [C-5-2]
If the FeatureNode represents a BehavioralFeature, the number of arguments must be equal to the number of the BehavioralFeature’s parameters, plus one for the “this” parameter if the BehavioralFeature is of instance scope. [C-5-3]

If the FeatureNode represents a BehavioralFeature, the types of the arguments must match, in order, the types of the parameters, allowing for the optional presence of a leading “this” parameter. [C-5-4]

5.5.2 Expressions Associations

5.5.2.1 ExpressionNodeClassifier

The ExpressionNodeClassifier association identifies the type of an ExpressionNode instance.

Ends

expressionNode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ExpressionNode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.2.2 NodeFeature

The NodeFeature association identifies the Feature (usually, an Attribute or Operation subtype) that FeatureNode represents.

Ends

feature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**featureNode**

Identifies the FeatureNode instances that use a particular Feature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>FeatureNode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.2.3 **OperationArgument**

The OperationArgument association identifies and orders the actual arguments of an Operation indicated by the FeatureNode end of the association. This association is meaningful only if the FeatureNode references, via the NodeFeature association, a Feature that is also an Operation. The association is not meaningful under other circumstances.

**Ends**

**argument**

Identifies the ExpressionNode instances that represent the actual arguments for this FeatureNode. If the argument reference is null, the FeatureNode is an attribute or parameter-less function or operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ExpressionNode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**featureNode**

Identifies the FeatureNode for which this ExpressionNode instance represents the value of an argument. Because arguments can themselves represent entire expression sub-trees, this reference is used to create hierarchies of expression nodes, permitting representation of entire expression trees within the CWM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>FeatureNode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.2.4 **ReferencedElement**

The ReferencedElement association links the ElementNode instances of an expression with the ModelElement instances to which they refer.
5.5.3 OCL Representation of Expressions Constraints

[C-5-1] A FeatureNode that has parameters other than the “this” parameter represents a Feature that is also an Operation.

context FeatureNode inv:
if self.feature.ownerScope = #instance
  then self.argument->size > 1 implies self.feature.oclIsKindOf(Operation)
  else self.argument->size > 0 implies self.feature.oclIsKindOf(Operation)
endif

[C-5-2] If the FeatureNode represents an instance-scope feature, the first argument is a “this” or “self” argument; that is, the object invoking the feature. The convention is enforced by checking that the type of the first argument is the same as the type of the feature.

context FeatureNode inv:
self.feature.ownerScope = #instance implies self.argument->first.type.allFeatures->includes(self.feature)
[C-5-3] If the FeatureNode represents a BehavioralFeature, the number of arguments must be equal to the number of the BehavioralFeature’s parameters, plus one for the “this” parameter if the BehavioralFeature is of instance scope.

context FeatureNode inv:
self.feature.oclIsKindOf(BehavioralFeature) implies
(if self.feature.ownerScope = #instance
  then self.argument->size =
    self.feature.oclAsType(BehavioralFeature).parameters->size + 1
  else self.argument->size =
    self.feature.oclAsType(BehavioralFeature).parameters->size
endif)

[C-5-4] If the FeatureNode represents a BehavioralFeature, the types of the arguments must match, in order, the types of the parameters, allowing for the optional presence of a leading “this” parameter.

context FeatureNode inv:
self.feature.oclIsKindOf(BehavioralFeature) implies
(if self.feature.ownerScope = #instance
  then self.argument->forAll(arg : Integer |
    self.argument->at(arg + 1)
      .allSuperTypes.union(self.argument.oclType)->
        includes(self.feature.oclAsType(BehavioralFeature)
          .parameters->at(arg))
  else self.argument->forAll(arg : Integer |
    self.argument->at(arg)
      .allSuperTypes.union(self.argument.oclType)->
        includes(self.feature.oclAsType(BehavioralFeature)
          .parameters->at(arg))
endif)

5.6 KeysIndexes Metamodel

The KeysIndexes package depends on the following package:

org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core

Keys and indexes as means for specifying instances and for identifying alternate sortings of instances are represented in the CWMFoundation so that they can be shared among the various data models that employ them. The CWM Foundation defines the base concepts (uniqueness and relationships implemented as keys) upon which more specific key structures can be built by other CWM and tool-specific packages.
The concepts of key and index have been placed in the CWM Foundation because they are available in many types of data resources. In the CWM Foundation class and association descriptions that follow, relational model examples are frequently used when discussing the definition and usage of key and index types. This is done because of the wide-spread availability of relational systems and is thought to promote an understanding of the underlying concepts. These concepts, however, are no less applicable to other data models as well.

The two central classes for representing the concept of keys are UniqueKey and KeyRelationship. UniqueKey instances correspond to the notion that keys represent the identity of instances -- similar to the relational model’s concept of a primary key or an object model’s concept of an object identity. In contrast, KeyRelationship instances correspond to the notion that keys embedded in an instance can be used to determine the identity of other related instances -- similar to the relational model concept of foreign key and the object model concept of a reference. Consequently, UniqueKey and KeyRelationship are best thought of as representing roles that collections of Features of Classifiers play rather than Classifiers describing the internal structure of keys.

Representing keys as roles rather than structural entities provides greater flexibility and allows the reuse of Features in multiple keys and in differing relationships to each other. Associations within the KeysIndexes package describe how UniqueKey and KeyRelationship instances describe the roles they play for various Class instances and the StructuralFeature instances they contain.
An example of the usage of Index, KeyRelationship, and UniqueKey instances to implement a simple foreign key relationship between stars and the constellations in which they are found can be seen in Figure 5-10. Also, Indexes are used to implement the ordering of constellation and star IDs.

![Figure 5-10 Star and constellation keys and index example](image)

### 5.6.1 KeysIndexes Classes

#### 5.6.1.1 Index

Instances of the Index class represent the ordering of the instances of some other Class, and the Index is said to “span” the Class. Indexes normally have an ordered set of attributes of the Class instance they span that make up the “key” of the index; this set of relationships is represented by the IndexedFeature class that indicates how the attributes are used by the Index instance.

The Index class is intended primarily as a starting point for tools that require the notion of an index.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Contained Elements**

IndexedFeature
Attributes

**isUnique**

The isUnique attribute is True if the Index instance guarantees all of its instances have a unique key value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**isSorted**

If True, the Index instance is maintained in a sorted order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**isPartitioning**

If True, this Index instance is used as a partitioning index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**indexedFeature**

Identifies the IndexedFeature instance that associates this Index with one of the StructuralFeature elements of the Index’s key. The ordered constraint on this reference can be used to represent the sequential order of elements of the Index’s key.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>IndexedFeature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>IndexedFeatureInfo::indexedFeature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>one or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>IndexedFeature::index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**spannedClass**

Identifies the Class instance spanned by the Index instance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>IndexSpansClass::spannedClass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.1.2 IndexedFeature

Instances of the IndexedFeature class map StructuralFeature instances of the spanned Class instance to the Index instances that employ them as (part of) their key. Attributes of IndexedFeature instances indicate how specific StructuralFeature instances are used in Index keys.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes

isAscending

The isAscending attribute is true if the feature is sorted in ascending order and false, if descending order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>Zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

index

Identifies the Index instance for which this IndexedFeature instance is relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>IndexedFeatureInfo::index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Index::indexedFeature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

feature

Identifies the StructuralFeature instance for which this IndexedFeature instance is relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>StructuralFeature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>IndexedFeatures::feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

The isAscending attribute is valid only if the isSorted attribute is True. [C-6-1]

5.6.1.3 KeyRelationship

KeyRelationship instances represent relationships between UniqueKey instances and the Class(es) that reference them. This class is intended as a starting point for the creation of “foreign key” and other associative relationships.
Superclasses
ModelElement

References
feature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>defined by</th>
<th>KeyRelationshipFeatures::feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

uniqueKey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>UniqueKey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>UniqueKeyRelationship::uniqueKey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>UniqueKey::keyRelationship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints
A KeyRelationship instance must be owned by one and only one Class instance. [C-6-2]

5.6.1.4 UniqueKey

A UniqueKey represents a collection of features of some Class that, taken together, uniquely identify instances of the Class. Instances of UniqueKey for which all features are required to have non-null values are candidates for use as primary keys such as those defined by relational DBMSs.

Superclasses
ModelElement
References

feature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the StructuralFeature instances that make up the unique key. The ordered constraint is used to represent the sequence of StructuralFeature instances that make up the UniqueKey instance’s key.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

keyRelationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the KeyRelationship instances that reference this UniqueKey instance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

An UniqueKey instance must be owned by one and only one Class instance. [C-6-3]

5.6.2 KeysIndexes Associations

5.6.2.1 IndexedFeatureInfo

The IndexedFeatureInfo association connects an Index instance to information about how the StructuralFeature instances that are constituents of the Index’s key are used by the Index.

Ends

index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the Index instance for which this IndexedFeature instance is relevant.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.2.2 IndexedFeatures

The IndexedFeatures association links StructuralFeature instances to information about how they participate in the keys of Index instances.

\textit{Ends}

\textit{feature}

Identifies the StructuralFeature instance for which this IndexedFeature instance is relevant.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textit{class}: & StructuralFeature \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity}: & exactly one \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textit{indexedFeature}

Identifies the IndexedFeature instances that describe how a particular StructuralFeature is used by the keys of Index instances.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textit{class}: & IndexedFeature \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity}: & zero or more \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

5.6.2.3 IndexSpansClass

Associates indexes with the classes they span. This relationship is separate from the ownership of indexes, to allow modeling of systems where an index is NOT owned by the object it spans. In most situations, however, the spanned and owning Class instances will be the same.

\textit{Ends}

\textit{index}

Identifies Index instances that span this Class instance.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textit{class}: & Index \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity}: & zero or more \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
spannedClass

| Identifies the Class instance the Index instance spans. |
|---|---|
| **class:** | Class |
| **multiplicity:** | exactly one |

5.6.2.4 KeyRelationshipFeatures

The KeyRelationshipFeatures association links KeyRelationship instances with the StructuralFeature instances that comprise their key.

Ends

feature

| Identifies StructuralFeature instances that participate as (part of) the key of this KeyRelationship instance. In the relational case, this reference indicates the columns that make up the foreign key. |
|---|---|
| **class:** | StructuralFeature |
| **multiplicity:** | one or more; ordered |

keyRelationship

| Identifies the KeyRelationship instances that employ a particular StructuralFeature as part of their key. |
|---|---|
| **class:** | KeyRelationship |
| **multiplicity:** | zero or more |

5.6.2.5 UniqueFeature

The UniqueFeature association identifies the Feature instances of a Class instance that confer uniqueness. The ordered constraint is used to determine the order of StructuralFeature instances in the UniqueKey instance.

Ends

feature

| Identifies the StructuralFeature instances that make up the unique key. The ordered constraint is used to represent the sequence of StructuralFeature instances that make up the UniqueKey instance’s key. In the relational model case, these StructuralFeature instances identify the columns that make up a table’s primary key. |
|---|---|
| **class:** | StructuralFeature |
| **multiplicity:** | one or more; ordered |
5.6.2.6 UniqueKeyRelationship

The UniqueKeyRelationship association links a KeyRelationship with the UniqueKey with which it is paired. For example, in relational model terms, this association links a foreign key -- the KeyRelationship instance -- with the primary key -- the UniqueKey instance -- with which it is paired.

### Ends

**keyRelationship**

Identifies the KeyRelationship instances with which a particular UniqueKey instance is paired.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>KeyRelationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**uniqueKey**

Identifies the KeyRelationship instances that reference this UniqueKey instance. In the relational case, this reference identifies the foreign keys that reference this primary key.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>UniqueKey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3 OCL Representation of KeysIndexes Constraints

[C-6-1] The `isAscending` attribute is valid only if the `isSorted` attribute is True.

**context** IndexedFeature **inv:**

self.isAscending->notEmpty **implies** self.index.isSorted

[C-6-2] A KeyRelationship instance must be owned by one and only one Class instance.

**context** KeyRelationship **inv:**

(self.namespace->size = 1) and self.namespace.oclIsKindOf(Class)

[C-6-3] A UniqueKey instance must be owned by one and only one Class instance.

**context** UniqueKey **inv:**

(self.namespace->size = 1) and self.namespace.oclIsKindOf(Class)
5.7 SoftwareDeployment Metamodel

The Software Deployment package depends on the following packages:
- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- org.omg::CWM::Foundation::BusinessInformation
- org.omg::CWM::Foundation::TypeMapping

The Software Deployment package contains classes to record how the software in a data warehouse is used.

A software package is represented as a SoftwareSystem object, which is a subtype of Subsystem. A SoftwareSystem may reference one or more TypeSystems that define the datatypes supported by the SoftwareSystem. The mappings between datatypes in different TypeSystems may be recorded as TypeMappings, as described in Section 5.8, “TypeMapping Metamodel,” on page 5-66.

The separate components of a software package are each represented as Components that are either owned or imported by the SoftwareSystem. When a SoftwareSystem is installed, the deployment is recorded as a DeployedSoftwareSystem and a set of DeployedComponents.

A DeployedComponent represents the deployment of a specific Component on a specific computer. Dependencies between DeployedComponents on the same computer may be documented as Usage dependencies between them.

Individual computers are represented as Machine objects, located at a Site. A Site represents a geographical location, which may be recorded at any relevant level of granularity (for example, a country, a building, or a room in a building). Hierarchical links between Sites at different levels of granularity may be documented.

A DataManager is a DeployedComponent such as a DBMS or file management system that provides access to data. It may be associated with one or more data Packages identifying the Schema, Relational Catalog, Files, or other data containers that it provides access to.

A DataProvider is a DeployedComponent that acts as a client to provide access to data held by a DataManager. For example, an ODBC or JDBC client on a specific Machine would be represented as a DataProvider. A DataProvider may have several ProviderConnections, each identifying a DataManager that may be accessed using the DataProvider.

If a DataProvider uses a name for a data Package that is different from the actual name used by the DataManager, a PackageUsage object can be added to record this.

As a DataProvider is a subtype of DataManager, it is possible for a DataProvider to access data from a DataManager, which is actually a DataProvider acting as a client to yet another DataManager.
The model for the Software Deployment package is shown in the following three diagrams. The first diagram (Figure 5-11) shows the objects related to software deployment, while the second diagram (Figure 5-12) displays the DataManager and DataProvider area of the model. The third diagram (Figure 5-13) shows the inheritance structure for all the classes in the Software Deployment package.

Figure 5-11  Software Deployment
Figure 5-12  DataManager andDataProvider
Figure 5-13  Software Deployment Inheritances
5.7.1 SoftwareDeployment Classes

5.7.1.1 Component

A Component represents a physical piece of implementation of a system, including software code (source, binary or executable) or equivalents such as scripts or command files. A Component is a subtype of Classifier, and so may have its own Features, such as Attributes and Operations.

Deployment of a Component on a specific Machine is represented as a DeployedComponent.

Superclasses
Classifier

References
designPackage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
<td>Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ComponentDesign::designPackage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.1.2 DataManager

A DataManager represents a DeployedComponent that manages access to data. For example, a deployed DBMS or File Management System would be represented as a DataManager.

The DataManager may be associated with one or more data Packages identifying the Schema, Relational Catalog, Files, or other data container that it provides access to.

Superclasses
DeployedComponent

Attributes

isCaseSensitive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type:</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.7.1.3 DataProvider

A DataProvider is a deployed software Component that acts as a client to provide access to data that is managed by another product. For instance, a DataProvider might represent a deployed ODBC or JDBC product.

The DataProvider may have resourceConnection references to ProviderConnections identifying the DataManagers to which it provides access.

Superclasses
DataManager

Contained Elements
ProviderConnection

References

resourceConnection

Identifies the ProviderConnections that the DataProvider may use to access data resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ProviderConnection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DataManager::resourceConnection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>ProviderConnection::dataProvider</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.1.4 DeployedComponent

A DeployedComponent represents the deployment of a Component on a specific Machine.

It may represent the deployment of any type of Component. However, if the Component is part of a SoftwareSystem, the DeployedComponent should be part of a DeployedSoftwareSystem.
Usage dependencies may be used to document that one DeployedComponent uses another DeployedComponent.

**Superclasses**

Package

**Attributes**

**pathname**

A pathname for the DeployedComponent within the Machine’s file system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**component**

Identifies the Component deployed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ComponentDeployments::component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**machine**

Identifies the Machine on which the DeployedComponent is deployed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>ComponentsOnMachine::machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Machine::deployedComponent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**usedComponents**

Identifies the DeployedComponent instances that use this DeployedComponent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DeployedComponent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DeployedComponentUsage::usedComponents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>DeployedComponent::usingComponents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.7.1.5 **DeployedSoftwareSystem**

A DeployedSoftwareSystem represents a deployment of a SoftwareSystem.

Its associated DeployedComponents identify the individual Component deployments that constitute the DeployedSoftwareSystem. These DeployedComponents are not necessarily all deployed on the same Machine.

**Superclasses**
- Package

**Attributes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fixLevel</td>
<td>Describes the fix level of the DeployedSoftwareSystem instance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>softwareSystem</td>
<td>Identifies the SoftwareSystem deployed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class</td>
<td>SoftwareSystem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>SoftwareSystemDeployments::softwareSystem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.1.6 **Machine**

A Machine represents a computer. The Site at which the Machine is located and the Components deployed on the Machine may be recorded.
**Superclasses**

Namespace

**Contained Elements**

DeployedComponent

**Attributes**

**ipAddress**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A fixed IP address for the Machine.

**hostName**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Host Name for the Machine. This may be used to identify the Machine on the network when IP addresses are dynamically allocated.

**machineID**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An identification code for the Machine.

**References**

**site**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>SiteMachines::site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identifies the Site at which the Machine is located.
5.7.1.7 PackageUsage

A PackageUsage represents a usage of a Package. It is particularly relevant in situations where a specific usage uses an alternative name for the Package, as this alternative name can be recorded using the packageAlias attribute.

For example, if a DataProvider representing an ODBC or JDBC client uses a name for a relational database that is different from the dataPackage name used by the RDBMS server, a PackageUsage that has the relevant ProviderConnection as client and the server’s data Package as supplier can be added. Its packageAlias attribute can be used to record the name by which the data Package is known to the DataProvider.

**Superclasses**

Dependency

**Attributes**

**packageAlias**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If this attribute is present, it identifies the name by which the Package is known to the client.

**Constraints**

A PackageUsage must have a single Package (or subtype of Package) as its supplier. [C-8-1]

5.7.1.8 ProviderConnection

A ProviderConnection represents a connection that allows a DataProvider acting as a client to access data from a specific DataManager. For example a ProviderConnection could represent a connection from an ODBC or JDBC client to a DBMS.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement
Attributes

**isReadOnly**

Indicates whether the ProviderConnection only allows read access to the DataManager.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**dataProvider**

Identifies the DataProvider that is the client of the ProviderConnection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DataProvider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DataProviderConnections::dataProvider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>DataProvider::resourceConnection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**dataManager**

Identifies the DataManager that is accessed by the ProviderConnection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DataManager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DataManagerConnections::dataManager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A ProviderConnection must not associate a DataProvider with itself. [C-8-2]

5.7.1.9 Site

A Site represents a geographical location. It provides a grouping mechanism for a group of machines at the same location.

Sites may be documented at different levels of granularity; containment links may be used to record hierarchical relationships between Sites.

Superclasses

Location
References

containingSite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class:</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defined by:</td>
<td>RelatedSites::containingSite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A Site must not have a containingSite reference that refers to itself. [C-8-3]

5.7.1.10 SoftwareSystem

A SoftwareSystem represents a specific release of a software product. It consists of a set of software Components.

Superclasses

Subsystem

Contained Elements

Component

Attributes

type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
subtype

This is used in conjunction with the type attribute to provide additional information about the type of the software product.
For some of the predefined types, suggested subtype values are listed below:
- For an Operating System product (type OS):
  AIX, Linux, MVS, NT, Solaris, SunOS, VMS or Windows.
- For a Database Management System product (type DBMS):
  DB2, DMS II, IMS, Informix, Oracle, SQLServer or Sybase.
- For a Multidimensional Database product (type MDDB):
  Essbase or Express.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

supplier

The supplier of the software product.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

version

The version identification for the software product.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

typespace

Identifies the TypeSystem(s) containing the datatypes supported by the SoftwareSystem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>TypeSystem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>SystemTypespace::typespace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.2 SoftwareDeployment Associations

5.7.2.1 ComponentDeployments

This association identifies the deployments of a Component.
5.7.3.2 ComponentDesign

This associates Components with the Packages containing their design. Typically this will reference the logical schema.

Ends

component

Identifies the Component deployed.

class: Component

multiplicity: exactly one

deployment

Identifies the DeployedComponent.

class: DeployedComponent

multiplicity: zero or more

5.7.3.3 ComponentsOnMachine

Identifies the Machine on which a DeployedComponent is deployed.

Ends

deployedComponent

Identifies the DeployedComponents on the Machine.

class: DeployedComponent

multiplicity: zero or more
machine

Identifies the Machine on which a DeployedComponent is deployed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.3.4 DataManagerConnections

Identifies the DataManager that is accessed by a ProviderConnection.

Ends

DataManager

Identifies the DataManager accessed by the ProviderConnection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DataManager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

clientConnection

Identifies the ProviderConnections that may be used by clients to access the data provided by this DataManager.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ProviderConnection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.3.5 DataManagerDataPackage

This associates the Package(s) containing the definition of the data with the DataManager that is used to access it.

For example, it may be used to associate a Schema, Relational Catalog, or File with the DataManager that manages access to it.

Ends

dataPackage

Identifies a Package containing the definition of the data made available by the DataManager.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Package</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.7.3.6 **DataProviderConnections**  
Identifies the ProviderConnections that a DataProvider acting as a client may use.

**Ends**

dataProvider

- **Identifies the DataProvider that uses the ProviderConnection.**
- **class:** DataManager
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **aggregation:** composite

resourceConnection

- **Identifies the ProviderConnections that the DataProvider may use to access DataManagers.**
- **class:** ProviderConnection
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

5.7.3.7 **DeployedSoftwareSystemComponents**

This association identifies the DeployedComponents that constitute a DeployedSoftwareSystem.

**Ends**

deployedSoftwareSystem

- **Identifies the DeployedSoftwareSystem.**
- **class:** DeployedSoftwareSystem
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

deployedComponent

- **Identifies the DeployedComponent.**
- **class:** DeployedComponent
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
5.7.4.8 DeployedComponentsUsage

This links a DeployedComponent to the other DeployedComponents that it uses. Typically this might link a deployed application to the physical databases (instances of DataManager) that it accesses.

Ends

usedComponents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DeployedComponent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

usingComponents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DeployedComponent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.4.9 DeployedSoftwareSystemComponents

This association identifies the DeployedComponents that constitute a DeployedSoftwareSystem.

Ends

deployedSoftwareSystem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DeployedSoftwareSystem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

deployedComponent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DeployedComponent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.4.10 RelatedSites

This may be used to record hierarchical relationships between Sites.
5.7.4.11 SiteMachines

Identifies the Site on which a Machine is located.

Ends

\textit{site}

Identifies the Site on which the Machine is located.

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textit{class:} & Site \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity:} & zero or one \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textit{machine}

Identifies the Machines located at the Site.

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textit{class:} & Machine \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity:} & zero or more \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

5.7.4.12 SoftwareSystemDeployments

Identifies the deployments of a SoftwareSystem.

Ends

\textit{softwareSystem}

Identifies the SoftwareSystem deployed.

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textit{class:} & SoftwareSystem \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity:} & exactly one \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
**deployment**

Identifies the deployments of the SoftwareSystem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DeployedSoftwareSystem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.7.4.13 SystemTypespace

A SoftwareSystem’s typespace identifies the TypeSystem(s) containing the datatypes supported by the SoftwareSystem.

**Ends**

### supportingSystem

Identifies a SoftwareSystem that supports the datatypes defined by the TypeSystem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>SoftwareSystem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### typespace

Identifies a TypeSystem containing datatypes supported by the SoftwareSystem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>TypeSystem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.7.5 OCL Representation of SoftwareDeployment Constraints

[C-8-1] A PackageUsage must have a single Package (or subtype of Package) as its supplier.

**context** PackageUsage **inv:**

self.supplier->size=1 and
self.supplier->at(1).oclIsKindOf(Package)

[C-8-2] A ProviderConnection must not associate a DataProvider with itself.

**context** ProviderConnection **inv:**

self.dataManager <> self.dataProvider

[C-8-3] A Site must not have a containingSite reference that refers to itself.

**context** Site **inv:**

self.containingSite -> forall (c | c <> self)
5.8 TypeMapping Metamodel

The TypeMapping package depends on the following package:

```
org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
```

The TypeMapping package supports the mapping of data types between different systems. The purpose of these mappings is to indicate data types in different systems that are sufficiently compatible that data values can be interchanged between them. Multiple mappings are allowed between any pair of types and a means of identifying the preferred mapping is provided.

![TypeMapping metamodel diagram](image)

*Figure 5-14  TypeMapping metamodel*

Figure 5-15 provides a simple example of the use of the TypeMapping package to map the CORBA IDL v2.2 `long` data type and the Java 2 `int` data type to each other.
5.8.1 TypeMapping Classes

5.8.1.1 TypeMapping

TypeMapping instances permit the creation of mappings between data types defined within different environments and are used to indicate data type compatibilities that permit direct assignment of values from one environment (the “source” type) into equivalent values in another environment (the “target” type). For example, an integer field data type in a record-oriented DBMS (the source type) might be mapped to a compatible integer data type in a relational DBMS (the target type).

Whereas the actual transfer of data values between environments is accomplished using the CWM’s Transformation package, TypeMapping instances can be used to identify both the permissible and preferred mappings between data types. Value interchange between a pair of data types is considered permissible if a TypeMapping instance is defined for the pair. A TypeMapping instance is considered the preferred mapping if the instance’s isBestMatch attribute has the value true.

Typically, there will be one TypeMapping Instance between a pair of data types that is considered the preferred mapping. To promote flexible use of this feature, there is no requirement that a preferred TypeMapping instance must be identified between a pair of data types nor are multiple preferred instances prohibited. In these latter cases, however, the precise semantics are usage-defined.
Interchange between data types defined by non-preferred mappings may often function as intended. However, the \textit{isLossy} boolean may be set to indicate that such interchanges may be subject to validity restrictions in certain circumstances. For example, it may be valid to move data values from a 32-bit integer data type to a 16-bit integer data type as long as the actual values in the 32-bit underlying data type do not exceed the range permitted for 16-bit integers. The CWM Foundation leaves the understanding and handling of such differences to individual tools. If such differences must be modeled, consider using the CWM Transformation package to filter data values during interchange.

TypeMapping instances are unidirectional, so two instances are required to show that a data type pair can be mutually interchanged between environments.

\textbf{Superclasses}

ModelElement

\textbf{Attributes}

\textit{isBestMatch}

| True if this TypeMapping instance represents the best available mapping between a pair of data types in different software systems. |
|---|---|
| \textit{type:} | Boolean |
| \textit{multiplicity:} | exactly one |

\textit{isLossy}

| True if this TypeMapping instance may result in a data conversion error if the source data is within certain ranges. For example, storing a 32-bit unsigned integer value into a 16-bit unsigned integer container will result in a data conversion error only when the source data has a value greater than 65535. |
|---|---|
| \textit{type:} | Boolean |
| \textit{multiplicity:} | exactly one |

\textbf{References}

\textit{sourceType}

| Identifies the Classifier instance that is the source of information exchange. |
|---|---|
| \textit{class:} | Classifier |
| \textit{defined by:} | MappingSource::sourceType |
| \textit{multiplicity:} | exactly one |
**targetType**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>MappingTarget::targetType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constraints**

The targetType and sourceType references may not refer to the same Classifier instance. [C-8-1]

### 5.8.1.2 TypeSystem

Instances of the TypeSystem class collect together the data types (subclasses of Classifier) defined by a software system and the TypeMapping instances defining how they are mapped to data types in other TypeSystems. TypeMapping instances collected by a TypeSystem instance include both those in which the software system’s data types act as sources and as targets of mappings. Classifiers and TypeMappings are maintained in a single collection via the ElementOwnership association but can be distinguished by their respective types.

Because it is a Package, a TypeSystem can also serve to collect together the Classifier instances for a particular software system.

**Superclasses**

Package

**Contained Elements**

TypeMapping

**Attributes**

**version**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constraints**

A TypeSystem may own only Classifiers and TypeMappings. [C-8-2]
5.8.2 TypeMapping Associations

5.8.2.1 MappingSource

The MappingSource association indicates the underlying Classifier instance of a particular TypeMapping.

**Ends**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sourceType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the Classifier instance that is the source of information exchange.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class: Classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mappingFrom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the TypeMapping instances in which a particular Classifier participates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class: TypeMapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.2.2 MappingTarget

The MappingTarget association indicates the exposed data type for a particular TypeMapping instance.

**Ends**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>targetType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the Classifier instance that is the target of information exchange.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class: Classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mappingTo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the TypeMapping instance of a particular Classifier instance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class: TypeMapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.3 OCL Representation of TypeMapping Constraints

[C-8-1] The sourceType and targetType references may not refer to the same Classifier instance.
context TypeMapping inv:

self.sourceType <> self.targetType

[C-8-2] A TypeSystem may own only Classifiers and TypeMappings.

context TypeSystem inv:

self.ownedElement->forAll( e | e.oclIsKindOf(Classifier) or e.oclIsKindOf(TypeMapping))
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6.1 Overview

The Relational package describes data accessible through a relational interface such as a native RDBMS, ODBC, or JDBC. The Relational package is based on the [SQL] standard section concerning RDBMS catalogs.

The scope of the top level container, Catalog, is intended to cover all the tables a user can use in a single statement. A catalog is also the unit that is managed by a data resource. A catalog contains schemas which themselves contain tables. Tables are made of columns that have an associated data type.

The Relational package uses constructs in the ObjectModel package to describe the object extensions added to SQL by the [SQL] standards.

The Relational package also addresses the issues of indexing, primary keys, and foreign keys by extending the corresponding concepts from the Foundation packages.
6.2 Organization of the Relational Package

6.2.1 Inheritance

The Relational package depends on the following packages:

- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Behavioral
- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Instance
- org.omg::CWM::Foundation::DataTypes
- org.omg::CWM::Foundation::KeysIndexes

The Relational package references the ObjectModel and Foundation packages.

Figure 6-1 shows the Relational package classes and their inheritance from the ObjectModel and Foundation classes. The Relational package, as do the other data packages, define top-level containers (Catalog, Schema) that extend the ObjectModel Package class. ColumnSet and SQLStructuredType extend Class. The Columns contained in the ColumnSet are extensions of the ObjectModel Attribute. The data type of a column (SQLDataType) inherits from ObjectModel Classifier. This structuring of the classes will be particularly useful to describe the object extensions of SQL.
6.2.2 Containers

In addition to owning Tables and/or Views, Schemas also own Procedures and Triggers.
6.2.3 *Tables, Columns, and Data Types*

A ColumnSet represents any form of relational data. A NamedColumnSet is a cataloged version of a ColumnSet, which is owned by a Schema. A NamedColumnSet can be a logical View or a physical Table. Instead of being a NamedColumnSet, a ColumnSet can be a QueryColumnSet, which is the result of an SQL query.

Columns are associated with an SQLDataType, using the type association from StructuralFeature to Classifier inherited from ObjectModel Core.

Figure 6-3 shows the original two data types: simple type and distinct type. Simple types are defined by the [SQL] standards, however, some RDBMS implementations use additional types. An SQL distinct type is defined from a simple type.
6.2.4 Structured Types and Object Extensions

The [SQL] standard adds object-oriented notions to SQL with structured types. A structured type is defined in terms of columns, as illustrated in the following example: `CREATE TYPE person_t AS(name varchar(20), birthyear integer).` Since a `SQLStructuredType` is a Classifier that owns Attributes, it is natural to associate an `SQLStructuredType` to a set of Columns. Similarly, to represent a type created by `CREATE TYPE emp_t UNDER person_t AS(salary integer).` We use the `ObjectModel Generalization` to associate the two types. As a result, the following instances are created to represent the above two examples.
Figure 6-4 Instance diagram for two structured types

An association between Column and SQLStructuredType (ColumnRefStructuredType) has been added to represent structured type attributes that reference another type, as in 

\[
\text{CREATE TYPE dept\_t AS (name varchar(40), mgr REF emp\_t)}
\]

This leads to the following instance diagram:

![Instance diagram for two structured types](image)

**Example 2:** CREATE TYPE Dept\_t AS (name varchar(40), mgr REF emp\_t)

See Example 1 for details on Emp\_t.

Figure 6-5 Instance diagram for a structured type containing a REF clause
A structured type can be used as the data type of a column, but also as a template for a table, as in `CREATE TABLE person OF person_t(ref is oid user generated)` or `CREATE TABLE emp OF emp_t UNDER person`. In these cases, the table will be created with columns that copy the content of the structured type, as described in the [SQL] standard. This allows programs that do not understand the object extensions to still work with the table, both at the data and metadata level. However, an association between the Table (this applies to views as well) and the SQLStructuredType allows the user of the model to remember which template was used to create the table. It is the responsibility of the application using the model to keep the SQLStructuredType and the Table list of columns synchronized. Figure 6-6 represents the examples above:

Finally, when a table (or a column) uses a structured type with a reference to another structured type, the reference is mapped to a table or view of the corresponding structured type, using the options scope clause. This represents an association between the column of the table or view with another table or view. This is modeled by the ColumnOptionsTable between a Column and a NamedColumnSet in CWM. For example, the statement `CREATE TABLE dept OF dept_t(ref is oid user generated, mgr WITH OPTIONS SCOPE emp)` would be represented by the following:

---

**Figure 6-6** Instance diagram for typed tables
Example 4: `CREATETABLE Dept OF Dept_t (ref is oid user generated, mgr WITH OPTIONS SCOPE Emp)
See Example 2 for details on Dept_t and Example 3 on Emp.

In summary, the SQLStructuredType has the following associations:

Figure 6-7 Instance diagram showing the use of Options Scope clause

Figure 6-8 SQLStructuredType and its associations
6.2.5 Keys

The concept of a key, a set of attributes that defines uniqueness among the instances of a class, is already introduced in the Foundation Keys&Indexes package by the UniqueKey class. The Relational model extends the UniqueKey class to UniqueConstraint. Similarly, the Relational package uses KeyRelationship from the Foundation package as the basis of a ForeignKey. The generic associations of the Foundation’s UniqueKey and KeyRelationship between themselves, Class and StructuralFeatures are inherited by associations between UniqueConstraint, ForeignKey, Table, and Columns in the Relational package.

![Figure 6-9 UniqueConstraint and ForeignKey](image-url)
6.2.6 Index

Similar to the keys, indexing is part of the Foundation and is extended in the Relational package.

![Diagram of Indexing]

Figure 6-10 Indexing

6.2.7 Triggers

Triggers represent an action performed by the RDBMS when a certain table is changed. Triggers are associated to the Table they monitor and are owned by a Schema, which may or may not be the same as the Schema owning the table. In addition, Triggers that use tables in their expressions are associated with them.
6.2.8 Procedures

Procedures extend the ObjectModel Method class and are owned by a Schema (see Figure 6-2 on page 6-4). The parameter and other information about the Procedure are illustrated in Figure 6-12.
6.2.9 Instances

It is sometimes necessary to provide either a copy or a sample of the data as part of the metadata. For example, one may want to specify during the design phase what will be the content of a Gender table. This is similar to the use of Collaboration diagrams in UML.

Figure 6-13 shows how a Rowset inherits from Extent, from the Foundation package. It represents all the data comprised in a ColumnSet. A RowSet can only be owned by a ColumnSet or any derived class. A RowSet contains Rows. Row inherits from Object. Its structure is defined by the corresponding ColumnSet and its Columns. Each Row is divided into ColumnValues, which match the value of a relational table, at the intersection of a row and a column. ColumnValue inherits from DataValue from ObjectModel.

Figure 6-13 Relational Instance classes

Figure 6-14 shows a collaboration diagram, we show how the instances for the two column, two row Gender table are represented, and how they are associated with the Gender table definition. Two kinds of Instances are instantiated: Row and ColumnValue. The Row is associated with the AttributeLink through the instance/slot association. The ColumnValue is associated with the AttributeLink through the value association. While not shown on the diagram to keep it readable, each Instance is associated with a Class: the Row would be associated with the ColumnSet, and the ColumnValue with the SQLType of the corresponding Column.
6.3 Relational Classes

6.3.1 Catalog

A Catalog is the unit of logon and identification. It also identifies the scope of SQL statements: the tables contained in a catalog can be used in a single SQL statement.

Superclasses
Package

Contained Elements
Schema
Attributes

**defaultCharacterSet**

The name of the default character set used for the values in the column. This field applies only to columns whose datatype is a character string.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**defaultCollation**

The name of the default collation sequence used to sort the data values in the column. This applies only to columns whose datatype is a form of character string.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.3.2 CheckConstraint

A rule that specifies the values allowed in one or more columns of every row of a table.

**Superclasses**

Constraint

**Attributes**

**deferrability**

Indicates the timing of the constraint enforcement during multiple-user updates.

| type      | DeferrabilityType ( initiallyDeferred | initiallyImmediate | notDeferrable ) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| multiplicity | exactly one                        |

### 6.3.3 Column

A column in a result set, a view, a table, or an SQLStructuredType.

**Superclasses**

Attribute
## Attributes

### characterSetName

The name of the character set used for the values in the column. This field applies only to columns whose datatype is a character string.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### collationName

The name of the collation sequence used to sort the data values in the column. This applies only to columns whose datatype is a form of character string.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### isNullable

Indicates if null values are valid in this column.

| type                     | NullableType ( columnNoNulls | columnNullable | columnNullableUnknown ) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| multiplicity             | exactly one                 |

### length

The length of fixed length character or byte strings. Maximum length if length is variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### precision

The total number of digits in the field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constraints:** Scale must be specified when precision is specified

### scale

The number of digits on the right of the decimal separator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6

References

\textit{referencedTableType}

The column, used in an SQLStructuredType is a REF to a type. This references the REF’ed SQLStructuredType.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textit{class:} & SQLStructuredType \\
\hline
\textit{defined by:} & ColumnRefStructuredType::referencedTableType \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity:} & zero or one \\
\hline
\textit{inverse:} & SQLStructuredType::referencingColumn \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textit{optionScopeColumnSet}

Reference to the NamedColumnSet (Table or View) indicated in the SCOPE clause of the Column definition.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textit{class:} & NamedColumnSet \\
\hline
\textit{defined by:} & ColumnOptionsColumnSet::optionScopeColumnSet \\
\hline
\textit{multiplicity:} & zero or one \\
\hline
\textit{inverse:} & NamedColumnSet::optionScopeColumn \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Constraints

The \textit{scale} attribute is valid only if the \textit{precision} attribute is specified. [C-3]

6.3.4 \textit{ColumnSet}

A set of columns, representing either the result of a query, a view, or a physical table.

\textit{Superclasses}

Class

\textit{Contained Elements}

Column

6.3.5 \textit{ColumnValue}

The value in a column instance.

\textit{Superclasses}

DataValue
6.3.6 **ForeignKey**

A Foreign Key associates columns from one table with columns of another table.

**Superclasses**

KeyRelationship

**Attributes**

**deleteRule**

| type: ReferentialRuleType ( importedKeyNoAction | importedKeyCascade | importedKeySetNull | importedKeyRestrict | importedKeySetDefault ) |
| multiplicity: exactly one |

**updateRule**

| type: ReferentialRuleType ( importedKeyNoAction | importedKeyCascade | importedKeySetNull | importedKeyRestrict | importedKeySetDefault ) |
| multiplicity: exactly one |

**deferrability**

| type: DeferrabilityType ( initiallyDeferred | initiallyImmediate | notDeferrable ) |
| multiplicity: exactly one |

6.3.7 **NamedColumnSet**

A catalogued set of columns, which may be Table or View.

Note for typed tables: It is assumed that the typed table will own a set of columns conforming to the type they are OF. This set of columns allows the manipulation of the table by products that ignore this [SQL] extension. It also allows the columns of type REF, to be copied to a column with a SCOPE reference.

**Superclasses**

ColumnSet
References

**usingTrigger**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class: Trigger</th>
<th>defined by: TriggerUsingColumnSet::usingTrigger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: zero or more</td>
<td>inverse: Trigger::usedColumnSet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class: SQLStructuredType</th>
<th>defined by: ColumnSetOfStructuredType::type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: zero or one</td>
<td>inverse: SQLStructuredType::columnSet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**optionScopeColumn**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class: Column</th>
<th>defined by: ColumnOptionsColumnSet::optionScopeColumn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: zero or more</td>
<td>inverse: Column::optionScopeColumnSet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.3.8 PrimaryKey

There is only one UniqueConstraint of type PrimaryKey per Table. It is implemented specifically by each RDBMS.

**Superclasses**

UniqueConstraint

### 6.3.9 Procedure

This class describes Relational DBMS Stored procedures and functions.

**Superclasses**

Method
Attributes

**type**

A Procedure can be either a Function or a true Procedure. This indicates whether this object returns a value or not.

| type          | ProcedureType (procedure | function) |
|---------------|--------------------------|
| multiplicity  | exactly one              |

6.3.10 **QueryColumnSet**

The result set of a query.

**Superclasses**

ColumnSet

**Attributes**

**query**

The query expression generating this result. The language attribute of the expression should generally begin with “SQL.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>QueryExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3.11 **Row**

An instance of a ColumnSet.

**Superclasses**

Object

6.3.12 **RowSet**

Each instance of RowSet owns a collection of Row instances. The inherited association between Namespace (a superclass of Package) and ModelElement is used to contain Instances.

**Superclasses**

Extent

**Contained Elements**

Row
6.3.13 Schema

A schema is a named collection of tables

Superclasses
Package

Contained Elements
NamedColumnSet
Trigger
Procedure
SQLIndex
CheckConstraint

6.3.14 SQLDataType abstract

An SQLDataType is used to reference any datatype associated with a column.

Superclasses
Classifier

Attributes

typeNumber

The number assigned to the datatype by the owning RDBMS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3.15 SQLDistinctType

A datatype defined as a Distinct Type, per [SQL] standard.

Superclasses
SQLDataType
TypeAlias
Attributes

length

The length of fixed length character or byte strings. Maximum length if length is variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

precision

The total number of digits in the field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

scale

The number of digits on the right of the decimal separator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

sqlSimpleType

The SQLSimpleType used to define the SQLDistinctType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>SQLSimpleType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>definedBy</td>
<td>SQLDistinctTypeWithSQLSimpleType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3.16 SQLIndex

An Index on a table.

Superclasses

Index

Contained Elements

SQLIndexColumn
Attributes

**filterCondition**

Which subset of the table is indexed.

- **type:** String
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**isNullable**

Entries in this index can be null.

- **type:** Boolean
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**autoUpdate**

The index is updated automatically.

- **type:** Boolean
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

### 6.3.17 SQLIndexColumn

Associates an index with its columns.

This is really an association (link) class. It is associated with one index and one column.

**Superclasses**

IndexedFeature

### 6.3.18 SQLParameter

Parameters of stored procedures.

**Superclasses**

Parameter

### 6.3.19 SQLSimpleType

A simple datatype used with an SQL column. Examples are Integer, Varchar, LOB, CLOB, etc.
Superclasses

- DataType
- SQLDataType

Attributes

**characterMaximumLength**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See [SQL], corresponding field in DATA_TYPE_DESCRIPTOR.

**characterOctetLength**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See [SQL], corresponding field in DATA_TYPE_DESCRIPTOR.

**numericPrecision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See [SQL], corresponding field in DATA_TYPE_DESCRIPTOR.

**numericPrecisionRadix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See [SQL], corresponding field in DATA_TYPE_DESCRIPTOR.

**numericScale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See [SQL], corresponding field in DATA_TYPE_DESCRIPTOR.

**dateTimePrecision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See [SQL], corresponding field in DATA_TYPE_DESCRIPTOR.
6.3.20 SQLStructuredType

A Datatype defined as Structured Type, per [SQL] standard.

Superclasses

Class
SQLDataType

Contained Elements

Column

References

columnSet

A NamedColumnSet created as of this type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>NamedColumnSet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ColumnSetOfStructuredType::columnSet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>NamedColumnSet::type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

referencingColumn

Reference a column of an SQLStructuredType (otherType) that is created with a REF clause referencing this SQLStructuredType (thisType). Note that in general, otherType and thisType are two different instances of SQLStructuredType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Column</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ColumnRefStructuredType::referencingColumn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Column::referencedTableType</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3.21 Table

A materialized NamedColumnSet.

Superclasses

NamedColumnSet

Contained Elements

UniqueConstraint
ForeignKey
Attributes

**isSystem**

Indicates that the Table is a System Table (generally part of or view on the system catalog).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**isTemporary**

Indicates that the table content is temporary. SQL92 standards provide two types of temporary tables (local Temporary and Global Temporary). However, RDBMS products have implemented variations on this theme. It is recommended that the product manufacturers provide specific temporary information (besides the temporaryScope attribute) in their extensions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**temporaryScope**

This attribute is meaningful only when the isTemporary flag is True [C-1]. The scope indicates when the data of this table are available. “SESSION,” “APPLICATION” are examples of possible values. Look at the Scope attribute for Global Temporary tables in the SQL standards for more details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constraints:</td>
<td>May not be specified if isTemporary is set to false.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**trigger**

Associates triggers executed during changes to the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Trigger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>TableOwningTrigger::trigger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Trigger::table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

Attribute `temporaryScope` is meaningful only when the `isTemporary` flag is True [C-1].
6.3.22 Trigger

An action run by the DBMS when specified events occur on the table owning the Trigger.

Superclasses

ModelElement

Attributes

eventManipulation

| type:               | EventManipulationType ( insert | delete | update ) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| multiplicity:      | exactly one                   |

actionCondition

A boolean expression that defines when the trigger has to be executed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>BooleanExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

actionStatement

The Trigger action itself.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ProcedureExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

actionOrientation

Indicates if the trigger is called once per statement execution or before or after each row of the table is modified.

| class:             | ActionOrientationType ( row | statement ) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| multiplicity:      | exactly one                 |

conditionTiming

Indicates if the trigger activity is run before or after the statement or row is modified.

| class:             | ConditionTimingType ( before | after ) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| multiplicity:      | exactly one                   |
6.3.23 UniqueConstraint

A condition to define uniqueness of rows in a table. An example of UniqueConstraint is a primary key.

Superclasses

UniqueKey
### Attributes

#### deferrability

Indicates if the validity of the UniqueConstraint is to be tested at each statement or at the end of a transaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>DeferrabilityType ( initiallyDeferred</th>
<th>initiallyImmediate</th>
<th>notDeferrable )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.3.24 View

A view is a non-materialized set of rows, defined by the associated query.

#### Superclasses

NamedColumnSet

#### Contained Elements

QueryExpression

#### Attributes

##### isReadOnly

Indicates whether the underlying tables can be updated through an update to this View.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### queryExpression

The query associated with the View. The query result must match the set of Columns associated with the View (in parent class ColumnSet).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>QueryExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### checkOption

This field is meaningful only if the view is not ReadOnly. CheckOption indicates that the RDBMS will validate that changes made to the data verify the view filtering condition and belong to the view result set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constraints:</td>
<td>only used when isReadOnly=false</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Constraints

checkOption is valid only if isReadOnly is False. [C-2]

6.4 Relational Associations

6.4.1 ColumnOptionsColumnSet

Associates Columns with NamedColumnSets they reference in their OPTIONS clause.

Ends

optionScopeColumn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Column</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

optionScopeColumnSet

Reference to the NamedColumnSet indicated in the SCOPE clause of the Column definition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>NamedColumnSet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4.2 ColumnRefStructuredType

Associates Columns of a StructuredType with the Type they reference in the REF clause.

Ends

referencedTableType

The column, used in an SQLStructuredType is a REF to a type. This references the REF’ed SQLStructuredType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>SQLStructuredType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

referencingColumn

Reference to a column of an SQLStructuredType (otherType) that is created with a REF clause referencing this SQLStructuredType (thisType). Note that in general, otherType and thisType are two different instances of SQLStructuredType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Column</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4.3 ColumnSetOfStructuredType  

Associates structured types with NamedColumnSets defined of this type.

Ends

type

For typed Tables and Views, reference to the base SQLStructuredType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>SQLStructuredType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

columnSet

A NamedColumnSet created as of this type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>NamedColumnSet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4.4 DistinctTypeHasSimpleType

Ends

sqlDistinctType

Distinct types that use this simple type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>SQLDistinctType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sqlSimpleType

The Simple type used to define the distinct class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>SQLSimpleType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4.5 TableOwningTrigger  

Associates a Table with its Triggers. The Trigger will be activated when an action is performed on the Table.
6.4.6 TriggerUsingColumnSet

This associates a Trigger with the NamedColumnSets it uses in its expressions.

6.5 OCL Representation of Relational Constraints

[C-1] temporaryScope is valid only if the isTemporary is True.

context Table inv:
self.temporaryScope.notEmpty implies self.isTemporary=True

[C-2] checkOption is valid only if isReadOnly is False.

context View inv:
self.checkOption implies self.isReadOnly=False
[C-3] scale is valid only if precision is specified.

**context** Column **inv:**

self.scale.nonEmpty implies self.precision.notEmpty
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7.1 Overview

The Record package covers the basic concept of a record and its structure. The package takes a broad view of the notion of record, including both traditional data records such as those stored in files and databases, as well as programming language structured data types. In fact, the concepts described here can be used as a foundation for extension packages describing any information structure that is fundamentally hierarchical, or “nested” in nature such as documents, questionnaires, and organizational structures.

7.2 Organization of the Record Package

The Record package depends on the following packages:

- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Instance
Because of the antiquity of many record-based models, individual system implementations employing record models may have unusual features (such as occurring depending arrays, various COBOL rename/remapping semantics, etc.) that are not shared with other implementations. When such features are limited to single implementations or languages, they have been purposefully left out of the Record metamodel. Rather, unusual features of this sort should be placed into extension packages designed to meet the needs of those implementations or languages. For example, record structuring features endemic to the COBOL language have been placed in the COBOLData metamodel in the CWMX package described in Volume 2 and do not appear here. In this way, COBOL-only features do not burden other record oriented implementations unnecessarily.

The Record metamodel appears in Figure 7-1.

![Figure 7-1 Record Package](image)

The instance diagram in Figure 7-2 shows how a record description is represented in this model. The record contains three fields, one of which is a group item that itself has embedded fields. The main RecordDef is named Customer. It contains three Fields: account, custName, and custAddress.
The account is a numeric field with a type of long, which is an instance of DataType. Size information about the field -- its length, precision, and scale -- are not relevant for the long data type.

The field custName has a type of char, which is another instance of DataType. The field is 50 characters in length but needs no precision or scale information.

Field custAddress is a single field; its internal structure is determined from its type Address, an instance of Group containing six fields.

- address1 and address2 have type of char and are 80 characters long.
- city is also of type char but is 30 characters long.
- state, postcode, and country are of the type char as well but are 3, 11, and 20 characters long, respectively.
The following text shows how the example RecordDef would be described in three widely used programming languages.

**C Programming Language**

```c
typedef struct Address {
    char address1[80];
    char address2[80];
    char city[30];
    char state[3];
    char postcode[11];
    char country[20];
} Address;

typedef struct Customer {
    long   account;
    char   custName[50];
    Address custAddress;
} Customer;

Customer cust;
```

**COBOL Programming Language**

```cobol
01 Customer.
   05 account PIC 999999
       USAGE BINARY.
   05 custName PIC X(50).
   05 custAddress.
       10 address1 PIC X(80).
       10 address2 PIC X(80).
       10 city PIC X(30).
       10 state PIC X(3).
       10 postcode PIC X(11).
       10 country PIC X(20).
```

**PL/1 Programming Language**

```pl1
DECLARE
   1 CUSTOMER ,
      2 ACCOUNT FIXED BIN(31,0),
      2 CUSTNAME CHAR(50),
      2 CUSTADDRESS,
          3 ADDRESS1 CHAR(80),
          3 ADDRESS2 CHAR(80),
          3 CITY CHAR(30),
          3 STATE CHAR(3),
          3 POSTCODE CHAR(11),
          3 COUNTRY CHAR(20);
```
7.2.1 Instances

Instances of records are created by extending the ObjectModel’s Instance package as shown in Figure 7-3.

![Record metamodel instances diagram]

Figure 7-3  Record metamodel instances

Figure 7-4 shows an example of how record instances are created using the Record, FieldValue, and RecordSet classes. The example uses the metamodel instances in Figure 7-2 on page 7-3 to store the address of the President of the United States.
Figure 7-4 Record instance example
7.3 Record Classes

7.3.1 Field

A Field is the fundamental information container within a RecordDef. It holds one piece of information, which may itself have structure. The inherited associations StructuralFeatureType and ElementOwnership provide access to a Field instance’s type and owning classifier, respectively.

Superclasses
Attribute

Attributes

length

| The length of a fixed length character or byte string field. |
| type: Integer |
| multiplicity: zero or one |

precision

| The total number of digits in a numeric field. |
| type: Integer |
| multiplicity: zero or one |

scale

| The number of digits on the right of the decimal separator in a numeric field. |
| type: Integer |
| multiplicity: zero or one |

Constraints

Owner and type cannot refer to the same Classifier. [C-1]
The scale attribute is valid only if the precision attribute is specified. [C-2]
The precision attribute is valid only if the length attribute is not specified. [C-3]

7.3.2 FieldValue

The value currently held in a Field instance.
Superclasses

DataValue

7.3.3 **FixedOffsetField**

Instances of FixedOffsetField represent fields that have a fixed location in a record.

FixedOffsetFields can be used as a foundation for recording details of physical record layouts and as a means of representing the internal structure of undiscriminated; that is, C-type unions.

Superclasses

Field

Attributes

**offset**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specifies the offset of the field within its container in units of the number of bits indicated in the offsetUnitBits attribute.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type</strong>: Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity</strong>: exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**offsetUnitBits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of bits making up one record offset unit. For example, for a byte-relative offset, the value of this attribute would typically be 8.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type</strong>: Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity</strong>: exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3.4 **Group**

A Group is a structured data type and is used to collect together Field instances within a Record. Groups can be used in RecordDef instances as shown in the foregoing example.

Superclasses

Classifier

7.3.5 **Record**

A Record, a subclass of Object, represents a single data record. Each Record is described by a RecordDef instance found via the Object’s InstanceClassifier association.
Superclasses

Object

7.3.6 RecordDef

A RecordDef is an ordered collection of Fields representing the structure of a Record. Examples of RecordDefs include definitions of

- language-specific data structures
- database records
- IMS segments

The internal structure of a RecordDef instance is constructed by adding Field instances as features (using the ElementOwnership association) and pointing each Field instance's inherited type reference to the Classifier instance representing the Field’s data type. The referenced instance can be either a primitive data type (an instance of DataType, such as “integer”) or a structured data type (such as a Group instance).

Refer to the foregoing example for more details of the relationships between RecordDefs, Fields, Records, and their values.

Superclasses

Class

Contained Elements

Field

Attributes

fieldDelimiter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The value of a fieldDelimiter used to separate field values in an input stream.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type:</strong> String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

isFixedWidth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True if the record is fixed length. Otherwise, the record can be of variable length.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type:</strong> Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3.7 RecordFile

A RecordFile is the definition of a file. It may have one or more RecordDefs, defining the structure of the records in the file. Each of these RecordDefs defines a valid structure for records in the file. Subclasses of RecordFile in extensions to support specific languages and systems may be used to represent specific types of files such as COBOL CopyLib files and C-language header files.

Physical deployments of a RecordFile can be found via the DataManagerDataPackage association in the SoftwareDeployment package.

**Superclasses**

Package

**Attributes**

**isSelfDescribing**

True if the contents of fields in the first record of the file contain field names applicable to subsequent records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**file**

Identifies files containing Records described by the RecordDef.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>RecordFile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>RecordToFile::file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>RecordFile::record</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3.8 RecordSet

A RecordSet represents a collection of Record instances.

Superclasses
Extent

Contained Elements
Record

7.4 Record Associations

7.4.1 RecordToFile

A Record definition can apply to records stored in a RecordFile.
7.5 OCL Representation of Record Constraints

[C-1] The owner of a Field and the type of a Field may not refer to the same Classifier instance.

**context Field inv:**

self.owner <> self.type

[C-2] The scale attribute is valid only if the precision attribute is specified.

**context Field inv:**

self.scale->notEmpty **implies** self.precision->notEmpty

[C-3] The precision attribute is valid only if the length attribute is not specified.

**context Field inv:**

self.precision->notEmpty **implies** self.length->isEmpty
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8.1 Overview

The CWM Multidimensional metamodel is a generic representation of a multidimensional database.

Multidimensional databases are OLAP databases that are directly implemented by multidimensional database systems. In a multidimensional database, key OLAP constructs (dimensions, hierarchies, etc.) are represented by the internal data structures of a multidimensional database server, and common OLAP operations (consolidation, drill-down, etc.) are performed by the server acting on those data structures. Multidimensional databases are often classified as “physical OLAP” or “MOLAP” (memory-based OLAP) databases.

Multidimensional databases offer enhanced performance and flexibility over OLAP systems that simulate multidimensional functionality using other technologies (for example, relational database or spreadsheet):
• Performance: Multidimensional databases provide rapid consolidation times and formula calculations, and consistent query response times regardless of query complexity. This is accomplished, in part, through the use of efficient cell storage techniques and highly-optimized index paths.

• Flexibility: The specification and use of multidimensional schemas and queries (including the design of cubes, dimensions, hierarchies, member formulas, the manipulation of query result sets, etc.) can be accomplished in a relatively straightforward manner, since the server directly supports (and exposes) the multidimensional paradigm.

The CWM Multidimensional metamodel does not attempt to provide a complete representation of all aspects of commercially available, multidimensional databases. Unlike relational database management systems, multidimensional databases tend to be proprietary in structure, and there are no published, widely agreed upon, standard representations of the logical schema of a multidimensional database. Therefore, the CWM Multidimensional Database metamodel is oriented toward complete generality of specification. Tool-specific extensions to the metamodel are relatively easy to formulate, and several examples are provided in Volume 2, Extensions, of the CWM Specification.

8.2 Organization of the Multidimensional Package

8.2.1 Dependencies

The Multidimensional package depends on the following packages:

• org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core

• org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Instance

8.2.2 Major Classes and Associations

The major classes and associations of the Multidimensional metamodel are shown in Figure 8-1.
Figure 8-1 Multidimensional Metamodel: Classes and Associations

Schema is the container of all elements comprising a Multidimensional model. It also represents the logical unit of deployment of a Multidimensional database instance.

Dimension represents a physical dimension in a Multidimensional database. Whereas the OLAP metamodel defines “dimension” as a purely conceptual entity, this Dimension represents the dimension object exposed by the programming model of a Multidimensional database.

A Dimension may reference other instances of Dimension to form arbitrarily complex dimensional structures (for example, hierarchies with varying levels of detail).

DimensionedObject represents an attribute of Dimension. Examples of DimensionedObjects include measures (variables), formulas, consolidation functions, member alias names, etc. DimensionedObjects are contained by the Schema and referenced by the Dimensions that use them.

MemberSet represents the collection of Members associated with an instance of Dimension, and MemberValue represents an instance value of a Member. MemberSet, Member, and MemberValue enable the specification and interchange of both M1-level Multidimensional models and associated M0-level data values.
8.2.3 Inheritance from the ObjectModel

Figure 8-2 illustrates the inheritance of the Multidimensional classes from metaclasses of the Object Model.

8.3 Multidimensional Classes

8.3.1 Dimension

Dimension represents physical dimension in a multidimensional database (for example, a dimension object defined by the programming model/API of an OLAP database server). Tool-specific extensions to the Multidimensional package will generally contain classes that derive from Dimension.

Superclasses

Class

8.3.1.1 Contained Elements

MemberSet

8.3.1.2 References

dimensionedObject

References the collection of DimensionedObjects associated with a Dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DimensionedObject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DimensionsReferenceDimensionedObjects ::dimensionedObject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>DimensionedObject::dimension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8-2 Multidimensional Metamodel: Inheritance from Object Model


8.3.1.3 Constraints

A Dimension may not reference itself as a component, nor as a composite. [C-1]

The transitive closure of components of an instance of Dimension must not include the Dimension instance.

The transitive closure of composites of an instance of Dimension must not include the Dimension instance.
8.3.2 DimensionedObject

DimensionedObject represents an attribute of Dimension.

Superclasses
Attribute

References

dimension

References the collection of Dimensions associated with this DimensionedObject.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DimensionsReferenceDimensionedObjects::dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Dimension::dimensionedObject</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

schema

References the Schema owning a DimensionedObject.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Schema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>MDSchemaOwnsDimensionedObjects::schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Schema::dimensionedObject</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3.3 Member

Member represents a member of a Dimension.

Superclasses
Object

8.3.4 MemberSet

MemberSet represents the collection of Members associated with an instance of Dimension.

Superclasses
Extent

Contained Elements
- Member
• MemberValue

References

dimension

References the Dimension owning a MemberSet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DimensionOwnsMemberSets::dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Dimension::memberSet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3.5 MemberValue

MemberValue represents an instance value of a Member.

Superclasses

DataValue

8.3.6 Schema

Schema contains all elements comprising a Multidimensional database.

Superclasses

Package

Contained Elements

• Dimension
• DimensionedObject

References

dimensionedObject

References the collection of DimensionedObjects owned by a Schema.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DimensionedObject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>MDSchemaOwnsDimensionedObjects::dimensionedObject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>DimensionedObject::Schema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8.4 Multidimensional Associations

8.4.1 CompositesReferenceComponents

A Dimension may reference other instances of Dimension in order to derive more complex dimensional structures.

Ends

8.4.2 DimensionOwnsMemberSets

A Dimension may own any number of MemberSets.

Ends

Dimension

References the collection of Dimensions owned by a Schema.

class: Dimension

| defined by: | MDSchemaOwnsDimensions::dimension |
| multiplicity: | zero or more |
| inverse: | Dimension::Schema |

---

8.4.1 CompositesReferenceComponents

| class: | Dimension |
| multiplicity: | zero or more |

8.4.2 DimensionOwnsMemberSets

| class: | Dimension |
| multiplicity: | zero or more |

---

Dimension owning MemberSets.

class: Dimension

| multiplicity: | exactly one |
| aggregation: | composite |
memberSet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>MemberSet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.4.3 DimensionsReferenceDimensionedObjects

A Dimension may reference several instances of DimensionedObject. A DimensionedObject may be referenced by several Dimensions.

Ends

dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dimensionedObject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DimensionedObject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.4.4 MDSchemaOwnsDimensionedObjects

A Multidimensional Schema may own any number of DimensionedObjects.

Ends

schema

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>schema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dimensionedObject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DimensionedObject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.4.5 MD Schema Owns Dimensions

A Multidimensional Schema may own any number of Dimensions.

Ends

schema

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema owning Dimensions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:  schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation: composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions owned by a Schema.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class: Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5 OCL Representation of Multidimensional Constraints

[C-1] A Dimension may not reference itself as a component, nor as a composite.
context Dimension
inv: self.component->excludes( self )
inv: self.composite->excludes( self )
XML
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9.1 Overview

XML is rapidly becoming a very important type of data resource, especially in the Internet environment. On the one hand, HTML is evolving to be XML-compliant; in the near future, all HTML documents can be expected to become valid XML documents. On the other hand, XML is quickly becoming the standard format for interchange of data and/or metadata (for example, XMI). Therefore, XML documents (or streams) representing data and/or metadata can be expected to appear everywhere.

The XML package contains classes and associations that represent common metadata describing XML data resources. It is based on XML 1.0 [XML]. XML Schema is an ongoing activity in the W3C. As future standards are adopted by the W3C on XML Schema, this package will be revised and extended accordingly.
9.1.1 Semantics

This section provides a description of the main features of the XML package.

An XML schema contains a set of definitions and declarations, in the form of XML element type definitions. An XML element type may contain a set of XML attributes and/or a content model. An attribute can have one of the following defaults: required, implied, default, or fixed. The content model can be one of the following types: empty, any, mixed, or element. Except for the empty content model, a content model consists of constituent parts, particularly element type references. The allowed occurrence of the constituents can be one of the following types: one, zero; or one, zero or more; or one or more.

An any content model consists of any element types. A mixed content model consists of character data and specified element type references. An element content model consists of specified element type references and/or element content models. An element content model can be one of the following types: choice or sequence.

9.2 Organization of the XML Package

The XML package depends on the following packages:
- omg.org::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- omg.org::CWM::ObjectModel::Instance
- omg.org::CWM::Foundation::DataTypes

The metamodel diagram for the XML package is split into two parts. The first diagram shows the XML classes and associations, while the second shows the inheritance hierarchy.
Figure 9-1 XML Package: Relationships
9.3 **XML Classes**

The XML package contains the following classes, in alphabetical order:

- Attribute
- Content
- Document
- Element
9.3.1 Attribute

This represents an XML attribute declaration. In XML, attributes are used to associate name-value pairs with elements. Each attribute declaration specifies the name, data type, and default value (if any) of each attribute associated with a given element type.

Superclasses
org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core::Attribute

Attributes

defaultKind

| type: | AttributeDefault (xml_required | xml IMPLIED | xml_default | xml_fixed) |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| multiplicity: | exactly one |

References

elementType

| class: | Classifier |
| defined by: | Classifier-Feature::owner |
| multiplicity: | zero or one |
| inverse: | ElementType::attribute |

9.3.2 Content

This represents the content model of an ElementType. In XML, each document contains one or more elements, the boundaries of which are normally delimited by start-tags and end-tags. The body between the start-tag and end-tag is called the element’s content. An element type declaration constrains the element’s content.

Superclasses

ModelElement
Attributes

type

Identifies the type of the content model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>ContentType (xml_empty</th>
<th>xml_any</th>
<th>xml_mixed</th>
<th>xml_element)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

occurrence

Identifies the allowed occurrence of the content constituents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>OccurrenceType (xml_one</th>
<th>xml_zeroOrOne</th>
<th>xml_zeroOrMore,</th>
<th>xml_oneOrMore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

elementType

Identifies the ElementType that owns the Content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ElementType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ElementTypeContent::elementType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>ElementType::content</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ownedElementType

Identifies the ElementTypeReferences owned by the Content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ElementTypeReference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ContentElementTypeReference::ownedElementType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>ElementTypeReference::ownerContent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3.3 Document

This represents an XML document, which is a collection of XML Elements.

Superclasses

Extent

Contained Elements

Element
9.3.4 Element

This represents an instance of an ElementType.

Superclasses

Object

9.3.5 ElementContent

This represents an element content that contains only ElementTypeReferences. In XML, an element type has element content when elements of that type must contain only child elements (no character data), optionally separated by white space. In this case, the constraint includes a content model that governs the allowed types of the child elements and the order in which they are allowed to appear.

Superclasses

Content

Attributes

order

Identifies the order type of the element content.

| type:       | ElementOrderType (xml_choice | xml_sequence) |
| multiplicity: | exactly one     |

References

ownedContent

Identifies the content owned by the ElementContent.

| class:       | ElementContent |
| defined by:  | OwnedElementContent::ownedContent |
| multiplicity: | zero or more   |
| inverse:     | ElementContent::ownerContent     |

ownerContent

Identifies the content that owns the ElementContent.

| class:       | ElementContent |
| defined by:  | OwnedElementContent::ownerContent |
| multiplicity: | zero or more   |
| inverse:     | ElementContent::ownerElement     |
Constraints

An ElementContent may not be its own owner content or owned content, transitive closure.

9.3.6 ElementType

This represents an XML element type definition. In XML, each document contains one or more elements. The element structure may, for validation purposes, be constrained using element type and attribute declarations. An element type declaration constrains the element’s content.

Superclasses

Class

Contained Elements

Attribute

References

schema

Identifies the Schema that owns the ElementType.

class: Namespace
defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::namespace
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: Schema::elementType

attribute

Identifies the Attributes owned by the ElementType.

class: Feature
defined by: Classifier-Feature::feature
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: Attribute::elementType

content

Identifies the content of the ElementType.

class: Content
defined by: ElementTypeContent::content
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: Content::elementType
9.3.7 ElementTypeReference

This represents an XML element type reference. In XML, an element content or a mixed content of an element type may contain references to element type definitions.

Superclasses

org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core::Attribute

Attributes

occurrence

| type: | OccurrenceType (xml_one | xml_zeroOrOne | xml_zeroOrMore | xml_oneOrMore) |
|------|----------------------------------|
| multiplicity: | exactly one |

References

ownerContent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ContentElementTypeReference::owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Content::ownedElementType</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3.8 MixedContent

This represents a mixed content of character data and ElementTypeReferences. In XML, an element type has mixed content when elements of that type may contain character data, optionally interspersed with child elements. In this case, the types of the child elements may be constrained, but not their order or their number of occurrences.

Superclasses

Content

Contained Elements

Text
9

References

text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>MixedContentText::text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Text::ownerContent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3.9 Schema

This represents an XML schema that contains a set of definitions and declarations. In XML, this is known as document type definition, or DTD, which provides a grammar for a class of documents.

Superclasses

Package

Contained Elements

ElementType

Attributes

version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

xmlNamespace

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3.10 Text

This represents character data. In XML, a mixed content of an element type may contain text.

Superclasses

org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core::Attribute

References

ownerContent

Identifies the Content that owns the Text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>MixedContent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>MixedContentText::ownerContent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>MixedContent::text</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4 XML Associations

The XML package contains the following associations, in alphabetical order:
- ContentElementTypeReference
- ElementTypeContent
- MixedContentText
- OwnedElementContent

9.4.1 ContentElementTypeReference

This association relates a Content with its constituent ElementTypeReferences.
9

Ends

**ownerContent**

Identifies the owner Content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>shared</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ownedElementType**

Identifies the owned ElementTypeReferences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ElementTypeReference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.4.2 ElementTypeContent

This association relates an ElementType with its Content.

Ends

**elementType**

Identifies the ElementType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ElementType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>shared</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**content**

Identifies the Content of the ElementType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.4.3 MixedContentText

This association relates a MixedContent with its Text.
Ends

ownerContent

Identifies the owner MixedContent.

class: MixedContent

multiplicity: exactly one

aggregation: composite

text

Identifies the Text of the MixedContent.

class: Text

multiplicity: exactly one

9.4.4 OwnedElementContent

This association relates an ElementContent with its constituent ElementContents.

Ends

ownerContent

Identifies the owner ElementContent.

class: ElementContent

multiplicity: zero or more

aggregation: shared

ownedContent

Identifies the owned ElementContents.

class: ElementContent

multiplicity: zero or more

9.5 OCL Representation of XML Constraints

None
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10.1 Overview

A key aspect of data warehousing is to extract, transform, and load data from operational resources to a data warehouse or data mart for analysis. Extraction, transformation, and loading can all be characterized as transformations. In fact, whenever data needs to be converted from one form to another in data warehousing, whether for storage, retrieval, or presentation purposes, transformations are involved. Transformation, therefore, is central to data warehousing.

The Transformation package contains classes and associations that represent common transformation metadata used in data warehousing. It covers basic transformations among all types of data sources and targets: object-oriented, relational, record, multidimensional, XML, OLAP, and data mining.

The Transformation package is designed to enable interchange of common metadata about transformation tools and activities. Specifically it is designed to:
• Relate a transformation with its data sources and targets.
  These data sources and targets can be of any type (e.g., object-oriented, relational) or granularity (e.g., class, attribute, table, column). They can be persistent (e.g., stored in a relational database) or transient.

• Accommodate both “black box” and “white box” transformations.
  In the case of “black box” transformations, data sources and targets are related to a transformation and to each other at a coarse-grain level. We know the data sources and targets are related through the transformation, but we don’t know how a specific piece of a data source is related to a specific piece of a data target. In the case of “white box” transformations, however, data sources and targets are related to a transformation and to each other at a fine-grain level. We know exactly how a specific piece of a data source is related to a specific piece of a data target through a specific part of the transformation.

• Allow grouping of transformations into logical units.
  At the functional level, a logical unit defines a single unit of work, within which all transformations must be executed and completed together. At the execution level, logical units can be used to define the execution grouping and sequencing (either explicitly through precedence constraints or implicitly through data dependencies). A key consideration here is that both parallel and sequential executions (or a combination of both) can be accommodated.

The Transformation package assumes the existence of the following packages that represent types of potential data sources or targets: ObjectModel (object-oriented), Relational, Record, Multidimensional, XML, OLAP, and Data Mining. The Transformation package is an integral part of the following packages: OLAP, Data Mining, Warehouse Process, and Warehouse Operation. In particular, the Transformation and Warehouse Process packages together provide metamodel constructs that facilitate scheduling and execution in data warehousing, and the Transformation and Warehouse Operation packages together provide metamodel constructs that enable data lineage in data warehousing.

10.1.1 Semantics

This section provides a description of the main features of the Transformation package, as illustrated in Figure 10-1 on page 10-3:
A *transformation* transforms a set of *source* objects into a set of *target* objects. The elements of a *data object set* can be any ObjectModel *model elements*, but typically are tables, columns, or model elements that represent transient, in memory, objects. Data object sets can be both sources and targets for different transformations. In particular, a given data object set can be the target of one transformation and the source of one or more transformations within the same logical unit. This is often the case with transformations that produce and consume temporary objects.

Transformations allow a wide range of types (and granularity) to be defined for their data sources and targets. For example, the source type of a transformation can be an XML schema while the target type is a column, if the transformation deals with storing an XML document in a column of a relational database. More typically, the source types of a transformation are classes and attributes while the target types are tables and columns, or vice versa, if the transformation deals with converting object data into relational data, or vice versa.

Existing programs, queries, or rules (in fact, any ObjectModel *operations*) can be used to perform a transformation by associating them with the transformation using the *transformation use* dependency.

Transformations can be grouped into logical units. At the functional level, they are grouped into *transformation tasks*, each of which defines a set of transformations that must be executed and completed together - a logical unit of work. At the execution level, *transformation steps* are used to coordinate the flow of control between transformation tasks, with each transformation step executing a single transformation task. The transformation steps are further grouped into *transformation activities*. Within each transformation activity, the execution sequence of its transformation steps are defined either explicitly by using the *step precedence* dependency or *precedence constraint*, or implicitly through data dependency.

![Sample Transformation Package](image)

*Figure 10-1 Sample Transformation Package*
There are certain “white-box” transformations that are commonly used and can relate data sources and targets to a transformation and to each other at a detailed level. These transformations are convenient to use and they provide data lineage at a fine-grain level. One such transformation is the transformation map, which consists of a set of classifier maps that in turn consists of a set of feature maps or classifier-feature maps. The other is the transformation tree, which represents a transformation as a unary or binary expression tree. For an example usage of the transformation map, please see Figure 10-2 on page 10-6.

10.2 Organization of the Transformation Package

The Transformation package depends on the following packages:

- omg.org::CWM::ObjectModel::Behavioral
- omg.org::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- omg.org::CWM::Foundation::Expressions
- omg.org::CWM::Foundation::SoftwareDeployment

The CWM uses packages to control complexity and create groupings of logically interrelated classes and associations. The Transformation package is one such package. Within the Transformation package itself, however, the definition of subpackages is purposefully left out to reduce the length and complexity of the fully qualified names of Transformation classes and associations. There are, however, several groupings of classes and associations that form related sets of functionality within the Transformation package. Although separate subpackages have not been created for these functional areas, their identification improves the understandability of the Transformation package.

The Transformation package contains metamodel elements that support the following functions:

- Transformation and data lineage. These classes and associations deal with transformations and their sources, targets, constraints, and operations.
- Transformation grouping and execution. These classes and associations deal with grouping of transformations to form logical units and to define execution sequences.
- Specialized transformations. These classes and associations define specialized, “white box,” transformations that are commonly used in data warehousing.
The specific Transformation classes and associations supporting each functional area are delineated in Table 10-1.

Table 10-1  Transformation Classes and Associations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Area</th>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Associations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformation and data lineage</td>
<td>Transformation</td>
<td>TransformationSource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TransformationTarget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DataObjectSet</td>
<td>DataObjectSetElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TransformationUse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation grouping and execution</td>
<td>TransformationTask</td>
<td>TransformationTaskElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TransformationStep</td>
<td>InverseTransformationTask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TransformationActivity</td>
<td>TransformationStepTask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PrecedenceConstraint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>StepPrecedence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized transformations</td>
<td>TransformationMap</td>
<td>ClassifierMapSource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ClassifierMap</td>
<td>ClassifierMapTarget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeatureMap</td>
<td>FeatureMapSource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ClassifierFeatureMap</td>
<td>FeatureMapTarget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TransformationTree</td>
<td>CFMapClassifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CFMapFeature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 10-2 Transformation Package: Relationships - 1
Figure 10-3  Transformation Package: Relationships - 2
Figure 10-4  Transformation Package: Hierarchy - 1
10.3 Transformation Classes

The Transformation package contains the following classes, in alphabetical order:

- ClassifierFeatureMap
- ClassifierMap
- DataObjectSet
- FeatureMap
- PrecedenceConstraint
- StepPrecedence
- Transformation
- TransformationActivity
- TransformationMap
- TransformationStep
- TransformationTask
- TransformationTree
- TransformationUse
10.3.1 ClassifierFeatureMap

This represents a mapping of Classifiers to Features.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

**function**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>ProcedureExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**functionDescription**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**classifierToFeature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**classifierMap**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ClassifierMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ClassifierMapToCFMap::classifierMap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>ClassifierMap::cfMap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**classifier**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>CFMapClassifier::classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.3.2 ClassifierMap

This represents a mapping of source Classifiers to target Classifiers. A ClassifierMap may consist of a group of ClassifierFeatureMaps and/or FeatureMaps.

Superclasses
Namespace

Contained Elements
ClassifierFeatureMap, FeatureMap

Attributes

function

Any code or script for the ClassifierMap.

type: ProcedureExpression

functionDescription

A short description for any code or script performed by the ClassifierMap.

type: String

References

transformationMap

Identifies the TransformationMap that owns the ClassifierMap.

class: Namespace

defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::namespace

Identifies the source/target Features of the ClassifierFeatureMap.

class: Feature

defined by: CFMapFeature::feature

multiplicity: one or more

Identifies the TransformationMap that owns the ClassifierMap.

class: Namespace

defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::namespace

multiplicity: zero or one

inverse: TransformationMap::classifierMap
10.3.3 DataObjectSet

This represents a set of data objects that can be the source or target of a Transformation.

Superclasses

ModelElement
10.3.4 FeatureMap

This represents a mapping of source Features to target Features.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes

function

Any code or script for the FeatureMap.

type: ProcedureExpression

multiplicity: exactly one
10.3.5 PrecedenceConstraint

This is used to define order-of-execution constraint among TransformationSteps. It may be used independent of or in conjunction with StepPrecedence.

Superclasses
Constraint

10.3.6 StepPrecedence

This is used to define explicit order-of-execution relationships among TransformationSteps. It may be used independent of or in conjunction with PrecedenceConstraint
Superclasses

Dependency

References

precedingStep

Identifies the preceding TransformationStep that the StepPrecedence dependency is for.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>Dependency-ModelElement::supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>TransformationStep::succeedingStep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

succeedingStep

Identifies the succeeding TransformationStep that the StepPrecedence dependency is for.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>Dependency-ModelElement::client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>TransformationStep::precedingStep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

The preceding step and succeeding step must not be the same. [C-1]

10.3.7 Transformation

This represents a transformation from a set of sources to a set of targets.

If a model already exists for the object that performs the Transformation, then the model can be related to the Transformation via a TransformationUse dependency.

Superclasses

Namespace

Attributes

function

Any code or script for the Transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>ProcedureExpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.3.8 TransformationActivity

This represents a transformation activity. Each TransformationActivity consists of a set of TransformationSteps.
Superclasses
Subsystem

Contained Elements
TransformationStep

Attributes

creationDate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When the TransformationActivity was created.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type: String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the TransformationSteps owned by the TransformationActivity.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class: ModelElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::ownedElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity: zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse: TransformationStep::activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.3.9 TransformationMap

This represents a specialized Transformation that consists of a group of ClassifierMaps.

Superclasses
Transformation

Contained Elements
ClassifierMap
References

classifierMap

Identifies the ClassifierMaps owned by the TransformationMap.

class: | ModelElement
---|---
defined by: | Namespace-ModelElement::ownedElement
multiplicity: | zero or more
inverse: | ClassifierMap::transformationMap

10.3.10 TransformationStep

This represents the usage of a TransformationTask in a TransformationActivity. A TransformationStep relates to one TransformationTask.

TransformationSteps are used to coordinate the flow of control between their TransformationTasks. Ordering of the TransformationSteps are defined using the PrecedenceConstrainedBy dependency.

Superclasses

ModelElement

References

task

Identifies the TransformationTask that the TransformationStep performs.

class: | TransformationTask
---|---
defined by: | TransformationStepTask::task
multiplicity: | exactly one
inverse: | TransformationTask::step

activity

Identifies the TransformationActivity that owns the TransformationStep.

class: | Namespace
---|---
defined by: | Namespace-ModelElement::namespace
multiplicity: | zero or one
inverse: | TransformationActivity::step
precedence

Identifies the PrecedenceConstraint.

class: Constraint

defined by: Constraint-ModelElement::constraint

precedingStep

Identifies the preceding StepPrecedence dependency.

class: Dependency

defined by: Dependency-ModelElement::clientDependency

multiplicity: one or more

inverse: StepPrecedence::succeedingStep

succeedingStep

Identifies the succeeding StepPrecedence dependency.

class: Dependency

defined by: Dependency-ModelElement::supplierDependency

multiplicity: one or more

inverse: StepPrecedence::precedingStep

10.3.11 TransformationTask

This represents a set of Transformations that must be executed together as a single task (logical unit).

A TransformationTask may have an inverse task. A transformation task that maps a source set “A” into a target set “B” can be reversed by the inverse transformation task that maps “B” into “A.”

Superclasses
Component

References

transformation

Identifies the Transformations that belong to the TransformationTask.

class: Transformation

defined by: TransformationTaskElement::transformation

multiplicity: one or more
inverseTask

Identifies the inverse TransformationTask.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>TransformationTask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>InverseTransformationTask::inverseTask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>TransformationTask::originalTask</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

originalTask

Identifies the original TransformationTask.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>TransformationTask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>InverseTransformationTask::originalTask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>TransformationTask::inverseTask</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A TransformationTask may not be its own inverse task [C-2] or original task [C-3].

10.3.12 TransformationTree

This represents a specialized Transformation that can be modeled as an expression tree.

Superclasses

Transformation

Attributes

type

Identifies the type of TransformationTree, which can be unary or binary.

| type:            | TmType (tfm_unary | tfm_binary) |
|------------------|---------------|
| multiplicity:    | exactly one   |

body

Identifies the expression tree that embodies the TransformationTree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>ExpressionNode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.3.13 TransformationUse

This is a specialized dependency used to associate a Transformation to the model of an existing object (for example, program, query, or rule) that performs the transformation.

**Superclasses**

Usage

**Attributes**

**type**

Identifies the type of object that can perform the transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type:</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

**transformation**

Identifies the Transformation that the TransformationUse dependency is for.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>Dependency-ModelElement::client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Transformation::use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**operation**

Identifies the Operation that the TransformationUse dependency is on.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ModelElement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>Dependency-ModelElement::supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4 Transformation Associations

The Transformation package contains the following associations, in alphabetical order:

- CFMapClassifier
- CFMapFeature
- ClassifierMapSource
- ClassifierMapTarget
- ClassifierMapToCFMap
- ClassifierMapToFeatureMap
- DataObjectSetElement
10.4.1 CFMapClassifier

This association relates a ClassifierFeatureMap to its source/target Classifiers.

**Ends**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>efMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the ClassifierFeatureMap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the source/target Classifiers of the ClassifierFeatureMap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.2 CFMapFeature

This association relates a ClassifierFeatureMap to its source/target Features.

**Ends**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>efMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the ClassifierFeatureMap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifies the source/target Features of the ClassifierFeatureMap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.4.3 ClassifierMapSource

This association relates a ClassifierMap to its source Classifiers.

Ends

classifierMap

Identifies the ClassifierMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ClassifierMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

source

Identifies the source Classifiers of the ClassifierMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.4 ClassifierMapTarget

This association relates a ClassifierMap to its target Classifiers.

Ends

classifierMap

Identifies the ClassifierMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ClassifierMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

target

Identifies the target Classifiers of the ClassifierMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.5 ClassifierMapToCFMap

derived protected

This association relates a ClassifierMap to its ClassifierFeatureMaps.
Ends

classifierMap

Identifies the owning ClassifierMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ClassifierMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

efMap

Identifies the owned ClassifierFeatureMaps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ClassifierFeatureMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.5.1 Derivation

This association is derived from the Namespace-ModelElement association. All ownedElement ends of the association must be ClassifierFeatureMaps. [C-4]

10.4.6 ClassifierMapToFeatureMap derived protected

This association relates a ClassifierMap to its FeatureMaps.

Ends

classifierMap

Identifies the owning ClassifierMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ClassifierMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

featureMap

Identifies the owned FeatureMaps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>FeatureMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.6.1 Derivation

This association is derived from the Namespace-ModelElement association. All ownedElement ends of the association must be FeatureMaps. [C-5]
10.4.7 DataObjectSetElement

This association relates a DataObjectSet to its elements.

**Ends**

**set**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the DataObjectSet.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
<td>DataObjectSet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**element**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the elements in the DataObjectSet.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
<td>ModelElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.8 FeatureMapSource

This association relates a FeatureMap to its source Features.

**Ends**

**featureMap**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the FeatureMap.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
<td>FeatureMap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**source**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifies the source Features of the FeatureMap.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
<td>Feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.9 FeatureMapTarget

This association relates a FeatureMap to its target Features.
10.4.10 InverseTransformationTask

This association relates a TransformationTask to its inverse. A transformation task that maps a source set “A” into a target set “B” can be reversed by the inverse transformation task that maps “B” into “A.”

10.4.10.1 Ends

originalTask

Identifies the original TransformationTask.

class: TransformationTask

inverseTask

Identifies the inverse TransformationTask.

class: TransformationTask

10.4.11 TransformationSource

This association relates a Transformation to its sources.
10.4.11.1 Ends

sourceTransformation

Identifies the Transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

source

Identifies the sources of the Transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DataObjectSet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.12 TransformationStepTask

This association relates a TransformationStep to its TransformationTask.

10.4.12.1 Ends

step

Identifies the TransformationStep.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>TransformationStep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

task

Identifies the TransformationTask.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>TransformationTask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.13 TransformationTarget

This association relates a Transformation to its targets.

Ends

targetTransformation

Identifies the Transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
target

Identifies the targets of the Transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DataObjectSet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.14 TransformationTaskElement

This association relates a TransformationTask to its Transformations.

Ends

task

Identifies the TransformationTask.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>TransformationTask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>shared</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

transformation

Identifies the Transformations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.5 OCL Representation of Transformation Constraints

[C-1] The preceding step and succeeding step of StepPrecedence must not be the same.

context StepPrecedence

inv: self.precedingStep->forAll( p | self.succeedingStep->forAll( q | p <> q ) )

[C-2] A TransformationTask may not be its own inverse task.

context TransformationTask

inv: self.inverseTask->forAll( p | p <> self )

[C-3] A TransformationTask may not be its own original task.

context TransformationTask

inv: self.originalTask->forAll( p | p <> self )
[C-4] The ClassifierMapToCFMap association is derived from the Namespace-ModelElement association. All ownedElement ends of the association must be ClassifierFeatureMaps.

context ClassifierMapToCFMap

inv Namespace-ModelElement.allInstances.select( ownedElement.oclIsKindOf(ClassifierFeatureMap) )

[C-5] The ClassifierMapToFeatureMap association is derived from the Namespace-ModelElement association. All ownedElement ends of the association must be FeatureMaps.

context ClassifierMapToFeatureMap

inv Namespace-ModelElement.allInstances.select( ownedElement.oclIsKindOf(FeatureMap) )
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### 11.1 Overview

Online Analytical Processing (OLAP) is a class of analytic application software that exposes business data in a multidimensional format. This multidimensional format usually includes the consolidation of data drawn from multiple and diverse information sources. Unlike more traditionally structured representations (for example, the tabular format of a relational database), the multidimensional orientation is a more natural expression of the way business enterprises view their strategic data. For example, an analyst might use an OLAP application to examine total sales revenue by product and geographic region over time, or, perhaps, compare sales margins for the same fiscal periods of two consecutive years. The ultimate objective of OLAP is the efficient construction of analytical models that transform raw business data into strategic business insight.
There are many ways to implement OLAP. Most OLAP systems are constructed using OLAP server tools that enable logical OLAP structures to be built on top of a variety of physical database systems, such as relational or native multidimensional databases. The following features are generally found in most OLAP systems:

- Multidimensional representation of business data.
- Upward consolidation of multidimensional data in a hierarchical manner, possibly with the application of specialized processing rules.
- The ability to navigate a hierarchy from a consolidated value to the lower level values forming it.
- Support for time-series analysis; that is, OLAP users are generally concerned with data and consolidations at specific points in time -- By date, week, quarter, etc.
- Support for modeling and scenario analysis -- A user should be able to apply arbitrary “what-if” analyses to a result set without affecting the stored information.
- Consistent response times, regardless of how queries are formulated -- This is critical for effective analysis and modeling.

OLAP applications integrate well into the data warehousing environment, because a data warehouse provides relatively clean and stable data stores to drive the OLAP application. These data stores are usually maintained in relational tables that can be read directly by OLAP tools or loaded into OLAP servers. These relational tables are often structured in a manner that reveals the inherent dimensionality of the data (such as the ubiquitous Star and Snowflake schemas). Also, the data transformation and mapping services provided by a data warehouse can be used to supply OLAP systems with both metadata and data. Transformation-related metadata can be used to track the lineage of consolidated OLAP data back to its various sources.

### 11.2 Objectives of the OLAP Package

The primary objectives of the CWM OLAP package are:

- Define a metamodel of essential OLAP concepts common to most OLAP systems.
- Provide a facility whereby instances of the OLAP metamodel are mapped to deployment-capable structures; that is, models of physical data resources, such as the CWM Relational and Multidimensional packages.
- Ensure that navigation through the logical OLAP model hierarchy and its various resource models is always performed in a uniform manner; that is, by defining a standard usage of the CWM Transformation package as a means of implementing these mappings.
- Leverage services provided by other CWM packages, where appropriate (for example, use the CWM Foundation package to supply a standard representation of expressions).
11.3 Organization of the OLAP Package

11.3.1 Dependencies

The OLAP package depends on the following packages:
- org.omg:CWM:ObjectModel::Core
- org.omg:CWM:Foundation::Expressions
- org.omg:CWM:Analysis::Transformation

11.3.2 Major Classes and Associations
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Figure 11-1 OLAP Metamodel: Major Classes and Associations

The major classes and associations of the OLAP metamodel are shown in Figure 11-1. Schema is the logical container of all elements comprising an OLAP model. It is the root element of the model hierarchy and marks the entry point for navigating OLAP models.
A Schema contains Dimensions and Cubes. A Dimension is an ordinate within a multidimensional structure and consists of a list of unique values; that is, members that share a common semantic meaning within the domain being modeled. Each member designates a unique position along its ordinate.

A Cube is a collection of analytic values; that is, measures that share the same dimensionality. This dimensionality is specified by a set of unique Dimensions from the Schema. Each unique combination of members in the Cartesian product of the Cube’s Dimensions identifies precisely one data cell within a multidimensional structure.

CubeDimensionAssociation relates a Cube to its defining Dimensions. Features relevant to Cube-Dimension relationships (for example, calcHierarchy) are exposed by this class.

A Dimension has zero or more Hierarchies. A Hierarchy is an organizational structure that describes a traversal pattern through a Dimension, based on parent/child relationships between members of a Dimension. Hierarchies are used to define both navigational and consolidation/computational paths through the Dimension; that is, a value associated with a child member is aggregated by one or more parents. For example, a Time Dimension with a base periodicity of days might have a Hierarchy specifying the consolidation of days into weeks, weeks into months, months into quarters, and quarters into years.

A specific Hierarchy may be designated as the default Hierarchy for display purposes (for example, a user interface that displays the Dimension as a hierarchical tree of members). CubeDimensionAssociation can also identify a particular Hierarchy as the default Hierarchy for consolidation calculations performed on the Cube.

Dimensions and Hierarchies are described further in Section 11.3.3, “Dimension and Hierarchy,” on page 11-5.

MemberSelection models mechanisms capable of partitioning a Dimension’s collection of members. For example, consider a Geography Dimension with members representing cities, states, and regions. An OLAP client interested specifically in cities might define an instance of MemberSelection that extracts the city members.

CubeRegion models a sub-unit of a Cube that is of the same dimensionality as the Cube itself. Each “dimension” of a CubeRegion is represented by a MemberSelection of the corresponding Dimension of the Cube. Each MemberSelection may define some subset of its Dimension’s members.

CubeRegions are used to implement Cubes. A Cube may be realized by a set of CubeRegions that map portions of the logical Cube to physical data sources. The MemberSelections defining CubeRegions can also be grouped together via MemberSelectionGroups, enabling the definition of CubeRegions with specific semantics. For example, one can specify a CubeRegion containing only the “input level” data cells of a Cube.
A CubeRegion may own any number of CubeDeployments. CubeDeployment is a metaclass that represents an implementation strategy for a multidimensional structure. The ordering of the CubeDeployment classes may optionally be given some implementation-specific meaning (for example, desired order of selection of several possible deployment strategies, based on optimization considerations).

### 11.3.3 Dimension and Hierarchy

Figure 11-2 shows Dimension and Hierarchy, along with several other classes that model hierarchical structuring and deployment mappings.

#### 11.3.3.1 Dimension

The OLAP metamodel defines two special types of Dimension: Time and Measure. A Time Dimension provides a means of representing time-series data within a multidimensional structure. The members of a Time Dimension usually define some
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*Figure 11-2 OLAP Metamodel: Dimension and Hierarchy*
base periodicity (for example, days of the week). The implementation of a Time Dimension might provide support for advanced “time-intelligent” functionality, such as the ability to automatically convert between different periodicities and calendars.

The members of a Measure Dimension describe the meaning of the analytic values stored in each data cell of a multidimensional structure. For example, an OLAP application may define Sales, Quantity, and Weight as its measures. In this case, each data cell within the Cube stores three values, with each value corresponding to one of the three measures. A measure may have an associated data type. For example, Sales might be of a monetary type, Quantity an integer, and Weight a real number.

### 11.3.3.2 Hierarchy

The OLAP metamodel specifies two subclasses of Hierarchy: LevelBasedHierarchy and ValueBasedHierarchy.

**LevelBasedHierarchy**

LevelBasedHierarchy describes hierarchical relationships between specific levels of a Dimension. LevelBasedHierarchy is used to model both “pure level” hierarchies (for example, dimension-level tables) and “mixed” hierarchies; that is, levels plus linked nodes. Dimensional levels are modeled by the Level class, a subclass of MemberSelection that partitions a Dimension’s members into disjoint subsets, each representing a distinct level.

For example, the Geography Dimension cited earlier contains members representing cities, states, and regions, such as “Stamford,” “Connecticut,” and “NorthEast.” It might also contain a single member called “USA” representing all regions of the United States. Therefore, the Geography Dimension could have four Levels named “City,” “State,” “Region,” and “ALL” respectively. Each Level specifies the subset of members belonging to it: All cities belong to the “City” Level, all states belong to the “State” Level, all regions belong to the “Region” Level, and the single “USA” member belongs to the “ALL” Level.

When used in the definition of a consolidation path, the meaning of “level” is quite clear: Members occupying a given Level consolidate into the next higher Level (for example, City rolls up into State, State into Region, and Region into ALL).

LevelBasedHierarchy contains an ordered collection of HierarchyLevelAssociations that defines the natural hierarchy of the Dimension. The ordering defines the hierarchical structure in top-down fashion; that is, the “first” HierarchyLevelAssociation in the ordered collection represents the upper-most level of the dimensional hierarchy. A HierarchyLevelAssociation may own any number of DimensionDeployments.
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**ValueBasedHierarchy**

A ValueBasedHierarchy defines a hierarchical ordering of members in which the concept of level has little or no significance. Instead, the topological structure of the hierarchy conveys meaning. ValueBasedHierarchies are often used to model situations where members are classified or ranked according to their distance from a common root member (for example, an organizational chart of a corporation). In this case, each member of the hierarchy has some specific “metric” or “value” associated with it.

ValueBasedHierarchy can be used to model pure “linked node” hierarchies (for example, asymmetric hierarchical graphs or parent-child tables).

As with LevelBasedHierarchy, ValueBasedHierarchy also has an ordered collection of DimensionDeployments, where the ordering semantics are left to implementations to define.

### 11.3.4 Inheritance from the Object Model
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Figure 11-3 illustrates how classes of the OLAP metamodel inherit from the CWM Object Model. Two classes requiring further explanation are:

- **Measure**: A subclass of Attribute that describes the meaning of values stored in the data cells of a multidimensional structure. Different OLAP models often give different interpretations to the term “measure.” In a relational Star Schema,
individual measures might be represented by non-key columns of a Fact table (for example, “Sales” and “Quantity” columns). In this case, measure may be an attribute of a Cube or CubeRegion that models the Fact table. On the other hand, measures can also be represented by members of a Measure Dimension. A Fact table supporting this representation has a single Measure column with column values consisting of the members “Sales” and “Quantity,” and a single “value” column; that is, an implicit data dimension storing the corresponding measure values. A similar notion of Measure Dimension is used in modeling pure hypercube representations of multidimensional servers. Thus, the concept of measure can be represented either as a Dimension or as an Attribute, depending on the type of OLAP system being modeled.

- **Coded Level**: A subclass of Level that assigns a unique encoding, or label, to each of its members. CodedLevel is not essential to the OLAP metamodel, but is provided as a helper class for certain applications that might benefit from the ability of OLAP systems to structure data hierarchically. For example, CodedLevel could be used to model systems of nomenclature or classification.

### 11.3.5 Deploying OLAP Models

The CWM OLAP metamodel describes logical models of OLAP systems, but does not directly specify how an OLAP system is physically deployed. Modeling the deployment of an OLAP system requires mapping instances of OLAP metaclasses to instances of other CWM metaclasses representing physical resources (for example, mapping an OLAP Dimension to a Relational Table). This approach offers several advantages:

- The status of the OLAP metamodel as a conceptual model is preserved by this level of indirection. When using OLAP, a client may perceive to be working directly with OLAP objects, but the actual implementation of those objects is hidden from the client. For example, a client may view a member as a value of a Dimension, but whether that member value comes from a row of a relational table, or from a cell in a multidimensional database, is usually not obvious to the client. On the other hand, if a client needs to determine how a logical OLAP structure is physically realized, the metadata describing this mapping is fully available (assuming that the implementation allows the client to drill-down through the metadata).

- The possibility of defining mappings based on expressions means that the amount of metadata required to describe large models (for example, Dimensions containing large collections of members) can be kept within reasonable bounds. It is generally more efficient to provide expressions that specify where large metadata sets reside, how to connect to them, and how to map their contents, rather than representing them directly as part of the metadata content.

All of the OLAP metaclasses are potential candidates for such deployment mappings. In addition, some OLAP models may also define mappings between several OLAP metaclass instances, forming a natural hierarchy of logical objects (for example, Dimension Attributes are mapped to Level Attributes which, in turn, are mapped to Table Columns).
The CWM Transformation package is used as the primary means of describing these mappings. A modeler constructing an OLAP model based on CWM will generally define instances of the TransformationMap metaclass to link logical OLAP objects together, and to link those logical objects to other objects representing their physical data sources.

StructureMap is a subclass of TransformationMap that models structure-oriented transformation mappings; that is, member identity and hierarchical structure. This type of transformation mapping needs to be connected to the OLAP metamodel in a very specific way (according to Level and Hierarchy), so the StructureMap subclass is defined to make these associations explicit. Two specific usages of StructureMap are defined: *ListOfValues*, which maps attributes identifying members residing at a specific Level, or at a specific Level within a particular Hierarchy, and *ImmediateParent*, which maps attributes identifying the hierarchical parent(s) of the members.

On the other hand, relatively simple TransformationMaps can be defined within any OLAP model to represent attribute-oriented transformations (for example, mapping Dimension Attributes to Table Columns that store attribute values).

ContentMap is a subclass of TransformationMap that models content-oriented transformation mappings; that is, cell data or measure values. For example, an instance of ContentMap might be used to map each of a CubeRegion’s Measures to Columns of an underlying Fact Table.

Note that, in either case (structural mapping or content mapping), the traversal patterns used by any CWM OLAP implementation are always the same, since both deployment mappings are based on the same usage of CWM TransformationMaps.

In addition to representing structural mappings, instances of TransformationMap and its subclasses are also capable of storing implementation-dependent functions or procedures that yield the instance values associated with mapped model elements. For example, a “list of values” StructureMap might store an SQL statement such as “select memberName from Product where productFamily = ‘consumerElectronics’” as the value of its formula attribute.

Figure 11-4 illustrates the CWM metaclasses and associations that describe deployment mappings between logical OLAP models and physical resource models. Note that it is possible to combine both Cube (content) and Dimension (structure) deployments together within the context of a single OLAP Schema (via the DeploymentGroup metaclass). Thus, an OLAP Schema can have several possible deployments that users may select based on implementation-specific considerations (for example, physical optimizations).
11.4 OLAP Classes

11.4.1 CodedLevel

CodedLevel is a subclass of Level that assigns a unique encoding, or label, to each of its Dimension members.

Superclasses

Level
11.4.2 ContentMap

ContentMap is a subclass of TransformationMap that maps CubeRegion attributes to their physical data sources.

Superclasses
TransformationMap

References
cubeDeployment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References the CubeDeployment owning a ContentMap.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>defined by:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>inverse:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.4.3 Cube

A Cube is a collection of analytic values; that is, measures that share the same dimensionality. This dimensionality is specified by a set of unique Dimensions from the Schema. Each unique combination of members in the Cartesian product of the Cube’s Dimensions identifies precisely one data cell within a multidimensional structure.

Synonyms: Multidimensional Array, Hypercube, Hypervolume.

Superclasses
Class

Contained Elements
- CubeDimensionAssociation
- CubeRegion
Attributes

**isVirtual**

If true, then this Cube is a Virtual Cube; that is, it has no physical realization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**cubeDimensionAssociation**

References the collection of CubeDimensionAssociations owned by a Cube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeDimensionAssociation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeOwnsCubeDimensionAssociations::cubeDimensionAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>CubeDimensionAssociation::cube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**cubeRegion**

References the collection of CubeRegions owned by a Cube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeRegion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeOwnsCubeRegions::cubeRegion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>CubeRegion::cube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**schema**

References the Schema owning a Cube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Schema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>SchemaOwnsCubes::schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Schema::cube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

Ensure that the Dimensions defining a Cube are unique. [C-1].

A Cube without CubeRegions cannot be mapped to a deployment structure; that is, physical source of data. [C-2]
11.4.4 CubeDeployment

CubeDeployment represents a particular implementation strategy for the data portions of an OLAP model. It does so by organizing a collection of ContentMaps, which in turn define a mapping to an implementation model.

Superclasses
Class

Contained Elements
ContentMap

References

cubeRegion

References the CubeRegion owning a CubeDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeRegion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeRegionOwnsCubeDeployments::cubeRegion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>CubeRegion::cubeDeployment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

deploymentGroup

References the DeploymentGroup associated with this CubeDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DeploymentGroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DeploymentGroupReferencesCubeDeployments::cubeDeployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>DeploymentGroup::cubeDeployment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ccontentMap

References the ContentMaps owned by a CubeDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ContentMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeDeploymentOwnsContentMaps::contentMap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>ContentMap::cubeDeployment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.4.5 CubeDimensionAssociation

CubeDimensionAssociation relates a Cube to the Dimensions that define it. Features relevant to Cube-Dimension relationships (for example, calcHierarchy) are exposed by this class.

**Superclasses**

Class

**References**

**dimension**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeDimensionAssociationsReferenceDimension::dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Dimension::cubeDimensionAssociation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**cube**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Cube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeOwnsCubeDimensionAssociations::cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Cube::cubeDimensionAssociation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**calcHierarchy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeDimensionAssociationsReferenceCalcHierarchy::calcHierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constraints**

If a calcHierarchy is defined, it must be a Hierarchy owned by the Dimension referenced by the CubeDimensionAssociation. [C-3]
11.4.6 CubeRegion

CubeRegion models a sub-unit of a Cube that is of the same dimensionality as the Cube itself. Each “dimension” of a CubeRegion is represented by a MemberSelection of the corresponding Dimension of the Cube. Furthermore, these MemberSelections may define subsets of their Dimension members.

Synonyms: Sub-Cube, Partition, Slice, Region, Area.

Superclasses
Class

Contained Elements
- CubeDeployment
- MemberSelectionGroup

Attributes

isReadOnly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>attribute</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isReadOnly</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If true, then the CubeRegion content is read-only; that is, may not be written or updated through the CubeRegion. For example, a CubeRegion implemented via an SQL view may not permit updates to the underlying base table.

isFullyRealized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>attribute</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isFullyRealized</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If true, then this CubeRegion has a direct physical realization and is not bound by any MemberSelections.

References

memberSelectionGroup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reference</th>
<th>class</th>
<th>defined by</th>
<th>multiplicity</th>
<th>inverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>memberSelectionGroup</td>
<td>MemberSelectionGroup</td>
<td>CubeRegionOwnsMemberSelectionGroups::memberSelectionGroup</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
<td>MemberSelectionGroup::cubeRegion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cube

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References the Cube owning a CubeRegion.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong> Cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>derived from:</strong> CubeOwnsCubeRegions::cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>inverse:</strong> Cube::cubeRegion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cubeDeployment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References the CubeDeployments owned by a CubeRegion.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong> CubeDeployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>derived from:</strong> CubeRegionOwnsCubeDeployments::cubeDeployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>inverse:</strong> CubeDeployment::CubeRegion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

A “fully realized” CubeRegion has no MemberSelectionGroups (and hence, no MemberSelections). [C-4]

A CubeRegion defined by MemberSelections must have, for each Dimension of its owning Cube, a corresponding MemberSelection within each of its MemberSelectionGroups. [C-5]

A CubeRegion defined by MemberSelections must have, within each MemberSelectionGroup, a MemberSelection corresponding to each Dimension of its owning Cube. [C-6]

11.4.7 DeploymentGroup

DeploymentGroup represents a logical grouping of model elements defining a single, complete deployment of an instance of Olap Schema; that is, CubeDeployments and DimensionDeployments.

The usage of DeploymentGroup is as follows: A user may specify a particular DeploymentGroup as the session-wide, default deployment for all metadata queries performed throughout the session. Alternatively, for queries involving some particular deployed object (for example, a Cube or a Dimension), the user may be asked to choose from a list of deployments. This either becomes the default deployment for the remainder of the session, or the user may continue to be asked to specify a deployment for each subsequent query against deployed objects.

Superclasses

Package
11.4.8 Dimension

A Dimension is an ordinate within a multidimensional structure, and consists of a unique list of values; that is, members that share a common semantic meaning within the domain being modeled. Each member designates a unique position along its ordinate.

Typical Dimensions are: Time, Product, Geography, Scenario (for example, actual, budget, forecast), Measure (for example, sales, quantity).

Superclasses

Class

Contained Elements

- Hierarchy
- MemberSelection
Attributes

**isTime**

If true, then this Dimension is a Time Dimension; that is, its members collectively represent a time series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**isMeasure**

If true, then this Dimension is a Measure Dimension; that is, its members represent Measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References

**hierarchy**

References the collection of Hierarchies owned by a Dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DimensionOwnsHierarchies::hierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>Hierarchy::dimension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**memberSelection**

References the collection of MemberSelections owned by a Dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>MemberSelection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DimensionOwnsMemberSelections::memberSelection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>MemberSelection::dimension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**cubeDimensionAssociation**

References the collection of CubeDimensionAssociations referencing this Dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeDimensionAssociation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>CubeDimensionAssociationsReferenceDimension::cubeDimensionAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>CubeDimensionAssociation::dimension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**displayDefault**

References the default display Hierarchy of a Dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DimensionHasDefaultHierarchy::displayDefault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**schema**

References the Schema owning a Dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Schema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>SchemaOwnsDimensions::schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Schema::dimension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constraints**

A Dimension may be a Time Dimension, a Measure Dimension, or neither, but never both types at the same time. [C-7]

The default display Hierarchy (if defined) must be one of the Hierarchies owned by the Dimension. [C-8]

### 11.4.9 DimensionDeployment

A DimensionDeployment represents a particular implementation strategy for the dimensional/hierarchical portions of an OLAP model. It does so by organizing a collection of StructureMaps, which in turn define a mapping to an implementation model.

**Superclasses**

Class

**Contained Elements**

StructureMap
References

**hierarchyLevelAssociation**

References the HierarchyLevelAssociation owning a DimensionDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>HierarchyLevelAssociation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociationOwnsDimensionDeployments:: hierarchyLevelAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociation::dimensionDeployment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**valueBasedHierarchy**

References the ValueBasedHierarchy owning a DimensionDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>ValueBasedHierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ValueBasedHierarchyOwnsDimensionDeployments:: valueBasedHierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>ValueBasedHierarchy::dimensionDeployment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**structureMap**

References the collection of StructureMaps owned by a DimensionDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>StructureMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DimensionDeploymentOwnsStructureMaps::structureMap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>StructureMap::dimensionDeployment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**listOfValues**

References the “list of values” StructureMap owned by a DimensionDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>StructureMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>DimensionDeploymentHasListOfValues::listOfValues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>StructureMap::dimensionDeploymentLV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.4.9.1 Constraints

An instance of DimensionDeployment must be referenced exclusively by either a HierarchyLevelAssociation or a ValueBasedHierarchy. [C-9]

Within a DimensionDeployment, an “immediate parent” StructureMap must always have an associated and distinct “list of values” StructureMap. [C-10]

A StructureMap referenced as a “list of values” StructureMap must not reside outside of the DimensionDeployment’s collection of StructureMaps. [C-11]

A StructureMap referenced as an “immediate parent” StructureMap must not reside outside of the DimensionDeployment’s collection of StructureMaps. [C-12]

11.4.10 Hierarchy

A Hierarchy is an organizational structure that describes a traversal pattern through a Dimension, based on parent/child relationships between members of the Dimension. Hierarchies are used to define both navigational and consolidation/computational paths through the Dimension; that is, a value associated with a child member is aggregated by one or more parents.

Superclasses

Class
References

dimension

References the Dimension owning a Hierarchy.

class: Dimension

defined by: DimensionOwnsHierarchies::dimension

multiplicity: exactly one

inverse: Dimension::hierarchy

cubeDimensionAssociation

References the collection of CubeDimensionAssociations designating this Hierarchy as their default calculation Hierarchy.

class: cubeDimensionAssociation

defined by: CubeDimensionAssociationsReferenceCalcHierarchy::cubeDimensionAssociation

multiplicity: zero or more

inverse: CubeDimensionAssociation::calcHierarchy

defaultedDimension

References the Dimension for which this Hierarchy is the “display default” Hierarchy.

class: Dimension

defined by: DimensionHasDisplayDefault::defaultedDimension

multiplicity: zero or one

inverse: Dimension::displayDefault

HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup

References HierarchyMemberSelectionGroups that are based upon this Hierarchy.

class: HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup

defined by: HierarchyMemberSelectionGroupReferencesHierarchy::HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup

multiplicity: zero or many

inverse: HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup::hierarchy

11.4.11 HierarchyLevelAssociation

HierarchyLevelAssociation is a class that orders Levels within a LevelBasedHierarchy, and provides a means of mapping Level and/or Hierarchy-oriented Dimension attributes to deployment structures; that is, physical data sources.
11.4.11.1 Superclasses

Class

11.4.11.2 Contained Elements

DimensionDeployment

11.4.11.3 References

**levelBasedHierarchy**

References the LevelBasedHierarchy owning this HierarchyLevelAssociation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>LevelBasedHierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>LevelBasedHierarchyOwnsHierarchyLevelAssociations::levelBasedHierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>LevelBasedHierarchy::hierarchyLevelAssociation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**currentLevel**

References the “current” Level associated with this HierarchyLevelAssociation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociationsReferenceLevel::currentLevel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Level::hierarchyLevelAssociation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**dimensionDeployment**

References the collection of DimensionDeployments owned by a HierarchyLevelAssociation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DimensionDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociationOwnsDimensionDeployments::dimensionDeployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>DimensionDeployment::hierarchyLevelAssociation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.4.12 HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup

This subtype of MemberSelectionGroup allows users to specify that a particular cube region is determined by hierarchy. This allows the description of data to vary by hierarchy and, therefore, provides the ability to model multiple measure values per hierarchy.
Superclasses

MemberSelectionGroup

References

hierarchy

References the Hierarchies that this HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup is based upon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>HierarchyMemberSelectionGroupReferencesHierarchy::hierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Hierarchy::HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

Each Hierarchy referenced by a HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup must have precisely one corresponding MemberSelection (of the same Dimension) in the HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup’s collection of MemberSelections. [C-15]

11.4.13 Level

Level is a subclass of MemberSelection that assigns each member of a Dimension to a specific level within the Dimension.

Superclasses

MemberSelection

References

hierarchyLevelAssociation

References the HierarchyLevelAssociations denoting this Level as “current level.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>HierarchyLevelAssociation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociationsReferenceLevel::hierarchyLevelAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociation::currentLevel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.4.14 LevelBasedHierarchy

A LevelBasedHierarchy is a Hierarchy that describes relationships between specific levels of a Dimension. LevelBasedHierarchy is used to model both “pure level” hierarchies (for example, dimension-level tables) and “mixed” hierarchies; that is, levels plus linked nodes.

Supertypes

Hierarchy

Contained Elements

HierarchyLevelAssociation

References

hierarchyLevelAssociation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References the collection of HierarchyLevelAssociations owned by a LevelBasedHierarchy.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>LevelBasedHierarchyOwnsHierarchyLevelAssociations:: hierarchyLevelAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>HierarchyLevelAssociation::levelBasedHierarchy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constraints

The currentLevel of each HierarchyLevelAssociation must refer to a Level owned by the Dimension of the LevelBasedHierarchy containing the HierarchyLevelAssociation. [C-13]

No two HierarchyLevelAssociations may designate the same Level instance as their “current level.” [C-14]

11.4.15 Measure

Measure is a subclass of Attribute representing Dimension Measures (for example, Sales, Quantity, Weight). Synonym: Variable.

Supertypes

Attribute

11.4.16 MemberSelection

MemberSelection represents an arbitrary subset of the members of a Dimension.
**Superclasses**

Class

**References**

*dimension*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dimension</td>
<td>Dimension</td>
<td>MemberSelectionGroup::dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
<td>Dimension::memberSelection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*memberSelectionGroup*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>memberSelectionGroup</td>
<td>MemberSelectionGroup</td>
<td>MemberSelectionGroup::memberSelection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
<td>MemberSelectionGroup::memberSelection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**11.4.17 MemberSelectionGroup**

MemberSelectionGroup enables the grouping together of semantically-related MemberSelections.

**Superclasses**

Class

**References**

*memberSelection*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>memberSelection</td>
<td>MemberSelection</td>
<td>MemberSelectionGroup::memberSelection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>one or more</td>
<td>MemberSelection::memberSelectionGroup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**cubeRegion**

References the CubeRegion owning a MemberSelectionGroup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>CubeRegion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>CubeRegionOwnsMemberSelectionGroups::cubeRegion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>CubeRegion::memberSelectionGroup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11.4.18 Schema

Schema contains all elements comprising an OLAP model. A Schema may also contain any number of DeploymentGroups, representing the various physical deployments of the logical Schema.

**Superclasses**

Package

**Contained Elements**

- Cube
- DeploymentGroup
- Dimension

**References**

**cube**

References the collection of Cubes owned by a Schema.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Cube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>SchemaOwnsCubes::cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Cube::schema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**deploymentGroup**

References the collection of DeploymentGroups owned by a Schema.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DeploymentGroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>SchemaOwnsDeploymentGroups::deploymentGroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero of more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>DeploymentGroup::schema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.4.19 **StructureMap**

StructureMap is a subclass of TransformationMap that maps Dimension attributes to their physical data sources.

11.4.19.1 **Superclasses**

TransformationMap

11.4.19.2 **References**

dimensionDeployment

References the DimensionDeployment owning this StructureMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DimensionDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DimensionDeploymentOwnsStructureMaps::dimensionDeployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>DimensionDeployment::structureMap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dimensionDeploymentLV

References the DimensionDeployment designating this StructureMap as a “list of values” StructureMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DimensionDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>DimensionDeploymentHasListOfValues::dimensionDeployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>DimensionDeployment::listOfValues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.4.20 ValueBasedHierarchy

ValueBasedHierarchy is a subclass of Hierarchy that ranks Dimension members according to their relative distance from the root. Each member of a ValueBasedHierarchy has a specific “metric” or “value” associated with it.

ValueBasedHierarchy is used to model pure “linked node” hierarchies (for example, parent-child tables). It is a subclass of Hierarchy that ranks Dimension members according to their relative distance from a common root member.

Superclasses
Hierarchy

Contained Elements

DimensionDeployment

References

dimensionDeployment

References the collection of Dimension Deployments owned by a ValueBasedHierarchy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DimensionDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>ValueBasedHierarchyOwnsDimensionDeployments::dimensionDeployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>DimensionDeployment::valueBasedHierarchy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5 OLAP Associations

11.5.1 CubeDeploymentOwnsContentMaps

A CubeDeployment owns any number of ContentMaps.
Ends

cubeDeployment

The CubeDeployment owning a ContentMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

contentMap

The collection of ContentMaps owned by a CubeDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ContentMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.2 CubeDimensionAssociationsReferenceCalcHierarchy

A CubeDimAssociation may designate a default Hierarchy for calculation purposes.

Ends

calcHierarchy

The Hierarchy designated by a CubeDimensionAssociation as the default Hierarchy to be used in consolidation calculations performed on the Cube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cubeDimensionAssociation

CubeDimensionAssociations designating the Hierarchy to be used in consolidation calculations performed on the Cube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeDimensionAssociation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.3 CubeDimensionAssociationsReferenceDimension

Each CubeDimensionAssociation references a single Dimension.
11.5.3.1 Ends

cubeDimensionAssociation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CubeDimensionAssociations referencing the Dimension.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type:</strong> CubeDimensionAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Dimension referenced by CubeDimensionAssociations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>type:</strong> Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.4 CubeOwnsCubeDimensionAssociations

The dimensionality of a Cube is defined by a collection of unique Dimensions. Each Dimension is represented by an instance of CubeDimensionAssociation.

Ends

cube

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Cube owning CubeDimensionAssociations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong> Cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aggregation:</strong> composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cubeDimensionAssociation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CubeDimensionAssociations owned by the Cube.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>class:</strong> CubeDimensionAssociation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiplicity:</strong> zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.5 CubeOwnsCubeRegions

A Cube may own any number of CubeRegions.
11.5.6 **CubeRegionOwnsCubeDeployments**

A CubeRegion may own any number of CubeDeployments.

**Ends**

**cubeRegion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The CubeRegion owning a CubeDeployment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**cubeDeployment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The CubeDeployments owned by a CubeRegion.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.7 **CubeRegionOwnsMemberSelectionGroups**

A CubeRegion may own any number of MemberSelectionGroups.
Ends

cubeRegion

The CubeRegion owning MemberSelectionGroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeRegion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ends

memberSelectionGroup

MemberSelectionGroups owned by the CubeRegion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>MemberSelectionGroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.8 DeploymentGroupReferencesCubeDeployments

A DeploymentGroup may reference any number of CubeDeployments.

Ends

deploymentGroup

The DeploymentGroups referencing a CubeDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DeploymentGroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cubeDeployment

The CubeDeployments referenced by a DeploymentGroup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CubeDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.9 DeploymentGroupReferencesDimensionDeployments

A DeploymentGroup may reference any number of DimensionDeployments.
11.5.10 DimensionDeploymentHasImmediateParent

An instance of DimensionDeployment may reference zero or one StructureMaps as its “immediate parent” StructureMap.

Ends

immediateParent

The StructureMap referenced by a DimensionDeployment as its “immediate parent.”

class: StructureMap

multiplicity: zero or one

11.5.11 DimensionDeploymentHasListOfValues

An instance of DimensionDeployment may reference zero or one StructureMaps as its “list of values” StructureMap.
Ends

**structureMap**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>StructureMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**dimensionDeploymentLV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DimensionDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11.5.12 DimensionDeploymentOwnsStructureMaps

A DimensionDeployment may own any number of StructureMaps.

Ends

**structureMap**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>StructureMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**dimensionDeployment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>DimensionDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation:</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11.5.13 DimensionHasDefaultHierarchy

A Dimension may designate a default Hierarchy for display purposes.
11.5.14 DimensionOwnsHierarchies

A Dimension may own several Hierarchies.

Ends

dimension

The Dimension owning Hierarchies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hierarchy

Hierarchies owned by the Dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.15 DimensionOwnsMemberSelections

A Dimension may own several MemberSelections.
Ends

dimension

The Dimension owning MemberSelections.

class: Dimension

multiplicity: exactly one

aggregation: composite

memberSelection

MemberSelections owned by the Dimension.

class: MemberSelection

multiplicity: zero or more

11.5.16 HierarchyLevelAssociationOwnsDimensionDeployments

A HierarchyLevelAssociation may own any number of DimensionDeployments.

Ends

hierarchyLevelAssociation

The HierarchyLevelAssociation owning DimensionDeployments.

class: HierarchyLevelAssociation

multiplicity: zero or one

aggregation: composite

dimensionDeployment

The DimensionDeployments owned by a HierarchyLevelAssociation.

class: DimensionDeployment

multiplicity: zero or more; ordered

11.5.17 HierarchyLevelAssociationsReferenceLevel

Each HierarchyLevelAssociation references precisely one Level as its current level.
11.5.18 LevelBasedHierarchyOwnsHierarchyLevelAssociations

A LevelBasedHierarchy may own any number of HierarchyLevelAssociations.

**Ends**

**levelBasedHierarchy**

The LevelBasedHierarchy owning HierarchyLevelAssociations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>LevelBasedHierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**hierarchyLevelAssociation**

HierarchyLevelAssociations owned by the LevelBasedHierarchy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>HierarchyLevelAssociation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.5.20 MemberSelectionGroupReferencesMemberSelections

A MemberSelectionGroup references at least one unique MemberSelection.

Ends

memberSelection

MemberSelections referenced by MemberSelectionGroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>memberSelection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

memberSelectionGroup

MemberSelectionGroups referencing MemberSelections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>memberSelectionGroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.21 SchemaOwnsCubes

A Schema may own any number of Cubes.

Ends

cube

The Cubes owned by a Schema.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Cube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.5.22 SchemaOwnsDeploymentGroups

A Schema may own any number of DeploymentGroups.

Ends

deploymentGroup

The DeploymentGroups owned by a Schema.

class: DeploymentGroup

Multiplicity: zero or more

schema

The Schema owning a DeploymentGroup.

class: Schema

Multiplicity: exactly one

aggregation: composite

11.5.23 SchemaOwnsDimensions

A Schema may own any number of Dimensions.

Ends

dimension

The Dimension owned by a Schema.

class: Dimension

Multiplicity: zero or more
### 11.5.24 ValueBasedHierarchyOwnsDimensionDeployments

A ValueBasedHierarchy may own any number of DimensionDeployments.

**Ends**

### valueBasedHierarchy

The ValueBasedHierarchy owning a DimensionDeployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ValueBasedHierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### dimensionDeployment

The DimensionDeployments owned by a ValueBasedHierarchy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>DimensionDeployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more; ordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11.6 OCL Representation of OLAP Constraints

[C-1] Ensure that the Dimensions defining a Cube are unique.

**context** Cube **inv:**

```
self.cubeDimensionAssociation->forAll( c1, c2 | c1 <> c2 implies
  c1.dimension <> c2.dimension )
```

[C-2] A Cube without CubeRegions cannot be mapped to a deployment structure; that is, physical source of data.

**context** Cube **inv:**

```
self.cubeRegion->isEmpty implies self.isVirtual = true
```
[C-3] If a calcHierarchy is defined, it must be a Hierarchy owned by the Dimension referenced by the CubeDimensionAssociation.

context CubeDimensionAssociation inv:
self.calcHierarchy->notEmpty implies self.calcHierarchy.dimension = self.dimension

[C-4] A “fully realized” CubeRegion has no MemberSelectionGroups (and hence, no MemberSelections).

context CubeRegion inv:
self.isFullyRealized implies self.memberSelectionGroup->isEmpty

[C-5] A CubeRegion defined by MemberSelections must have, for each Dimension of its owning Cube, a corresponding MemberSelection within each of its MemberSelectionGroups.

context CubeRegion inv:
self.memberSelectionGroup->notEmpty implies
self.cube.cubeDimensionAssociation->forAll( d |
self.memberSelectionGroup->forAll( g |
g.memberSelection->exists( m | m.dimension = d.dimension ) ) )

[C-6] A CubeRegion defined by MemberSelections must have, within each MemberSelectionGroup, a MemberSelection corresponding to each Dimension of its owning Cube.

context CubeRegion inv:
self.memberSelectionGroup->notEmpty implies
self.memberSelectionGroup->forAll( g |
g.memberSelection->forAll( m |
self.cube.cubeDimensionAssociation->exists( d | d.dimension = m.dimension ) ) )

[C-7] A Dimension may be a Time Dimension, a Measure Dimension, or neither, but never both types at the same time.

context Dimension inv:
not ( self.isTime and self.isMeasure )

[C-8] The default display Hierarchy (if defined) must be one of the Hierarchies owned by the Dimension.

context Dimension inv:
self.displayDefault->notEmpty implies self.hierarchy->includes( self.displayDefault )
[C-9] An instance of DimensionDeployment must be referenced exclusively by either a HierarchyLevelAssociation or a ValueBasedHierarchy.

**context** DimensionDeployment **inv:**

self.hierarchyLevelAssociation->isEmpty xor self.valueBasedHierarchy->isEmpty

[C-10] Within a DimensionDeployment, an “immediate parent” StructureMap must always have an associated and distinct “list of values” StructureMap.

**context** DimensionDeployment **inv:**

self.immediateParent->notEmpty implies
( self.listOfValues->notEmpty and self.listOfValues <> self.immediateParent )


**context** DimensionDeployment **inv:**

self.listOfValues->notEmpty implies self.structureMap->includes( self.listOfValues )


**context** DimensionDeployment **inv:**

self.immediateParent->notEmpty implies
self.structureMap->includes( self.immediateParent )

[C-13] The currentLevel of each HierarchyLevelAssociation must refer to a Level owned by the Dimension of the LevelBasedHierarchy containing the HierarchyLevelAssociation.

**context** LevelBasedHierarchy **inv:**

self.hierarchyLevelAssociation->notEmpty implies
self.hierarchyLevelAssociation->forAll( h | self.dimension.memberSelection
->select( oclType = Olap::Level )->includes( h.currentLevel ) )

[C-14] No two HierarchyLevelAssociations may designate the same Level instance as their “current level.”

**context** LevelBasedHierarchy **inv:**

self.hierarchyLevelAssociation->forAll( h1, h2 | h1 <> h2 implies h1.currentLevel <> h2.currentLevel )
[C-15] Each Hierarchy referenced by a HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup must have precisely one corresponding MemberSelection (of the same Dimension) in the HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup’s collection of MemberSelections.

context HierarchyMemberSelectionGroup

inv: self.hierarchy->forAll( h |

self.memberSelection->exists( m | m.dimension = h.dimension ) )

inv: self.hierarchy->size = self.memberSelection->size
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12.1 Overview

Data mining is the application of mathematical or statistical processes for the purpose of extracting hidden knowledge from large data sets. This knowledge is subsequently used for various purposes, including actionable business intelligence and biotechnology research.

Data mining techniques provide descriptive information that is manifest in inherent patterns or relations between the data. This can be achieved, for example, with algorithms for clustering or association rules detection (link analysis).

They also uncover correlations, often due to causal relationships, between the data and a specific target property. This information is used to make predictions about unknown data or future behavior. Techniques generating these models are known as supervised learning algorithms, and include classification and approximation algorithms.

Whereas most analysis tools support the retrospective analysis of data sets by verifying a user’s hypotheses, data mining attempts to discover trends and behaviors without the need for guessing about possible relationships.
Data mining tools are particularly effective in the data warehouse environment, because data warehouses offer large quantities of cleansed business data for consumption by data mining tools. Also, the advanced query and analytical capabilities available in most data warehouses (e.g., relational databases, OLAP servers, and information visualization tools) can be used to great advantage by data mining tools in their formulation of models, and in the evaluation of those models by human users.

12.2 Organization of the Data Mining Metamodel

12.2.1 Dependencies

The Data Mining package depends on the following package:

- org.omg:CWM:ObjectModel:Core

12.2.2 Major Classes and Associations

The CWM Data Mining metamodel consists of seven conceptual areas: A core Mining metamodel (upon which the other areas depend), and metamodels representing the data mining subdomains of Clustering, Association Rules, Supervised, Classification, Approximation, and Attribute Importance. Each area is represented by the metamodel packages shown in the diagram below.
Collectively, the collection of Data Mining packages provide the necessary abstractions to model generic representations of data mining models (i.e., mathematical models produced or generated by the execution of data mining algorithms).

Included are representations of data mining tasks and models, as well as other entities (such as category matrix) that are common across most data mining applications and tools, as well as their relationships to each other and their mappings to technical metadata.

The Mining Core package consists of common Data Mining abstractions that are fundamental to, and reused by, the major conceptual areas. In particular, this package contains several basic packages that are required to implement the CWM Data Mining interfaces. It is required that at least this package and one more Data Mining package be implemented for compliance. The packages forming the Mining Core are shown in the next diagram.
The following subsections describe the content of each component package of the MiningCore. This is subsequently followed by subsections describing each of the major conceptual area packages.

### 12.2.2.1 Mining Function Settings

This package defines the objects that contain parameters specific to mining functions. The separation of mining functions from mining algorithms enables the user to specify the type of the desired result without being concerned with a particular algorithm. The Mining Function Settings metamodel is illustrated above.

Mining FunctionSettings (MFS) is the superclass of all other function settings classes. An MFS instance references a set of MiningAttributes, aggregated by a LogicalData instance. The AttributeUsage set defines how each of the MiningAttributes will be used by the Mining Algorithm.

*Figure 12-2 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Mining Core Package*

*Figure 12-3 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Mining Function Settings*
12.2.2.2 Mining Model

This package defines the basic Mining Model from which all model objects inherit as the result of a mining build task. The Mining Model metamodel is illustrated above.

Each MiningModel has a signature that defines the characteristics of the data required by the model.
12.2.2.3 Mining Result

This package defines the basic MiningResult object from which all result objects inherit as the result of a specific mining task (other than build).

12.2.2.4 Mining Data

This package defines the objects that describe the input data, the way the input data is to be treated, and the mapping between the input data and internal representation for which mining algorithms can understand.

PhysicalData effectively references and instance of a class or subclass (e.g., Table, file, etc.). This allows JDM to leverage the various row/column format data representation expressible in CWM.

Mining Data metaclasses representing the concepts of physical data are illustrated in Figure 12-6. Logical data metaclasses are illustrated in Figure 12-7. Attribute assignment and attribute usage metaclasses are illustrated in two subsequent diagrams (Figure 12-8 and Figure 12-9, respectively).

Finally, metaclasses used to model the matrix representation and taxonomy of mining data are presented in Figure 12-10, Category Matrix, and Figure 12-11, Category Taxonomy, respectively.

Figure 12-5 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Mining Result

This package defines the basic MiningResult object from which all result objects inherit as the result of a specific mining task (other than build).
Figure 12-6 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Physical Data

Figure 12-6 illustrates those elements of the Mining Data metamodel used to model physical data, whereas the following diagram shows those elements facilitating the logical modeling of data.
Figure 12-7 contains objects that represent how physical data should be interpreted, logically by the mining algorithm.

A LogicalAttribute can be categorical, numerical, or both, depending on its usage. Categorical attributes that have ordered category values are created as ordinal attributes.
Figure 12-8 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Attribute Assignment

- Figure 12-8 illustrates metaclasses that enable mapping physical data attributes to logical data mining attributes. The following attribute assignments are supported:
  - Direct assignment: A direct mapping between a mining attribute and a physical attribute.
- Pivot assignment: A mapping where the input data is in transactional format; each of the logical attributes occurring in a pivoted table is mapped to the three physical columns, presumably the same ones every time.
- Reverse pivot assignment: A mapping where the input data is in 2D format; the transformed input data contains set valued attributes; the sets are represented by enumerating the set elements based on the selection function.
- Set assignment: A mapping between a set valued mining attribute and a set of attributes in the physical data.

Figure 12-9 illustrates metaclasses that enable specification of how a mining attribute should be used, interpreted, or preprocessed (e.g., mining value or outlier/invalid value treatment).
Figure 12-10 illustrates the metaclasses that generalize a complex object used to represent a cost matrix (a model build input) or a confusion matrix (a model test result). Two representations are supported:

- Java objects (CategoryMatrixObject)
- Table based (CategoryMatrixTable)
Figure 12-11 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Category Taxonomy

Figure 12-11 also illustrates the metaclasses that enable representing a taxonomy as a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Two representations are supported:

- Java Object (CategoryMapObject)
- table-bound (CategoryMapTable).

**Mining Task**

This package defines the objects that are related to mining tasks. A MiningTask object represents a specific mining operation to be performed on a given data set (i.e., physical data). Figure 12-12 illustrates the basic Mining Task metamodel.
Figure 12-12 illustrates Mining Task as referenced by a Mining Transformation. A Mining Task maps physical data to a model signature (when applicable; for example, lift, test, etc.) using the Attribute Assignment set.

Figure 12-12 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Mining Task
Figure 12-13 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Mining Build Task

Model elements comprising the Mining Build Task are shown in Figure 12-13. The modeling of the application of output and the computation of the result of an application of a data mining model to (new) data are illustrated in Figure 12-14 and Figure 12-15, respectively.
Figure 12-14 illustrates metaclasses that enable defining the content of an Apply task. This includes source items; for example, keys, or specific content of apply (data scoring using a model).

An apply output may contain multiple source and content items.
Figure 12-15 illustrates metaclasses that allow specification of an apply task. The apply task requires a model, physical data, apply output, and an attribute assignment set.

**Entry Point**

This package defines the top-level objects of DataMining package which can be used as entry point in application programming. This is illustrated in Figure 12-16.
Figure 12-16 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Entry Point

Clustering

This package contains the metamodel that represents clustering functions, models, and settings. The Clustering metamodel is illustrated in Figure 12-17. It contains attribute usage and function settings, subclasses that are specific to the Clustering function.
This package contains the metamodel that represents the constructs for frequent itemset, association rules and sequence algorithms. The Association Rules metamodel is illustrated in Figure 12-18.
12.2.2.5 Supervised

This package contains the metamodel that represents the constructs for supervised learning algorithms. The Approximation, Attribute Importance, and Classification packages must implement this package. Figure 12-19 illustrates the Supervised metamodel. It contains test and lift tasks, test and lift results, and a common superclass for supervised function settings.
Classification

This package contains the metamodel that represents classification function, models, and settings.

Figure 12-19 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Supervised
Figure 12-20 represents the model for Function Settings, while Figure 12-21 illustrates those model elements used to represent Attribute Usage that can include prior probability specification. Figure 12-22 shows that portion of the Classification metamodel modeling Classification Test tasks, results, and apply output.
Figure 12-21 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Classification Attribute Usage
Approximation

This package contains the metamodel that represents the constructs for approximation modeling (also known as regression). The metamodel is shown in Figure 12-23.
Attribute Importance

This package contains the metamodel that represents the constructs for attribute importance (also known as feature selection) model. This metamodel is illustrated in Figure 12-24.

Figure 12-23 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Approximation

Figure 12-24 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Attribute Importance
12.2.3 Inheritance from the ObjectModel

The inheritance of the Data Mining metamodel from the CWM ObjectModel is shown in the three subsequent diagrams.

![Diagram](image-url)

*Figure 12-25 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Inheritance from UML*

![Diagram](image-url)

*Figure 12-26 CWM Data Mining Metamodel: Inheritance from UML (cont.)*
12.3 Data Mining Classes

12.3.1 ApplyContentItem

Abstract

This is an abstract class that describes an item to appear in the output based on the rank of the prediction.

Superclasses

ApplyOutputItem

Attributes

**topNthIndex**

This indicates the rank of the prediction whose associated values (score, probability, and rule id) appear in the output as specified by the subclass. The default value is 1, which means the top prediction.

**type:** Integer

**multiplicity:** exactly one
12.3.2 ApplyOutputItem  

This object describes an entity of ApplyOutput. It is usually stored in a destination attribute. The destination attribute is specified by an AttributeAssignment object.

Superclasses
MiningAttribute

Attributes
None

12.3.3 ApplyProbabilityItem

This indicates that the probability value of the prediction (whose rank is specified here) should appear in the output.

Superclasses
ApplyContentItem

Attributes
None

12.3.4 ApplyRuleIdItem

This indicates that the rule ID of the prediction (whose rank is specified here) should appear in the output. This applies only to the models with rule IDs (such as decision tree models).

12.3.4.1 Superclasses
ApplyContentItem

Attributes
None

12.3.5 ApplyScoreItem

This indicates that the score (target value) of the prediction (whose rank is specified here) should appear in the output.

Superclasses
ApplyContentItem
12.3.6 **ApplySourceItem**

This indicates that the source attribute specified here appears in the output as well.

12.3.6.1 **Superclasses**

ApplyContentItem

**Attributes**

None

12.3.7 **ApplyTargetValueItem**

This indicates that the probability value of the given target value is to appear in the output.

**Superclasses**

ApplyOutputItem

**Attributes**

None

**References**

*targetValue*

Associates the target value whose probability value is to appear in the apply output with ApplyTargetValueItem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>TargetValueItemRefCategory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Category::targetValueItem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.3.8 **ApproximationFunctionSettings**

An ApproximationFunctionSettings is a subclass of SupervisedFunctionSettings that supports features that are unique to approximation function that finds approximates of numerical values.


Superclasses
SupervisedFunctionSettings

Attributes

toleratedError

The tolerated error is defined in terms of R-squared.
type: Double
multiplicity: exactly one

12.3.9 ApproximationTestResult

This represents the result of a test task applied to an approximation model.

Superclasses
MiningTestResult

Attributes

meanPredictedValue

Mean of the predicted values for test data. Null if not computed
type: Double
multiplicity: exactly one

meanActualValue

Mean of the actual values in the target attribute for test data. Null if not computed
type: Double
multiplicity: exactly one

meanAbsoluteError

Mean of the absolute values of the prediction error on the test data. Null if not computed.
type: Double
multiplicity: exactly one
12.3.10 ApproximationTestTask

This represents a task to check the quality of a regression model. A comparison of mean predicted values and mean actual values can be done and a number of numerical error measures can be computed. Null values mean that the model did not compute the value.

**Superclasses**

MiningTestTask

**Attributes**

None

**References**

*testResult*

Associates the test result with the approximation test task.

class: ApproximationTestResult
defined by: TaskHasApproximationTestResult
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: ApproximationTestResult::testTask

12.3.11 AssociationRulesFunctionSettings

An AssociationRulesFunctionSettings is a subclass of FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings that supports features that are unique to association rules algorithms.
### Superclasses

FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings

### Attributes

#### minimumConfidence

This specifies the minimum confidence value for each association rule to be found.

- **type:** Double
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

#### maximumRuleLength

This is the maximum length of the antecedent and consequent item set sizes.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

### 12.3.12 AttributeAssignment

This object provides a mapping between a mining attribute (logical data) and a set of attributes in the input data (physical data). LogicalAttribute is the mining attribute being mapped by this object. OrderIdAttribute is used when ordering of attributes is required. In some cases, ordering of attributes is important (as in sequence analysis). In other cases, a sequence of an attribute is favored over having a set-valued attribute.

AttributeAssignment can be reused among several tasks, but a MiningAttribute can be referred to by an AttributeAssignment within a task.

### Superclasses

ModelElement

### Attributes

None

### References

#### orderIdAttribute

This reference points to one or more mining attributes that are used to identify the order of certain sequences.

- **class:** Attribute
This object contains a set of AttributeAssignment objects and completes attribute assignment for a mining operation.

**Superclasses**
ModelElement

**Contained Elements**
AttributeAssignment

**Attributes**
None

**References**

**assignment**
This reference points to one or more AttributeAssignment objects contained in the assignment set.

| defined by        | AssignmentSetHasAssignment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>one or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>AttributeAssignment::set</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12.3.14 AttributeImportanceSettings

This is a subclass of MiningFunctionSettings that supports features unique to attribute
importance identification, also known as feature selection.

Superclasses

SupervisedFunctionSettings

Attributes

maximumResultSize

The attribute maximumResultSize indicates to return the top N most important attributes. It
may return fewer if the total number of attributes is less than this number.

type: Integer

multiplicity: exactly one

returnTop

If true, returns the most important attributes. If false, it returns the least important. The default
value is “true.”

type: boolean

multiplicity: exactly one

12.3.15 AttributeUsage

An AttributeUsage object specifies how a MiningAttribute is to be used for mining
operations.

Superclasses

Feature

Attributes

usage

The usage attribute indicates if and how the MiningAttribute should be used by the model.

type: UsageOption (active | supplementary | target | weightActive | weightSupplementary)

multiplicity: exactly one
12.3.16 AttributeUsageSet

An AttributeUsageSet object contains a collection of AttributeUsage objects. This specifies how MiningAttributes are to be used or manipulated by a model. The specification may contain at most one AttributeUsage object of each MiningAttribute in the LogicalDataSpecification. The default usage is “active” for an attribute if no entry for a MiningAttribute is present.

Superclasses

Class

References

attribute

This reference specifies the LogicalAttribute to which an instance of AttributeUsage refers.

class: LogicalAttribute
defined by: UsageRefAttribute
multiplicity: one or more
inverse: LogicalAttribute::usage
12.3.17 AuxiliaryObject

This contains ancillary objects that exist in the schema.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Contained Elements
AttributeAssignmentSet

References

attributeAssignmentSet

This represents a set of AttributeAssignmentSet objects that are contained in the schema.

| class: | AttributeAssignmentSet |
| defined by: | AuxObjectHasAttrAssignmentSet |
| multiplicity: | zero or more |
| inverse: | AttributeAssignmentSet::auxiliaryObject |

12.3.18 Catalog

This object is the top level entry point for the CWM Data Mining package. It contains a set of schema.

Superclasses
Package

Contained Elements
Schema

References

schema

This represents a set of schema contained in the catalog.

| class: | Schema |
12.3.19 CatagoricalAttributeProperties

A CategoricalAttributeProperties object is used to describe properties of a categorical attribute. It lists the specific categories that are recognized in the attribute, as well as a taxonomy, or CategorizationGraph, that organizes the categories into a hierarchy.

This metadata may or may not be used by the underlying algorithm. It may be leveraged to determine if data being supplied as input to a mining operation is sufficiently similar to the data used to build the model.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Contained Elements**

Category

**References**

category

The set of categories listed for the attribute. If only the 'missing' categories are listed, all other categories are considered 'valid.' If 'valid' categories are listed, all other non-missing categories are considered 'invalid.' If only 'invalid' categories are listed, all other non-missing categories are considered 'valid.' If both 'valid' and 'invalid' categories are listed, all other non-missing categories encountered result in an exception.

class: Category

defined by: CategoricalPropertiesHasCategories

multiplicity: zero or more

inverse: Category::categoricalProperties

taxonomy

The taxonomy describes a hierarchical organization of the valid categories among the attribute data. There may be zero or more specified for a given attribute. If more than one are specified, the Data Mining System is supposed to produce one model per taxonomy as a single model result.

class: CategoryTaxonomy


12.3.20 Category

This represents a discrete value. A collection of Category instances defines the values that may or may not be annotated with a mining attribute.

**Superclasses**

- ModelElement

**Attributes**

- **value**

  Constraint on value: DataType must define equality operator.

  - type: Any
  - multiplicity: exactly one

- **isNullCategory**

  This optional attribute is set to true if the Category being specified is the null category. This special category value can be used to represent “unknown” prediction by a model. The default value is “false.”

  - type: boolean
  - multiplicity: exactly one

- **displayName**

  The displayName is a string that may be used by applications to refer to this category.

  - type: String
  - multiplicity: exactly one

- **property**

  This identifies the role of this Category instance.

  - type: CategoryProperty (valid | invalid | missing)
  - multiplicity: exactly one
12.3.21 CategoryMap

This is the common superclass of CategoryMapObject and CategoryMapTable supporting the CategorizationGraph class.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes

isMultiLevel

This indicates that this table or object represents multiple levels of the categorization graph, if true. The default value is “false.”

type: boolean

isItemMap

This indicates that this is a grouping of items to categories, if true. The default value is “false.”

type: Boolean

12.3.22 CategoryMapObject

This is the object representation of the taxonomy. Each object references a set of CategoryMapObjectEntries.

Superclasses
ModelElement

CategoryMap

Contained Elements
CategoryMapObjectEntry
References

entry

This represents a set of object entries in the CategoryMap.

class: CategoryMapObjectEntry
defined by: CategoryMapObjectHasEntry
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: CategoryMapObjectEntry::mapObject

12.3.23 CategoryMapObjectEntry

Object representation of an edge in the taxonomy graph. This is analogous to a record in the CategoryMapTable. Each entry consists of child, parent, level and graphId attributes. If isItemMap is true, then the child attribute corresponds to item values.

Superclasses

ModelElement

Attributes

graphId

The graphId attribute identifies the graph to which this entry belongs and enables representing multiple categorization graphs in the same table.

type: Any
multiplicity: exactly one

References

child

This represents the child Category being pointed to by this graph entry.

class: Category
defined by: MapEntryRefChildCategory:child
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: Category::entry
12.3.24 CategoryMapTable

Tabular representation of a taxonomy graph. A taxonomy graph consists of zero or more tables. Each table can store the portion of the graph corresponding to a single level or multiple levels. The table has three attributes: Child, parent, and graphid.

**Superclasses**
- ModelElement
- CategoryMap

**References**

**childAttribute**

This represents the child attribute in the graph to appear in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>MapTableRefChildAttribute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Attribute::mapTable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**parentAttribute**

This represents the parent attribute in the graph to appear in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by:</td>
<td>MapTableRefParentAttribute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse:</td>
<td>Attribute::mapTable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12.3.25 CategoryMatrix

A CategoryMatrix assigns numeric values to pairs of categories. It is either represented as a set of CategoryMatrixEntry objects or as a table.

Superclasses

ModelElement

Attributes

diagonalDefault

If a matrix cell in the diagonal is not specified, then this value is used. The default value is 1.0.

type: double

offDiagonalDefault

If a matrix cell not in the diagonal is not specified, then this value is used. The default value is 0.

type: double

12.3.26 CategoryMatrixEntry

This object defines the value of a single cell in a CategoryMatrix.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes

value

The value of a cell. It overwrites any default value in CategoryMatrix. For cost matrix, value is intended to be a double. For confusion matrix, the value can be either a “count” which is an integer value, or a “percentage,” which is a double value. This is left up to the implementation.

type: double
multiplicity: exactly one
12.3.27 CategoryMatrixObject

The object representation of CategoryMatrix. Each object references a set of CategoryMatrixEntry objects.

References

**rowIndex**

This points to the row of the cell.

- **class:** Category
- **defined by:** MatrixEntryRefRowValue
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Category::categoryEntry

**columnIndex**

This points to the column of the cell.

- **class:** Category
- **defined by:** MatrixEntryRefColumnValue
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Category::categoryEntry

**entry**

This represents a set of object entries in the CategoryMatrix.

- **class:** CategoryMatrixEntry
- **defined by:** MatrixObjectHasEntry
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** CategoryMatrixEntry::categoryMatrix
- **aggregation:** Composite
12.3.28 CategoryMatrixTable

This is a table representation of CategoryMatrix that contains three columns holding the definition of a category matrix. A category matrix consists of exactly one table. The table has three attributes: The row, column, and value of the entry.

**Superclasses**

CategoryMatrix

**Attributes**

**rowAttribute**

This represents the column in the table holding the rows of entries.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** MatrixTableRefRowAttr
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::matrixTable

**columnAttribute**

This represents the column in the table holding the columns of entries.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** MatrixTableRefColumnAttr
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::matrixTable

**valueAttribute**

This represents the column in the table holding the values of the entries.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** MatrixTableRefValueAttr
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::matrixTable
12.3.29 CategoryTaxonomy

A CategoryTaxonomy supports the specification of taxonomy or category hierarchy as required by data mining in the form of a directed acyclic graph. It enables two representations: 1) Explicit specification of the graph through the referenced node class, and 2) referencing a table with specific attributes (columns) that store the data in tabular form.

A CategoryTaxonomy can contain multiple "root" nodes, in a sense being a single representation for several possibly strict hierarchies.

Superclasses

ModelElement

Contained Elements

CategoryMap

References

categoryMap

This references to the CategoryMap which can be either an object or table representation of the CategoryTaxonomy.

class: CategoryMap
defined by: TaxonomyHasCategoryMap
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: CategoryMap::taxonomy
aggregation: Composite

source

This represents the metadata description of the table where the category matrix is stored.

class: Class
defined by: MatrixTableRefSource
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: Class::matrixTable
rootCategory

A CategoryTaxonomy can have multiple roots. The root nodes references the corresponding Category objects.

class: Category
defined by: TaxonomyRefRootCategory
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: Category::taxonomy

12.3.30 ClassificationAttributeUsage

As a subclass of AttributeUsage, ClassificationAttributeUsage provides additional specification for categorical attributes only, in particular, a set of the positive attributes.

Superclasses
AttributeUsage

Contained Elements
PriorProbabilities

References

positiveCategory

This represents a list of categories to be treated as “positive” values for model building or usage.

class: Category
defined by: ClassificationAttrUsageRefCategory
multiplicity: one or more
inverse: Category::usage

priors

This represents the prior probabilities for the target values.

class: PriorProbabilities
defined by: ClassificationAttrUsageHasPriors
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: PriorProbabilities::usage
aggregation: Composite
12.3.31 ClassificationFunctionSettings

A ClassificationFunctionSettings object is a subclass of SupervisedFunctionSettings that supports features unique to the classification mining function and corresponding algorithms, specifically CostMatrix. The CostMatrix must be associated with the target LogicalAttribute.

Superclasses

SupervisedFunctionSettings

References

costMatrix

The optional CostMatrix attribute specifies a two-dimensional, NxN matrix that defines the cost associated with a prediction versus the actual value. A cost matrix is typically used in classification models, where N is the number of classes in the target, and the columns and rows are labeled with class values.

class: CategoryMatrix
defined by: ClassificationSettingsRefCostMatrix
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: CategoryMatrix::settings

12.3.32 ClassificationTestResult

This represents the result of a test task applied to a classification model.

Superclasses

MiningTestResult

Attributes

accuracy

This represents the absolute number or the percentage (between 0 and 100) of correct predictions on the test data applied to a classification model.

type: double
multiplicity: exactly one
12.3.33 ClassificationTestTask

This represents a task to check the quality of a classification model. An overall accuracy and a ‘class by class’ confusion matrix can be computed.

Superclasses
MiningTestTask

Contained Elements
ClassificationTestResult

References
testResult

This references to a matrix holding the absolute numbers of wrong predictions. A cell entry c(A,B)=n indicates that n test records had class label A in the target field, but class B was predicted by the model.

class: ClassificationTestResult
defined by: TaskHasClassificationTestResult
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: ClassificationTestResult::testTask
aggregation: Composite

12.3.34 ClusteringAttributeUsage

A ClusteringAttributeUsage is a subclass of AttributeUsage to support attribute usages that are specific to clustering algorithms.
Superclasses

AttributeUsage

Attributes

attributeComparisonFunction

When two records are compared then either the distance of similarity is of interest. In both cases, the measures can be computed by a combination of ‘inner’ function and an ‘outer’ function. The inner function compares two single field values and the outer function computes an aggregation over all fields.

type: AttributeComparisonFunction (absDiff | gaussSim | delta | equal | table)
multiplicity: exactly one

similarityScale

If the attributeComparisonFunction admits a value, then similarityScale is that value. Only valid for numerical attributes. Null otherwise.

type: Double
multiplicity: exactly one

References

comparisonMatrix

The ComparisonMatrix attribute specifies a matrix used by a clustering algorithm. There are several kinds of matrices used within clustering models; for example, to describe covariances and similarities.

class: CategoryMatrix
defined by: ClusteringAttrUsageRefComparisonMatrix
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: CategoryMatrix::attributeUsage

12.3.35 ClusteringFunctionSettings

A ClusteringFunctionSettings object is a subclass of MiningFunctionSettings that supports features unique to clustering algorithms, such as self-organizing map and k-means.

Superclasses

MiningFunctionSettings
Attributes

**maxNumberOfClusters**

This attribute specifies the maximum number of clusters the clustering algorithm should generate.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**minClusterSize**

This attribute specifies the minimum number of records (cases) that must be present in a cluster to establish a cluster. The default value is 1.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**aggregationFunction**

This specifies the type of the aggregation function.

- **type:** AggregationFunction (euclidian | squaredEuclidian | chebychev | cityBlock | minkovski | simpleMatching | jaccard | tanimoto | binarySimilarity)
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

12.3.36 **DirectAttributeAssignment**

This object maps a pair of attributes from two different sources, for example, a table column and a LogicalAttribute, which is an internal representation of the column to be used in a mining operation.

Superclasses

AttributeAssignment

References

**attribute**

This points to a physical attribute being assigned to a logical attribute.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** DirectAssignmentRefAttribute
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::directAttrAssignment
12.3.37 FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings

This is a subclass of MiningFunctionSettings that specifies the parameters specific to frequent itemset algorithms.

**Superclasses**

MiningFunctionSettings

**Attributes**

- **minimumSupport**
  
  This specifies the minimum support of each frequent itemset to be found.
  
  - **type:** Double
  - **multiplicity:** exactly one

- **maximumsetSize**
  
  This specifies the maximum number of items to be included in any frequent itemset to be found.
  
  - **type:** Integer
  - **multiplicity:** exactly one

**References**

- **exclusion**
  
  This represents a set of items to be excluded from consideration during the execution of frequent itemset algorithm.
  
  - **class:** Category
  - **defined by:** SettingsRefExcludedCategories
  - **multiplicity:** zero or more
  - **inverse:** Category::settings

12.3.38 LiftAnalysis

This represents the result of lift computation applied to a supervised model.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Contained Elements**

- LiftAnalysisPoint
Attributes

**targetAttributeName**

This represents the name of the target attribute.

*type:* String

*multiplicity:* exactly one

References

**point**

This represents a set of quantiles for which the lift values are computed.

*class:* LiftAnalysisPoint

*defined by:* ListHasAnalysisPoint

*multiplicity:* one or more

*inverse:* LiftAnalysisPoint::liftAnalysis

*aggregation:* composite

**positiveTargetCategory**

This represents a set of positive target values for which this lift result is computed.

*class:* Category

*defined by:* LiftRefPositiveTargetCategory

*multiplicity:* one or more

*inverse:* Category::liftAnalysis

12.3.39 **LiftAnalysisPoint**

This represents the lift result for a quantile of the input data specified in this object.

Superclasses

ModelElement
Attributes

subsetOfRecords

The number of records for which this lift (sum of target predictions or actual target values) is specifying.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

aggregateTarget

The lift (i.e., the sum of actual positive targets for classification or the sum of the actual values for approximation) for the specified subset of records.

- **type:** double
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

12.3.40 LogicalAttribute

A LogicalAttribute object is a logical concept that describes a domain of data to be used as input to data mining operations. Logical mining attributes are typically either categorical, ordinal, or numerical. As such, a mining attribute references additional metadata that characterizes the attribute as either categorical (e.g., a list of the categories) or numerical (e.g., the bounds of the data).

Superclasses

MiningAttribute

Contained Elements

- CategoricalAttributeProperties
- NumericalAttributeProperties

Attributes

isSetValued

This indicates that the values of the attribute being specified here are sets, if true. The default is “false.”

- **type:** boolean
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
12.3.41 LogicalData

A LogicalData object contains the set of LogicalAttributes that describe the logical nature of the data used as input to data mining. The LogicalAttributes within a LogicalData object are uniquely named.

Superclasses

Class

Contained Elements

LogicalAttribute

12.3.42 MiningAlgorithmSettings

A mining algorithm settings object captures the parameters associated with a particular algorithm. It allows a knowledgeable user to fine tune algorithm parameters. Generally, not all parameters must be specified, however, those specified are taken into account by the underlying data mining system.
Separating mining algorithm from mining function provides a natural and convenient separation for those users experienced with data mining algorithms and those only familiar with mining functions.

**Superclasses**
ModelElement

**Attributes**
None

**References**
None

### 12.3.43 MiningApplyOutput

This describes the output Specification for a MiningApplyTask.

It contains a set of attributes (represented as ApplyOutputItem objects) holding the output information. These attributes can hold the score or other computed information, or else be copied from input columns for reference.

**Superclasses**
MiningTask

**Attributes**
None

**References**

**item**

This represents a set of ApplyContentItem objects contained in this specification of apply output.

- **type:** ApplyOutputItem
- **defined by:** ApplyOutputHasContentItems
- **multiplicity:** one or more; ordered
- **inverse:** ApplyOutputItem::applyOutput
- **aggregation:** composite
12.3.44 MiningApplyTask

This describes a task that computes the result of an application of a data mining model to (new) data.

Superclasses

MiningTask

Attributes

outputOption

This specifies how the apply output is created. The default is “createNew,” which means the output is created as a new file/table.

type: ApplyOutputOption (appendToExisting | createNew)
multiplicity: exactly one

References

applyOutput

This contains the specification of the apply output as the result of MiningApplyTask.

class: MiningApplyOutput
defined by: ApplyTaskRefOutputSpec
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: MiningApplyTask::applyTask

outputAssignment

This maps the apply output items to the destination attributes.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet
defined by: ApplyTaskRefOutputAssignment
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: AttributeAssignmentSet::applyTask

12.3.45 MiningAttribute

Abstract

This is an abstract class that describes the generic attribute to be used in mining operations.

Superclasses

Attribute
12.3.46 MiningBuildTask

This describes a task that builds a mining model, sometimes also called training task.

Superclasses

MiningTask

Attributes

None

References

miningSettings

This specifies the logical data specification and specific parameters for the mining task.

class: MiningFunctionSettings
defined by: BuildTaskRefSettings
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: MiningFunctionSettings::buildTask

Attributes

displayName

The optional displayName of an attribute indicates a name that an application may use as a substitute for the actual MiningAttribute name, which may be cryptic.

type: String
multiplicity: exactly one

attributeType

The attribute type indicates if the attribute is categorical, ordinal, numerical, or not specified. If either categoricalProperties or numericalProperties are specified, a constraint exists to ensure the attributeType value is consistent with these attributes. This attribute allows a MiningAttribute to be identified with a particular type even if no additional properties are specified. If ordinal, then the OrdinalAttributeProperties must be specified to indicate the ordering of the categories.

type: AttributeType (categorical | numerical | ordinal | notSpecified)
multiplicity: exactly one
resultModel

This is a description (metadata) of the mining model generated by the task.

class: MiningModel

defined by: BuildTaskProducesModel

multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: MiningModel::buildTask

settingsAssignment

This maps the source attributes to the mining attributes contained in the settings.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet

defined by: BuildTaskRefInputSettings

multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: AttributeAssignmentSet::buildTask

settingsValidationAssignment

This maps the source attributes of the validation data to the mining attributes contained in the settings.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet

defined by: BuildTaskRefValidationAssignment

multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: AttributeAssignmentSet::buildTask

validationData

This specifies an optional data set to be used for validation when the model is built.

class: PhysicalData

defined by: BuildTaskRefValidationData

multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: PhysicalData::buildTask

12.3.47 MiningFunctionSettings

A MiningFunctionSettings object captures the high level specification input for building a data mining model. The intent of mining function settings is to allow a user to specify the type of the desired result without having to specify a particular algorithm.

Although mining function settings allow for the specification of algorithm, if this is omitted, the underlying data mining system is responsible for selecting the algorithm based on basic user-provided parameters.
Subclasses throw exceptions if invalid algorithm-function pairs are supplied.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Contained Elements**

AttributeUsageSet

**Attributes**

**desiredExecutionTimeInMinutes**

This attribute indicates the maximum execution time (in minutes) allowed for model building. If NULL, the algorithm determines for how long the model will build. This is to serve as a hint to the algorithm to adjust model building to meet time constraint. Vendor implementations may support this to varying degrees, e.g., terminate model build if exceeds this limit, intelligently adjust algorithm parameters to meet time constraints, or dynamically distribute or parallelize the operation.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**References**

**algorithmSettings**

The optional algorithm settings attribute provides information on the algorithm to be used as well as specific values for the parameters used by the algorithm.

- **class:** MiningAlgorithmSettings
- **defined by:** SettingsRefAlgorithmSettings
- **multiplicity:** zero or one
- **inverse:** MiningAlgorithmSettings::settings

**attributeUsageSet**

This specifies how each attribute as input should be treated by the algorithm. The LogicalAttribute referenced by AttributeUsage objects must be those in the LogicalData objects used in the same settings.

- **class:** AttributeUsageSet
- **defined by:** SettingsHasAttributeUsageSet
- **multiplicity:** zero or one
- **inverse:** AttributeUsageSet::settings
12.3.48 MiningModel

A MiningModel holds the metadata of the result of a mining (training) task. This information is sufficient to determine whether a model can be applied to a given data.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Contained Elements
ModelSignature

Attributes

function

Data mining function (as opposed to algorithm); for example, classification or clustering, attributeImportance, associationRules, classification, approximation, clustering

```
type:          MiningFunction (attributeImportance | associationRules | classification | approximation | clustering)
multiplicity:  exactly one
```

algorithmName

Specific implementation of the data mining function (e.g., CART decision tree or SOM clustering). The following algorithm names are predefined (their functions in parentheses):
- decisionTree (classification, approximation)
- neuralNetwork (classification, approximation)
- naiveBayes (classification)
- selfOrganizingMap (clustering)
- kMeans (clustering)
- competitiveLearning

```
type:          String
multiplicity:  exactly one
```
**keyValue**

This optionally represents the key value when the model is to be located.

- **type:** Any
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**References**

**settings**

The settings that were used to generate the model.

- **class:** MiningFunctionSettings
- **defined by:** ModelRefSettings
- **multiplicity:** zero or one
- **inverse:** MiningFunctionSettings::model

**modelSignature**

The set of attributes (SignatureAttributes) that were used to build the model.

- **class:** ModelSignature
- **defined by:** ModelHasSignature
- **multiplicity:** zero or one
- **inverse:** ModelSignature::model
- **aggregation:** composite

**modelLocation**

This optionally provides a way to locate the model in the metadata repository.

- **class:** Class
- **defined by:** MiningModelRefLocation
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Class::model

**keyAttribute**

This optionally identifies the key attribute when the model is located via modelLocation.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** ModelRefKeyAttribute
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::model
12.3.49 MiningResult

A MiningResult holds the metadata of the result of a mining run other than training that results in a model.

It includes apply, test, and compute lift operations.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes
None

12.3.50 MiningTask

This is an abstract class that describes an executable data mining task operating on data.

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes
None

References

inputModel

A description (metadata) of the mining model used (not generated) by the task. For example, this model could be refined by the task. The usage of this model is defined by the task using it.

class: MiningModel
defined by: TaskRefInputModel
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: MiningModel::miningTask
inputData

A description (metadata) of the physical data used as input for the task.

class: PhysicalData
defined by: TaskRefPhysicalData
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: PhysicalData::miningTask

modelAssignment

A mapping between the attributes of the inputData and those of the inputModel.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet
defined by: TaskRefAttrAssignmentSet
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: AttributeAssignmentSet::miningTask

12.3.51 MiningTestResult

This represents the result of a test task applied to a supervised model.

Superclasses

MiningResult

Contained Elements

MiningLiftResult

Attributes

numberOfTestRecords

This represents the number of records applied to the test task.

type: int
multiplicity: exactly one
References

liftAnalysis

This represents the lift result if the test task is specified to perform lift computation as part of the task.

class: LiftAnalysis
derived from: TestHasLiftAnalysis
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: LiftAnalysis::testResult
aggregation: composite

12.3.52 MiningTestTask

This represents a mining task that is used to check some aspect of the quality of a classification or approximation model.

Superclasses

MiningTask

Attributes

computeLift

This indicates to perform lift computation as part of test task, if true. The default is false.

type: boolean
multiplicity: exactly one

References

positiveTargetCategory

Positive category for which the lift computation is performed. Not applicable for approximation model lift computation.

class: Category
defined by: TestTaskHasPositiveTarget
multiplicity: one or more
inverse: Category::testTask

12.3.53 MiningTransformation

This defines a mining task as a form of transformation.
12.3.54 ModelSignature

The model signature is a description of the input that captures the signature of the input mining data and can be used to apply a data mining model.

Superclasses

Class

Contained Elements

SignatureAttribute

Attributes

None

References

None

12.3.55 NumericalAttributeProperties

A NumericalAttributeProperties object is used to describe properties of the numerical attribute.

This metadata may or may not be used by the underlying algorithm. It may be leveraged to determine if data being supplied as input to a mining operation is sufficiently similar to the data used to build the model.
**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

**lowerBound**

This indicates the lower bound (the smallest) of the values in the attribute.

type: double

**upperBound**

This indicates the upper bound (the largest) of the values in the attribute.

type: double

**isDiscrete**

This indicates whether the values are discrete. The default is “false.”

type: boolean

**isCyclic**

This indicates whether the values of the attributes are cyclic (i.e., the next value of the ending value is the starting value). The default is “false.”

type: boolean

**anchor**

This specifies the value of the anchor.

type: double

**cycleBegin**

This specifies the starting value of the cycle.

type: double
cycleEnd

This specifies the ending value of the cycle.

type: double
multiplicity: exactly one

discreteStepSize

This specifies the interval value between two adjacent discrete values when the attribute is discrete.

type: double
multiplicity: exactly one

12.3.56 OrdinalAttributeProperties

An OrdinalAttributeProperties object is used to describe properties of the ordinal attribute. Ordinal attributes can use the “ordered” constraint on the MiningCategory class to use the “asIs” OrderType. The “asIs” allows the list ordering to imply a “less than” relationship between categories N and N+1. In addition, ordinals may be cyclic (e.g., days of the week).

Superclasses
CategoricalAttributeProperties

Attributes

orderType

This indicates how the sequences of categories should be interpreted as ordinal (potentially mapped to integers).

type: OrderType (asIs | alphabetic | numeric | date)
multiplicity: exactly one

isCyclic

This indicates whether the values of the attributes are cyclic (i.e., the next value of the ending value is the starting value). The default is “false.”

type: boolean
multiplicity: exactly one
12.3.57 PhysicalData

A PhysicalData object specifies the layout of the physical data to be used for mining, and if appropriate, the roles the various data columns play, via subclassing. The data referenced by a physical data object can be used in many capacities: model building, scoring, lift computation, statistical analysis, etc.

PhysicalData supports specification of any data definable through a Class or set of Attributes (e.g., files, tables, and star schema).

Superclasses
ModelElement

Attributes
None

References

source

The source attribute identifies the specific data to be used for data mining. It typically consists of a Table (from the Relational Package) or a File. Unless a specific subset of attributes is listed, all source attributes are taken as the usable physical data. If source is NULL, the attributes may be associated with one or more Class instances.

class: Class
defined by: PhysicalDataRefSource
multiplicity: exactly one
inverse: Class::physicalData

12.3.58 PivotAttributeAssignment

This object provides a mapping where the input data is in transactional format. Each of the logical attributes occurring in a pivoted table is mapped to three physical columns, presumably the same ones every time. If the data types don't match, the value column may be different in that case.

Superclasses
AttributeAssignment

Attributes
None
References

**setIdAttribute**

This is a source attribute to be used to identify input records.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** PivotRefSetIdAttribute
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::pivotAttrAssignment

**nameAttribute**

This is a source attribute that contains the names of the items in the input data.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** PivotRefNameAttribute
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::pivotAttrAssignment

**valueAttribute**

This is a source attribute that contains the values of the items specified in the name attribute.

- **class:** Attribute
- **defined by:** PivotRefValueAttribute
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** Attribute::pivotAttrAssignment

### 12.3.59 PriorProbabilities

This represents a set of prior probabilities of the categories in a mining attribute. Mostly applies to a target LogicalAttribute used for classification.

The sum of the probabilities in all priorsEntries must not exceed 1.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Contained Elements**

PriorProbabilitiesEntry

**Attributes**

None
12.3.60 PriorProbabilitiesEntry

This represents the probability of a category in the original data (i.e., before performing biases sampling to enrich individual values).

Superclasses
- ModelElement

Attributes

priorProbability

This represents the probability of the targetValue in the original data.

- type: double
- multiplicity: exactly one

References

targetValue

This indicates a target value as a category, for which the probability is provided.

- type: Category
- defined by: PriorRefCategory
- multiplicity: exactly one
- inverse: Category::priorsEntry

12.3.61 ReversePivotAttributeAssignment

This object is used when the input data is in tabular (2-D) form. The sets are represented by enumerating their elements based on the selection functions. For example, if the attribute selection function is “isOne” and the value selection function is “attribute,” then we get:
A B C D E F
1 0 0 1 0 0 = {A, D}
0 0 0 0 0 1 = {F}
0 0 0 0 0 0 = {}

Each of the input attributes (A, B, C, D, E, and F) is a selector attribute in this object. It works best for a small number of members known a priori. In some cases, when the potential number of values is large, but it is also known that the set sizes are all small, e.g., less than 6, then we get the following:

A B C D F
X Y NULL NULL NULL = {X, Y}
Z NULL NULL NULL NULL = {Z}
NULL NULL NULL NULL NULL = {}

In the example above, the attribute selection function is "IsNotNull" and the value selection function is "value".

Constraint: The logicalAttribute must be set valued.

Superclasses

AttributeAssignment

Attributes

attributeSelectionFunction

This describes how the selector attributes are selected based on their values.

type: AttributeSelectionFunction (isNotNull | isNull | isOne | isZero | isTrue | isFalse)
multiplicity: exactly one

valueSelectionFunction

This describes whether the value or the name of a selector attribute to appear in the destination logical attribute when the selector attribute satisfies the specified AttributeSelectionFunction.

type: ValueSelectionFunction (value | attribute)
multiplicity: exactly one
References

selectorAttribute

This represents a non-empty set of attributes to be selected in the destination logical attribute based on the selection functions.

class: Attribute
defined by: ReversePivotRefAttribute
multiplicity: one or more
inverse: Attribute::reversePivotAttrAssignment

12.3.62 Schema

The Schema is a container for all data mining top-level objects.

Superclasses
Package

Contained Elements

LogicalData
CategoryMatrix
AuxiliaryObject
CategoryTaxonomy
MiningFunctionSettings
MiningModel
MiningTask
MiningResult

Attributes
None

References

logicalData

This represents a set of LogicalData objects contained in the schema.

class: LogicalData
defined by: SchemaHasLogicalData
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: LogicalData::schema
aggregation: composite
categoryMatrix

This represents a set of CategoryMatrix objects contained in the schema.

class: CategoryMatrix
defined by: SchemaHasCategoryMatrix
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: CategoryMatrix::schema
aggregation: composite

auxObject

This represents a set of AuxiliaryObject objects contained in the schema.

class: AuxiliaryObject
defined by: SchemaHasAuxObjects
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: AuxiliaryObject::schema
aggregation: composite

taxonomy

This represents a set of CategoryTaxonomy objects contained in the schema.

class: CategoryTaxonomy
defined by: SchemaHasCategoryTaxonomy
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: CategoryTaxonomy::schema
aggregation: composite

miningFunctionSettings

This represents a set of MiningFunctionSettings objects contained in the schema.

class: MiningFunctionSettings
defined by: SchemaHasFunctionSettings
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: MiningFunctionSettings::schema
aggregation: composite
miningModel

This represents a set of MiningModel objects contained in the schema.

class: MiningModel

defined by: SchemaHasMiningModel

multiplicity: zero or more

inverse: MiningModel::schema

aggregation: composite

task

This represents a set of MiningTask objects contained in the schema.

class: MiningTask

defined by: SchemaHasMiningTask

multiplicity: zero or more

inverse: MiningTask::schema

aggregation: composite

result

This represents a set of MiningResult objects contained in the schema.

class: MiningResult

defined by: SchemaHasMiningResult

multiplicity: zero or more

inverse: MiningResult::schema

aggregation: composite

12.3.63 SequenceFunctionSettings

A SequenceFunctionSettings is a subclass of FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings that supports features that are unique to sequence algorithms.

Superclasses

FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings
Attributes

\textit{windowSize}

This specifies the size of the window to be considered when executing sequence algorithm in terms of the number of items.

\textit{type:} \hspace{1cm} Integer

\textit{multiplicity:} \hspace{1cm} exactly one

12.3.64 \textit{SetAttributeAssignment}

Constraint: The \textit{logicalAttribute} must be set valued.

This object provides a mapping between a set-valued logical attribute and a set of attributes in the physical data. \textit{setIdAttribute} is the set identifier of the set being mapped, and \textit{memberAttribute} represents a set of attributes being mapped to the set-valued logical attribute.

\textbf{Superclasses}

\textit{AttributeAssignment}

\textbf{Attributes}

None

\textbf{References}

\textit{setIdAttribute}

This is a source attribute to be used to identify input records.

\textit{class:} \hspace{1cm} \textit{Attribute}

\textit{defined by:} \hspace{1cm} \textit{SetAssignmentRefSetIdAttr}

\textit{multiplicity:} \hspace{1cm} exactly one

\textit{inverse:} \hspace{1cm} \textit{Attribute::setAttrAssignment}

\textit{memberAttribute}

This specifies the member attribute for the set being described.

\textit{class:} \hspace{1cm} \textit{Attribute}

\textit{defined by:} \hspace{1cm} \textit{SetAssignmentRefMemberAttr}

\textit{multiplicity:} \hspace{1cm} exactly one

\textit{inverse:} \hspace{1cm} \textit{Attribute::setAttrAssignment}
12.3.65 SignatureAttribute

A SignatureAttribute object describes the input expected to a model. This is automatically produced as part of the model. It indicates not only the basic Attribute properties, but also how outliers and missing values were handled for model build. This is potentially duplicate information from the MiningFunctionSettings, but must be provided since MiningFunctionSettings are optional.

If an attribute was normalized or discretized automatically by the Data Mining System, the specific details are provided in the SignatureAttribute object. The user is not expected to use this information to preprocess the data in any way. The Data Mining System uses this information to automatically preprocess data, if required.

Superclasses

MiningAttribute

Attributes

usageOption

The usage intended for this attribute. A model signature consists only of “active” and “supplemental” attributes. “Inactive” attributes are filtered out as they do not contribute to the model. Note that “supplemental” attributes do not contribute to model apply.

type: UsageOption (active | supplementary | target)
multiplicity: exactly one

12.3.66 SupervisedFunctionSettings

A SupervisedFunctionSettings is a subclass of MiningFunctionSettings that supports features that are unique and shared by supervised functions (e.g., classification and approximation, as well as algorithms (e.g., decision trees and neural networks).

Superclasses

MiningFunctionSettings

Attributes

None.

12.4 Data Mining Associations

12.4.1 ApplyOutputHasContentItems

MiningApplyOutput owns one or more ApplyOutputItems for the specification of output.
12.4.2 APPLYTASKREFOUTPUTASSIGNMENT

A MiningApplyTask references AttributeAssignmentSet that maps between MiningApplyOutput and output attributes.

Ends

APPLYOUTPUT

MiningApplyOutput owning a non-empty set of ApplyOutputItem objects.

class: MiningApplyOutput

Multiplicity: exactly one

ITEM

ApplyOutputItem owned by an instance of MiningApplyOutput.

class: ApplyOutputItem

Multiplicity: one or more; ordered

12.4.3 APPLYTASKREFOUTPUTSPEC

A MiningApplyTask references the specification of the output to be generated as the result of the task.

Ends

APPLYTASK

The MiningApplyTask references AttributeAssignmentSet for apply output specification.

class: MiningApplyTask

Multiplicity: exactly one

OUTPUTASSIGNMENT

An AttributeAssignmentSet is contained by MiningApplyTask.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet

Multiplicity: exactly one
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end

applyTask

MiningApplyTask referencing MiningApplyOutput for specification of output.

class: MiningApplyTask

multiplicity: zero or more

applyOutput

MiningApplyOutput referenced by MiningApplyTasks.

class: MiningApplyOutput

multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.4 ApproximationTestTaskHasResult

An ApproximationTestTask contains ApproximationTestResult.

end

testTask

The ApproximationTestTask contains a set of ApproximationTestResult.

class: ApproximationTestTask

multiplicity: exactly one

aggregation: composite

testResult

An ApproximationTestResult is contained by ApproximationTestTask.

class: ApproximationTestResult

multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.5 AssignmentRefLogicalAttribute

An AttributeAssignment references a LogicalAttribute that is to be mapped to a set of attributes in physical data.
Ends

attrAssignment

The AttributeAssignment references a LogicalAttribute to be mapped.

class:                AttributeAssignment
multiplicity:        zero or more

logicalAttribute

A LogicalAttribute represents is mapped to physical attributes.

class:                LogicalAttribute
multiplicity:        one or more

12.4.6 AssignmentRefOrderIdAttribute

An AttributeAssignment references a set of Attribute objects that are used to identify certain sequences.

Ends

attrAssignment

The AttributeAssignment reference a set of Attribute for order identifier.

class:                AttributeAssignment
multiplicity:        zero or more

orderIdAttribute

A set of Attribute represents an order identifier for AttributeAssignment.

class:                Attribute
multiplicity:        zero or more; ordered

12.4.7 AssignmentSetHasAssignment

An AttributeAssignmentSet contains a set of AttributeAssignment.
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Ends

set

The AttributeAssignmentSet contains a set of AttributeAssignment.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

assignment

A set of AttributeAssignment is contained by AttributeAssignmentSet.

class: Attribute
multiplicity: one or more

12.4.8 AuxObjectHasAttrAssignmentSet

An AuxiliaryObject contains a set of AttributeAssignmentSet.

Ends

auxiliaryObject

The AuxiliaryObject contains a set of AttributeAssignmentSet.

class: AuxiliaryObject
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

attributeAssignmentSet

A set of AttributeAssignmentSet is contained in AuxiliaryObject.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.9 BuildTaskProducesModel

A MiningBuildTask produces a MiningModel.
Ends

buildTask

The MiningBuildTask references MiningModel as the result of operation.

class: MiningBuildTask
multiplicity: zero or more

resultModel

A MiningModel is referenced by MiningBuildTask.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet
multiplicity: zero or one

12.4.10 BuildTaskRefInputAssignment

A MiningBuildTask references a AttributeAssignmentSet that maps the input data to the logical attributes defined in the input mining function settings.

Ends

buildTask

The MiningBuildTask references AttributeAssignmentSet that maps the input data to the logical attributes.

class: MiningBuildTask
multiplicity: zero or one

settingsAssignment

A AttributeAssignmentSet is referenced by MiningBuildTask for the input mapping.

class: AttributeAssignmentSet
multiplicity: zero or one

12.4.11 BuildTaskRefSettings

A MiningBuildTask references a MiningFunctionSettings to build a MiningModel.
The MiningBuildTask references MiningFunctionSettings to build a MiningModel.

Ends

**buildTask**

The MiningBuildTask references MiningFunctionSettings to build a MiningModel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>MiningBuildTask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**miningSettings**

A MiningFunctionSettings is referenced by MiningBuildTask.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>MiningFunctionSettings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12.4.12 BuildTaskRefValidationAssignment

A MiningBuildTask references an AttributeAssignmentSet that maps the validation data to the logical attributes defined in the input mining function settings.

Ends

**buildTask**

The MiningBuildTask references AttributeAssignmentSet that maps the validation data to the logical attributes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>MiningBuildTask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**validationAssignment**

An AttributeAssignmentSet is referenced by MiningBuildTask for mapping of the validation data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class:</th>
<th>AttributeAssignmentSet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity:</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12.4.13 BuildTaskRefValidationData

A MiningBuildTask references a PhysicalData to validate the MiningModel built as the result of build task.
Ends

buildTask

The MiningBuildTask references PhysicalData to validate a built MiningModel.

class: MiningBuildTask
multiplicity: zero or more

validationData

A PhysicalData is referenced by MiningBuildTask.

class: PhysicalData
multiplicity: zero or one

12.4.14 CatalogHasSchema

A Catalog may own a set of Schemas.

Ends

catalog

The Catalog owning Schemas.

class: Catalog
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

schema

Schemas owned by a Catalog.

class: Schema
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.15 CategoricalPropertiesHasCategories

A CategoricalAttributeProperties may own a set of Category objects as the values in the attributes.
12.4.16 CategoricalPropertiesRefTaxonomy

A CategoricalProperties references CategoryTaxonomy that represents the internal hierarchy of the data being specified.

Ends

categoricalProperties

The CategoricalProperties references CategoryTaxonomy for internal hierarchy of the data.

class: CategoricalProperties
multiplicity: zero or one

12.4.17 CategoryMapObjectHasEntry

A CategoryMapObject may have a set of CategoryMapObjectEntry objects.
mapObject

The CategoryMapObject owning CategoryMapObjectEntry objects.

class: CategoryMapObject
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

entry

CategoryMapObjectEntry owned by a CategoryMapObject.

class: CategoryMapObjectEntry
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.18 CategoryMapRefClass

A CategoryMapTable references Class where it is persisted.

mapTable

The CategoryMapTable references a Class for its persistence.

class: CategoryMapTable
multiplicity: zero or more

table

The Class represents a CategoryMatrixTable.

class: Class
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.19 ClassificationAttrUsageHasPriors

A ClassificationAttributeUsage may reference a PriorProbabilities for prior values.
12.4.20 ClassificationAttrUsageRefCategory

A ClassificationAttributeUsage references a non-empty set of Category objects to be treated as positive values.

12.4.21 ClassificationSettingsRefCostMatrix

A ClassificationFunctionSettings references CategoryMatrix that represents the cost matrix of the classifier model to be built.
12.4.22 **ClassificationTestTaskHasResult**

A ClassificationTestTask contains ClassificationTestResult.

**Ends**

*attributeUsage*

The ClassificationFunctionSettings references a CategoryMatrix as cost matrix.

- **class:** ClassificationFunctionSettings
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

*comparisonMatrix*

A CategoryMatrix is referenced by ClassificationFunctionSettings for cost matrix.

- **class:** CategoryMatrix
- **multiplicity:** zero or one

12.4.23 **ClusteringAttrUsageRefComparisonMatrix**

A ClusteringAttributeUsage references CategoryMatrix that is used as comparison matrix for clustering operation.

A ClassificationTestTask contains a set of ClassificationTestResult.

- **class:** ClassificationTestTask
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **aggregation:** composite

A ClassificationTestResult is contained by ClassificationTestTask.

- **class:** ClassificationTestResult
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
12.4.24 DirectAssignmentRefAttribute

DirectAttributeAssignment maps an Attribute to a LogicalAttribute.

Ends

directAttributeAssignment

The DirectAttributeAssignment objects referencing an Attribute.

class: DirectAttributeAssignment
multiplicity: zero or more

attribute

The Attribute mapped by DirectAttributeAssignment objects to a LogicalAttribute.

class: Attribute
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.25 LiftHasAnalysisPoint

A LiftAnalysis contains a non-empty set of LiftAnalysisPoint.
12.4.26 LiftRefPositiveTargetCategory

A LiftAnalysis references a non-empty set of Category objects that represent its positive target values.

Ends

liftAnalysis

The LiftAnalysis contains a non-empty set of LiftAnalysisPoint.

class: LiftAnalysis
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

point

A LiftAnalysisPoint is contained in LiftAnalysis.

class: LiftAnalysisPoint
multiplicity: one or more

12.4.27 LogicalAttrHasCategoricalProperties

A LogicalAttribute may contain CategoricalAttributeProperties that describes the attribute as a categorical.
12.4.28 LogicalAttrHasNumericalProperties

A LogicalAttribute may contain NumericalAttributeProperties that describes the attribute as a numerical.

Ends

logicalAttribute

The LogicalAttribute owning NumericalAttributeProperties.

class: LogicalAttribute

multiplicity: exactly one

aggregation: composite

12.4.29 MapEntryRefChildCategory

A CategoryMapObjectEntry references a set of Category objects that represent the children of the category map entry.
12.4.30 MapEntryRefParentCategory

A CategoryMapObjectEntry references Category that represents the parent of the category map entry.

Ends

entry

The CategoryMapObjectEntry references a Category.

class: CategoryMapObjectEntry
multiplicity: zero or more

child

A Category is referenced by CategoryMapObjectEntry for its child category.

class: Category
multiplicity: one or more

12.4.31 MapTableRefChildAttribute

A CategoryMapTable references Attribute for the child column of the table representation of the CategoryMap.
Ends

map

The CategoryMapTable references an Attribute.

\textit{class:} CategoryMapTable

\textit{multiplicity:} zero or more

childAttribute

An Attribute is referenced by CategoryMapTable for its child column.

\textit{class:} Attribute

\textit{multiplicity:} exactly one

12.4.32 MapTableRefGraphIdAttribute

A CategoryMapTable references Attribute for the graph identifier column of the table representation of the CategoryMap.

Ends

map

The CategoryMapTable references an Attribute.

\textit{class:} CategoryMapTable

\textit{multiplicity:} zero or more

graphIdAttribute

An Attribute is referenced by CategoryMapTable for its graph identifier column.

\textit{class:} Attribute

\textit{multiplicity:} zero or one

12.4.33 MapTableRefParentAttribute

A CategoryMapTable references Attribute for the parent column of the table representation of the CategoryMap.
map

The CategoryMapTable references an Attribute.

- **class:** CategoryMapTable
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

parentAttribute

An Attribute is referenced by CategoryMapTable for its parent column.

- **class:** Attribute
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

12.4.34 MatrixEntryRefColumnIndex

A CategoryMatrixEntry references a Category as column index of the entry.

Ends

categoryEntry

The CategoryMatrixEntry references a Category for its column index.

- **class:** CategoryMatrixEntry
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

columnIndex

A Category is referenced by CategoryMatrixEntry as its column index.

- **class:** Category
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

12.4.35 MatrixEntryRefRowIndex

A CategoryMatrixEntry references a Category as column index of the entry.

Ends

categoryEntry

The CategoryMatrixEntry references a Category for its column index.

- **class:** CategoryMatrixObject
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
12.4.36 MatrixObjectHasEntry

A CategoryMatrixObject references a set of CategoryMatrixEntry objects for its entries.

Ends

categoryMatrix

The CategoryMatrixObject references a set of CategoryMatrixEntry.

class: CategoryMatrixObject
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

entry

A CategoryMatrixEntry is referenced by CategoryMatrixObject as its entry.

class: CategoryMatrixEntry
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.37 MatrixRefIndexLabels

A CategoryMatrix references a set of Category as index labels of the matrix.

Ends

categoryMatrix

The CategoryMatrix references a non-empty set of Category for matrix index labels.

class: CategoryMatrix
multiplicity: zero or more

category

A non-empty set of Category is referenced by CategoryMatrix as its index labels.

class: Category
multiplicity: two or more
12.4.38 MatrixTableRefColumnAttr

A CategoryMatrixTable references Attribute for the column attribute of the table representation of the CategoryMatrix.

Ends

matrixTable

The CategoryMatrixTable references an Attribute for column.

class: CategoryMapTable
multiplicity: zero or more

columnAttribute

An Attribute is referenced by CategoryMapTable for its column attribute.

class: Attribute
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.39 MatrixTableRefRowAttr

A CategoryMatrixTable references Attribute for the row attribute of the table representation of the CategoryMatrix.

Ends

matrixTable

The CategoryMatrixTable references an Attribute for row.

class: CategoryMapTable
multiplicity: zero or more

rowAttribute

An Attribute is referenced by CategoryMapTable for its row attribute.

class: Attribute
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.40 MatrixTableRefSource

A CategoryMatrixTable references a table that is represented as Class and contains the matrix.
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Ends

matrixTable

The CategoryMatrixTable references a Class that contains the table.

class: CategoryMapTable
multiplicity: zero or more

source

A Class is referenced by CategoryMapTable for its content.

class: Class
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.41 MatrixTableRefValueAttr

A CategoryMatrixTable references Attribute for the value entry attribute of the table representation of the CategoryMatrix.

Ends

matrixTable

The CategoryMatrixTable references an Attribute for value entry.

class: CategoryMapTable
multiplicity: zero or more

valueAttribute

An Attribute is referenced by CategoryMapTable for its value entry attribute.

class: Attribute
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.42 ModelHasSignature

A MiningModel contains ModelSignature to preserve the usage of the mining attributes used for the model.
12.4.43 ModelRefKeyAttribute

MiningModel references an Attribute that is to be used as the key when locating the model.

Ends

model

The MiningModel contains ModelSignature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>MiningModel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

modelSignature

A ModelSignature is contained by MiningModel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>ModelSignature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.4.44 ModelRefLocation

MiningModel references a Class as its location.

Ends

model

The MiningModel has a key attribute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>MiningModel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

keyAttribute

An Attribute is used as the key when locating a MiningModel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ends

model

The MiningModel references a Class for its location.

class: MiningModel
multiplicity: zero or more

modelLocation

A Class is used to locate a MiningModel.

class: Class
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.45 ModelRefSettings

MiningModel may reference a MiningFunctionSettings by which the model was built.

Ends

settings

The MiningFunctionSettings referenced by MiningModel.

class: MiningFunctionSettings
multiplicity: zero or one

model

The MiningModels referencing a MiningFunctionSettings object.

class: MiningModel
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.46 PhysicalDataRefSource

A PhysicalData references a source data of type Class.

Ends

physicalData

The PhysicalData referencing Class.

class: PhysicalData
multiplicity: zero or more
source

The Class referenced by PhysicalData

\textit{class:} Class
\textit{multiplicity:} exactly one

12.4.47 \textit{PivotRefNameAttribute}

A PivotAttributeAssignment references to the name attribute of the input transactional data.

Ends

\textit{pivotAttrAssignment}

The PivotAttributeAssignment references Attribute for the name attribute.

\textit{class:} PivotAttributeAssignment
\textit{multiplicity:} zero or more

\textit{nameAttribute}

The Attribute that represents the name attribute of the transactional input data is referenced by PivotAttributeAssignment.

\textit{class:} Attribute
\textit{multiplicity:} exactly one

12.4.48 \textit{PivotRefSetIdAttribute}

A PivotAttributeAssignment references to the set identifier attribute of the input transactional data.

Ends

\textit{pivotAttrAssignment}

The PivotAttributeAssignment references Attribute for the set identifier attribute.

\textit{class:} PivotAttributeAssignment
\textit{multiplicity:} zero or more
12.4.49 **PivotRefValueAttribute**

A PivotAttributeAssignment references to the value attribute of the input transactional data.

---

12.4.50 **PriorProbabilitiesHasEntries**

A PriorProbabilities references to a set of PriorProbabilitiesEntry objects that represents prior of each target value.

---
prior

A PriorProbabilitiesEntry is referenced by a PriorProbabilities.

class: PriorProbabilitiesEntry

multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.51 PriorRefCategory

A PriorProbabilitiesEntry references to Category that represents a target value.

Ends

priorsEntry

The PriorProbabilitiesEntry references Category that represents a target value.

class: PriorProbabilitiesEntry

multiplicity: zero or more

targetValue

A Category represents a target value.

class: Category

multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.52 ReversePivotRefAttribute

A ReversePivotAttributeAssignment references to the input attributes whose values are to be chosen based on the selection functions.

Ends

reversePivotAttrAssignment

The ReversePivotAttributeAssignment references Attribute for the input attributes.

class: ReversePivotAttributeAssignment

multiplicity: zero or more

selectorAttribute

A set of Attribute whose values are chosen based on the specified selection functions.

class: Attribute

multiplicity: one or more
12.4.53 **SchemaHasAuxObjects**

A Schema contains AuxiliaryObject that contains a set of AttributeAssignmentSet.

*Ends*

**schema**

A Schema contains AuxiliaryObject.

*class:* Schema
*multiplicity:* exactly one
*aggregation:* composite

**auxObjects**

The AuxiliaryObject is contained by Schema.

*class:* AuxiliaryObject
*multiplicity:* zero or one

12.4.54 **SchemaHasCategoryMatrix**

A Schema contains a set of CategoryMatrix.

*Ends*

**schema**

The Schema contains a set of CategoryMatrix.

*class:* Schema
*multiplicity:* exactly one
*aggregation:* composite

**categoryMatrix**

A set of CategoryMatrix is contained in Schema.

*class:* CategoryMatrix
*multiplicity:* zero or more

12.4.55 **SchemaHasCategoryTaxonomy**

A Schema contains a set of CategoryTaxonomy.
Ends

**schema**

The Schema contains a set of CategoryTaxonomy.

- **class:** Schema
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **aggregation:** composite

**taxonomy**

A set of CategoryTaxonomy is contained in Schema.

- **class:** CategoryTaxonomy
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

### 12.4.56 SchemaHasLogicalData

A Schema contains a set of LogicalData.

Ends

**schema**

The Schema contains a set of LogicalData.

- **class:** Schema
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **aggregation:** composite

**logicalData**

A set of LogicalData is contained in Schema.

- **class:** LogicalData
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

### 12.4.57 SchemaHasFunctionSettings

A Schema contains a set of MiningFunctionSettings.
Ends

schema

The Schema contains a set of MiningFunctionSettings.

class: Schema
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

miningFunctionSettings

A set of MiningFunctionSettings is contained in Schema.

class: MiningFunctionSettings
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.58 SchemaHasMiningModel

A Schema contains a set of MiningModel.

Ends

schema

The Schema contains a set of MiningModel.

class: Schema
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

miningModel

A set of MiningModel is contained in Schema.

class: MiningModel
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.59 SchemaHasMiningResult

A Schema contains a set of MiningResult.
Ends

**schema**

The Schema contains a set of MiningResult.

- **class:** Schema
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **aggregation:** composite

**miningResult**

A set of MiningResult is contained in Schema.

- **class:** MiningResult
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

12.4.60 **SchemaHasMiningTask**

A Schema contains a set of MiningTask.

Ends

**schema**

The Schema contains a set of MiningTask.

- **class:** Schema
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **aggregation:** composite

**miningTask**

A set of MiningTask is contained in Schema.

- **class:** MiningTask
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

12.4.61 **SetAssignmentRefMemberAttr**

A SetAttributeAssignment references to one of the value attributes of the set-valued input data.
Ends

setAttrAssignment

The SetAttributeAssignment references Attribute for one of the value attributes.

   class:          SetAttributeAssignment
   multiplicity:  zero or more

memberAttribute

A set of Attribute that represents one of the value attributes is referenced by
SetAttributeAssignment.

   class:          Attribute
   multiplicity:  one or more

12.4.62 SetAssignmentRefSetIdAttr

A SetAttributeAssignment references to the attribute that represents the set identifier of
the input data.

Ends

setAttrAssignment

The SetAttributeAssignment references Attribute for the set identifier attribute.

   class:          SetAttributeAssignment
   multiplicity:  zero or more

setIdAttribute

A set of Attribute that represents the set identifier attribute is referenced by
SetAttributeAssignment.

   class:          Attribute
   multiplicity:  one or more

12.4.63 SettingsHasAttributeUsageSet

A MiningFunctionSetting contains a AttributeUsageSet that specifies the usage of the
mining attributes in the function settings.
Ends

settings

The MiningFunctionSetting contains an AttributeUsageSet.

class: MiningFunctionSetting
 multiplicity: exactly one
 aggregation: composite

attributeUsageSet

An AttributeUsageSet is contained in MiningFunctionSetting.

class: AttributeUsageSet
 multiplicity: zero or one

12.4.64 SettingsRefAlgorithmSettings

A MiningFunctionSettings references the MiningAlgorithmSettings that specifies the kind of algorithm to be executed for the function being specified by the MiningFunctionSettings.

Ends

settings

The MiningFunctionSettings references MiningAlgorithmSettings for a specific algorithm to be executed.

class: MiningFunctionSettings
 multiplicity: zero or more

algorithmSettings

A MiningAlgorithmSettings is referenced by MiningFunctionSettings.

class: MiningAlgorithmSettings
 multiplicity: zero or one

12.4.65 SettingsRefExcludedCategories

A FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings may exclude a set of Category (items) from consideration when building a FrequentItemSet model.
12.4.66 SettingsRefLogicalData

A MiningFunctionSettings references the LogicalData that is a logical representation of the input data.

Ends

settings

FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings referencing excluded Category objects.

class: FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings
multiplicity: zero or more

exclusion

Excluded Categories referenced by FrequentItemSetFunctionSettings.

class: Category
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.67 TargetValueItemRefCategory

An ApplyTargetValueItem references Category for the target value.
Ends

**targetValueItem**

The ApplyTargetValueItem references a Category.

```
class:                  ApplyTargetValueItem
multiplicity:          zero or more
```

**targetValue**

An Category is referenced by ApplyTargetValueItem for its target value.

```
class:                  Category
multiplicity:          exactly one
```

### 12.4.68 TaskRefAttrAssignmentSet

A MiningTask may reference an AttributeAssignmentSet that maps the signature attributes of the input model to the input data.

Ends

**miningTask**

The MiningTask referencing an AttributeAssignmentSet

```
class:                  MiningTask
multiplicity:          exactly one
```

**modelAssignment**

An AttributeAssignmentSet referenced by a MiningTask for mapping between the input data and the signature attributes of the input model.

```
class:                  AttributeAssignmentSet
multiplicity:          zero or one
```

### 12.4.69 TaskRefInputModel

A MiningTask references MiningModel to be used as a reference model to build another MiningModel.
Ends

miningTask

The MiningTask references MiningModel as a reference model.

class: MiningTask
multiplicity: zero or more

inputModel

A MiningModel is used as a reference model by MiningTask.

class: MiningModel
multiplicity: zero or one

12.4.70 TaskRefPhysicalData

A MiningTask references PhysicalData that represents the input data for the task.

Ends

miningTask

The MiningTask references PhysicalData for the input data of the task.

class: MiningTask
multiplicity: zero or more

inputData

A PhysicalData is referenced by MiningTask for its input data.

class: PhysicalData
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.71 TaxonomyHasCategoryMap

A taxonomy graph can be represented by multiple CategoryMap objects.
Ends
taxonomy

The CategoryTaxonomy is represented by a set of CategoryMap.
class: CategoryTaxonomy
multiplicity: zero or more
aggregation: composite
categoryMap

A set of CategoryMap represents a CategoryTaxonomy.
class: CategoryMap
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.72 TaxonomyRefRootCategory

A taxonomy graph has a set of root categories.

Ends
taxonomy

The CategoryTaxonomy references a set of Category as the root categories.
class: CategoryTaxonomy
multiplicity: zero or more

rootCategory

A set of Category is referenced by CategoryTaxonomy for its root categories.
class: Category
multiplicity: zero or more

12.4.73 TestResultHasConfusionMatrix

A ClassificationTestResult may contain a CategoryMatrix that contains the confusion matrix as the result of test.
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**Ends**

**testResult**

The ClassificationTestResult references a CategoryMatrix for the confusion matrix as the result of the test task.

- **class:** ClassificationTestResult
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **aggregation:** composite

**confusionMatrix**

A CategoryMatrix represents the confusion matrix of a ClassificationTestResult.

- **class:** CategoryMatrix
- **multiplicity:** zero or one

**12.4.74 TestResultHasLiftAnalysis**

A MiningTestResult may reference a LiftAnalysis object that contains the lift computation for a given set of positive target values.

**Ends**

**testResult**

The MiningTestResult references a LiftAnalysis for result of lift computation.

- **class:** MiningTestResult
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **aggregation:** composite

**liftAnalysis**

A LiftAnalysis represents lift result for a MiningTestResult.

- **class:** LiftAnalysis
- **multiplicity:** zero or one

**12.4.75 TestTaskRefPositiveTarget**

A MiningTestTask references a non-empty set of positive targets for which lift computation is done.
12.4.76 TransformationRefMiningTask

A MiningTransformation references MiningTask as a procedure.

Ends

transformation

The MiningTransformation references MiningTask as a procedure.

class: MiningTransformation
multiplicity: zero or one

procedure

A MiningTask is referenced by MiningTransformation to represent a procedure.

class: MiningTask
multiplicity: exactly one

12.4.77 UsageRefAttribute

An AttributeUsage is defined on one or more LogicalAttribute objects.

Ends

usage

The AttributeUsage is defined on a non-empty set of LogicalAttribute.

class: AttributeUsage
multiplicity: zero or more
attribute

A set of LogicalAttribute is referenced by AttributeUsage.

class: LogicalAttribute

multiplicity: one or more
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13.1 Overview

The CWM Information Visualization metamodel defines metadata supporting the problem domain of “information publishing” or, more generally, “information visualization.”

Within the data warehousing environment, data is collected from numerous, diverse sources and transformed into a unified representation that facilitates the analysis of data for purposes of gaining business insight. Robust and flexible information visualization tools are key to the effective analysis of this information. Information visualization tools must be capable of understanding and preserving the “logical structure” of data warehouse information, while enabling the user to perform any
number of “rendering transformations” on information content (for example, displaying the same query result set in several different formats, such as a printed report, Web page, pie chart, bar graph, etc.).

Since information visualization is a very broad problem domain, with a diverse set of possible solutions and many evolving standards, the CWM Information Visualization metamodel defines very generic, container-like metadata constructs that either contain or reference more complex visualization mechanisms at the M1-level. These metadata structures are intended to support the minimal metadata required to interchange more complex M1 models of visualization mechanisms.

### 13.2 Organization of the Information Visualization Metamodel

#### 13.2.1 Dependencies

The Information Visualization package depends on the following packages:
- org.omg.cwm.objectmodel.core
- org.omg.cwm.foundation.expressions

#### 13.2.2 Major Classes and Associations

The major classes and associations of the Information Visualization metamodel are shown in Figure 13-1.
RenderedObject is the logical proxy for an arbitrary ModelElement that is to be rendered via some rendering transformation or process.

A RenderedObject may be composed of an arbitrary number of other RenderedObjects; that is, components, and may have topological relationships to still other RenderedObjects. The formula attribute allows for the specification of any implementation-dependent expression that completes the definition of a RenderedObject. For example, the formula might specify the position of the RenderedObject within a two-dimensional grid, or in relation to one of its neighbors; for example, formula = “neighbor(x, y) + (delta-x, delta-y)”.  

A RenderedObject generally references one or more Renderings that specify how the RenderedObject is actually presented. One of these associated Renderings may optionally be designated as a default Rendering.

A Rendering is semantically equivalent to a transformation, in that it transforms a source RenderedObject to some target “displayed” (or otherwise “presented” object -- for example, a displayed image or an audio clip). An instance of Rendering is fully specified via its formula attribute, which, like RenderedObject, contains an implementation-dependent expression.

Thus, a RenderedObject may be viewed as the “logical description” of an object to be rendered, independently of how it is actually presented by any of its associated Renderings, and Renderings may be viewed as transformations that control the presentation of the RenderedObject while preserving its logical structure.

Note that a RenderedObject may be the target of a complex transformation; that is, utilizing the CWM Transformation package. For example, an N-dimensional OLAP cube might be transformed into an equivalent, two-dimensional, composite RenderedObject, with several dimensions mapped to row and column edges, respectively, and all other dimensions constrained to single member values. Several Renderings may then be defined and associated with the resultant RenderedObject, mapping the two-dimensional logical structure to the surface of a display screen in various different formats (for example, spreadsheet, pie chart, bar graph, etc.).

Possible types of Renderings include: Screen, paper, voice, Web, HTML documents, XML/XSL, languages based on extensions to XML, SVG, visual objects, responses to keying (e.g., keying interception plus rules), etc.

XSLRendering represents a useful subtype of Rendering that’s based on XSL (for example, this subtype’s formula might contain a procedure that uses XSL to create an HTML document).

Finally, RenderedObjectSet represents a simple container of both logical RenderedObjects and available Renderings.

### 13.3 Inheritance from the Object Model

The inheritance of the Information Visualization metamodel from the Object Model is shown in Figure 13-2 on page 13-4.
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13.4 Information Visualization Classes

13.4.1 RenderedObject

RenderedObject serves as a logical “proxy” for an arbitrary ModelElement that is to be rendered.

**Superclasses**

Classifier

**Attributes**

**formula**

Allows for the specification of any implementation-dependent expression that completes the definition of a RenderedObject.

- **type:** ExpressionNode
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**action**

Specifies some implementation-dependent action associated with a RenderedObject.

- **type:** String
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**fileName**

Specifies the name of a file persisting an instance of RenderedObject.

- **type:** String
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
**type**

Specifies some implementation-dependent type associated with a RenderedObject.

- **type:** String
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**url**

Specifies a URL identifying some instance of RenderedObject.

- **type:** String
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**References**

**rendering**

References the collection of Renderings associated with a RenderedObject.

- **class:** Rendering
- **defined by:** RenderedObjectsReferenceRenderings::rendering
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** Rendering::renderedObject

**defaultRendering**

References the default Rendering within the collection of Renderings associated with a RenderedObject.

- **class:** Rendering
- **defined by:** RenderedObjectsReferenceDefaultRendering::defaultRendering
- **multiplicity:** zero or one

**component**

References the collection of “component” RenderedObjects comprising this “composite” RenderedObject.

- **class:** RenderedObject
- **defined by:** CompositesReferenceComponents::component
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** RenderedObject::composite
composite
References the collection of “composite” RenderedObjects of which this RenderedObject is a “component.”

class: RenderedObject
defined by: CompositesReferenceComponents::composite
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: RenderedObject::component

neighbor
References the collection of RenderedObjects that are “neighbors” to this RenderedObject.

class: RenderedObject
defined by: NeighborsReferenceNeighbors::neighbor
multiplicity: zero or more

referencingNeighbor
References the collection of RenderedObjects that reference this RenderedObject as a “neighbor.”

class: RenderedObject
defined by: NeighborsReferenceNeighbors::referencingNeighbor
multiplicity: zero or more

modelElement
References the ModelElement that a RenderedObject represents.

class: ModelElement
defined by: RenderedObjectsReferenceModelElement::modelElement
multiplicity: zero or one

renderedObjectSet
References the RenderedObjectSet owning a RenderedObject.

class: RenderedObjectSet
defined by: RenderedObjectSetOwnsRenderedObjects::renderedObjectSet
multiplicity: exactly one

Constraints
The set of Renderings includes the default Rendering. [C-1]
A RenderedObject may not reference itself as a Neighbor nor as a Component. [C-2]
The transitive closure of Neighbors of an instance of RenderedObject must not include the RenderedObject instance.

The transitive closure of Components of an instance of RenderedObject must not include the RenderedObject instance.

A RenderedObject may not reference one of its Neighbors as a Component (and vice versa). [C-3]

13.4.2 RenderedObjectSet

RenderedObjectSet is a container of RenderedObjects and available Renderings.

Superclasses

Package

Contained Elements

- RenderedObject
- Rendering

References

renderedObject

References the collection of RenderedObjects owned by a RenderedObjectSet.

class: RenderedObject
defined by: RenderedObjectSetOwnsRenderedObjects::renderedObject
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: RenderedObject::renderedObjectSet

rendering

References the collection of Renderings owned by a RenderedObjectSet.

class: Rendering
defined by: RenderedObjectSetOwnsRenderings::rendering
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: Rendering::renderedObjectSet

13.4.3 Rendering

Rendering is a specification of how an associated RenderedObject is to be “rendered” in some medium. This usually consists of a projection of an object of arbitrary dimensionality onto a two-dimensional surface, but it may also include non-physical representations as well (such as audio).
Superclasses
Feature

Attributes

formula

Implementation-dependent procedure for generating the Rendering (for example, a usage of XSL to generate an HTML document). Tracks the transformation lineage of the Rendering.

type: ExpressionNode

Multiplicity: exactly one

action

Specifies some implementation-dependent action associated with a Rendering.

type: String

Multiplicity: exactly one

fileName

Specifies the name of a file persisting an instance of Rendering.

type: String

Multiplicity: exactly one

type

Specifies some implementation-dependent type associated with a Rendering.

type: String

Multiplicity: exactly one

url

Specifies a URL identifying some instance of Rendering.

type: String

Multiplicity: exactly one
13.4.3.1 References

**renderedObject**

References the collection of RenderedObjects that are associated with this Rendering.

- **class:** RenderedObject
- **defined by:** RenderedObjectsReferenceRenderings::renderedObject
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** RenderedObject::rendering

**defaultedRenderedObject**

References the collection of RenderedObjects whose default Renderings are represented by this Rendering.

- **class:** RenderedObject
- **defined by:** RenderedObjectsReferenceRenderings::defaultedRenderedObject
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** RenderedObject::rendering

**renderedObjectSet**

References the RenderedObjectSet owning a Rendering.

- **class:** RenderedObjectSet
- **defined by:** RenderedObjectSetOwnsRenderings::renderedObjectSet
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
- **inverse:** RenderedObjectSet::rendering

13.4.4 XSLRendering

XSLRendering represents a useful subclass of Rendering based on XSL; that is, the formula of this subclass might contain a procedure that uses XSL to create an HTML document.

**Superclasses**

Rendering

13.5 Information Visualization Associations

13.5.1 CompositesReferenceComponents

A RenderedObject may reference one or more “component” RenderedObjects, from which it is logically composed.
13.5.2 NeighborsReferenceNeighbors

A RenderedObject may reference one or more “neighboring” RenderedObjects.

Ends

neighbor

RenderedObjects referenced by this RenderedObject as its “neighbor” (or neighboring object).

class: RenderedObject

multiplicity: zero or more

referencingNeighbor

RenderedObjects referencing this RenderedObject as its “neighbor.”

class: RenderedObject

multiplicity: zero or more

13.5.3 RenderedObjectSetOwnsRenderedObjects

A RenderedObjectSet may own any number of RenderedObjects.

Ends

to renderObject

RenderedObjects owned by a RenderedObjectSet.

class: RenderedObject

multiplicity: zero or more
13.5.4 **RenderedObjectSetOwnsRenderings**

A RenderedObjectSet may own any number of Renderings.

**Ends**

**rendering**

Renderings owned by a RenderedObjectSet.

- **class:** Rendering
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

**RenderedObjectSet**

RenderedObjectSet owning Renderings.

- **class:** RenderedObjectSet
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

13.5.5 **RenderedObjectsReferenceDefaultRendering**

A RenderedObject may reference a default Rendering.

**Ends**

**defaultRendering**

The Rendering referenced by one or more RenderedObjects as the default Rendering.

- **class:** Rendering
- **multiplicity:** zero or one

**defaultedRenderedObject**

RenderedObjects referencing this Rendering as the default Rendering.

- **class:** RenderedObject
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
13.5.6 RenderedObjectsReferenceModelElement

One or more RenderedObjects may reference an arbitrary ModelElement.

Ends

renderedObject

RenderedObjects referencing the ModelElement.

class: RenderedObject

multiplicity: zero or more

modelElement

The ModelElement referenced by the RenderedObjects.

class: ModelElement

multiplicity: zero or one

13.5.7 RenderedObjectsReferenceRenderings

A RenderedObject may reference any number of Renderings. A Rendering may be referenced by any number of RenderedObjects.

Ends

rendering

Renderings referenced by RenderedObjects.

class: Rendering

multiplicity: zero or more

renderedObject

RenderedObjects referencing Renderings.

class: RenderedObject

multiplicity: zero or more

13.6 OCL Representation of Information Visualization Constraints

[C-1] The set of Renderings includes the default Rendering.

context RenderedObject

inv: self.defaultRendering->notEmpty implies
self.rendering->includes( self.defaultRendering )
[C-2] A RenderedObject may not reference itself as a Neighbor nor as a Component.

**context** RenderedObject

**inv:** self.neighbor->excludes( self )

**inv:** self.component->excludes( self )

[C-3] A RenderedObject may not reference one of its Neighbors as a Component (and vice versa).

**context** RenderedObject

**inv:** (self.neighbor->notEmpty and self.component->notEmpty) implies

self.neighbor->intersection( self.component )->isEmpty
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14.1 Overview

Business users of data warehouses need to have a good understanding of what information and tools exist in a data warehouse. They need to understand what the information means from a business perspective, how it is derived, from what data resources it is derived, and what analysis and reporting tools exist for manipulating and reporting the information. They may also need to subscribe to analysis and reporting tools, and have them run with results delivered to them on a regular basis.

The BusinessNomenclature package contains classes and associations that can be used to represent business metadata. Easy access to this business metadata enables business users to exploit the value of the information in a data warehouse. It can also aid technical users in certain tasks. An example is the use of common business terms and concepts for discussing information requirements with business users. Another example is accessing business intelligence tools for analyzing the impact of warehouse design changes.
The scope of the BusinessNomenclature package is restricted to the domain of data warehousing and business intelligence.

14.1.1 Semantics

This section provides a description of the main features of the BusinessNomenclature package.

The BusinessNomenclature package provides two main constructs to represent business terms and concepts and related semantics:

- **Taxonomy** is a collection of concepts that provide the context for the meaning of a particular term.
- **Glossary** is a collection of terms and various related forms of the term.

A taxonomy is a collection of concepts. Concepts represent semantic information and relationships. Concepts are identified by terms, which in turn are manifested by a word or phrase. More than one term may describe the same concept and a given term may describe more than one concept.

A glossary is a collection of terms that are related through explicit or implicit relationships. Terms may be preferred (the term best representing its concept) and thus represent the vocabulary of a business domain or user. Terms may be synonyms and point at the preferred term. A preferred term and its synonyms represent the fact that several terms describe the same concept although with different shades of meaning. Terms may be arranged into a hierarchy of more generic and more specific elements. This relationship allows substituting a narrower term, such as “USA,” for a wider term, such as “country.”

14.2 Organization of the Business Nomenclature Package

The BusinessNomenclature package depends on the following packages:

omg.org::CWM::ObjectModel::Core

The metamodel diagram for the BusinessNomenclature package is split into two parts. The first diagram shows the BusinessNomenclature classes and associations, while the second shows the inheritance hierarchy.
Figure 14-1 BusinessNomenclature Package: Relationships
14.3 Business Nomenclature Classes

The BusinessNomenclature package contains the following classes, in alphabetical order:

- BusinessDomain
14.3.1 BusinessDomain

This represents a business domain.

Superclasses
Package

Contained Elements
Taxonomy

References
taxonomy

Identifies the Taxonomies owned by the BusinessDomain.

class: ModelElement
defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::ownedElement
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: Taxonomy::domain

14.3.2 Concept

This represents a business idea or notion.

Concepts are represented by Terms. Users use Terms that are familiar to them in their business environment to refer to Concepts.

Superclasses
VocabularyElement
References

taxonomy

Identifies the Taxonomy that owns the Concept.

class: Namespace
defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::namespace
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: Taxonomy::concept

relatedConcept

Identifies the related Concepts.

class: Concept
defined by: RelatedConcepts::relatedConcept
multiplicity: zero or more

Constraints

A Concept may not relate to itself. [C-1]

14.3.3 Glossary

This represents a collection of Terms.

Superclasses

Nomenclature

Contained Elements

Term

Attributes

language

Identifies the language that the Glossary is represented in.
type: String
multiplicity: exactly one
References

taxonomy

Identifies the Taxonomies that the Glossary is derived from.

class: Taxonomy
defined by: GlossaryToTaxonomy::taxonomy
multiplicity: zero or more

term

Identifies the Terms that are owned by the Glossary.

class: ModelElement
defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::ownedElement
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: Term::glossary

subglossary

Identifies the child Glossaries.

class: Nomenclature
defined by: NomenclatureHierarchy::child
multiplicity: zero or more

Constraints

The parent [C-2] or child [C-3] of a Glossary must be a Glossary.

14.3.4 Nomenclature

This represents a common superclass for Taxonomy and Glossary.

Superclasses

Package

Contained Elements

Nomenclature, VocabularyElement

Constraints

A Nomenclature may not be its own parent or child, transitive closure.
14.3.5 Taxonomy

This represents a collection of Concepts that form an ontology.

Superclasses
Nomenclature

Contained Elements
Concept

References

domain

Identifies the BusinessDomain that owns the Taxonomy.

class: Namespace

defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::namespace

multiplicity: zero or one

inverse: BusinessDomain::taxonomy

concept

Identifies the Concepts that are owned by the Taxonomy.

class: ModelElement

defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::ownedElement

multiplicity: zero or more

inverse: Concept::taxonomy

subtaxonomy

Identifies the child Taxonomies.

class: Nomenclature

defined by: NomenclatureHierarchy::child

multiplicity: zero or more

Constraints
The parent [C-4] or child [C-5] of a Taxonomy must be a Taxonomy.

14.3.6 Term

This represents words or phrases used by business users to refer to Concepts.
A Term has a definition in a specific context. The context is provided by the referenced Concept that describes the underlying semantics.

**Superclasses**

VocabularyElement

**References**

**glossary**

Identifies the Glossary that owns the Term.

class: Namespace
defined by: Namespace-ModelElement::namespace
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: Glossary::term

**concept**

Identifies the Concepts from which the Term is derived.

class: Concept
defined by: TermToConcept::concept
multiplicity: zero or more

**relatedTerm**

Identifies the related Terms.

class: Term
defined by: RelatedTerms::relatedTerm
multiplicity: zero or more

**preferredTerm**

Identifies the preferred Term.

class: Term
defined by: SynonymToPreferredTerm::preferredTerm
multiplicity: zero or one
narrowerTerm

Identifies the narrower Terms.

*class:* Term

*defined by:* WiderToNarrowerTerm::narrowerTerm

*multiplicity:* zero or more

**Constraints**

A Term may not relate to itself. [C-6]

A Term may not be its own preferred term or synonym, transitive closure.

A Term may not be its own narrower term or wider term, transitive closure.

### 14.3.7 VocabularyElement

This represents a common superclass for Concepts and Terms.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

**definition**

Provides the definition of the VocabularyElement.

*type:* String

*multiplicity:* exactly one

**example**

Provides examples of the VocabularyElement.

*type:* String

*multiplicity:* exactly one

**usage**

Identifies typical usage of the VocabularyElement.

*type:* String

*multiplicity:* exactly one
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References

**modelElement**

Identifies the ModelElement (the physical metadata) that represents this VocabularyElement (the business metadata).

- **class:** ModelElement
- **defined by:** VocabularyElementToModelElement::modelElement
- **multiplicity:** zero or more

Constraints

A VocabularyElement may not relate to itself. [C-7]

14.4 Business Nomenclature Associations

The BusinessNomenclature package contains the following associations, in alphabetical order:

- GlossaryToTaxonomy
- NomenclatureHierarchy
- RelatedConcepts
- RelatedTerms
- RelatedVocabularyElements
- SynonymToPreferredTerm
- TermToConcept
- VocabularyElementToModelElement
- WiderToNarrowerTerm

14.4.1 GlossaryToTaxonomy

This association relates a Glossary to its Taxonomies.

**Ends**

**glossary**

Identifies a Glossary.

- **class:** Glossary
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
14.4.2 NomenclatureHierarchy

This aggregation relates a parent Nomenclature to its child Nomenclatures.


derived

14.4.3 RelatedConcepts

This association relates a Concept to its related Concepts.


derived
Derivation

This association is derived from the RelatedVocabularyElements association. All ends of the association must be Concepts. [C-8]

14.4.4 RelatedTerms

This association relates a Term to its related Terms.

Ends

term

Identifies a Term.

class: Term

multiplicity: zero or more

relatedTerm

Identifies the related Terms.

class: Term

multiplicity: zero or more

Derivation

This association is derived from the RelatedVocabularyElements association. All ends of the association must be Terms. [C-9]

14.4.5 RelatedVocabularyElements

This association relates a VocabularyElement to its related VocabularyElements.

Ends

element

Identifies a VocabularyElement.

class: VocabularyElement

multiplicity: zero or more

relatedElement

Identifies the related VocabularyElements.

class: VocabularyElement

multiplicity: zero or more
14.4.6 SynonymToPreferredTerm

This association relates a synonym to its preferred terms.

Ends

**synonym**

Identifies a Term.

*class:* Term  
*multiplicity:* zero or more

**preferredTerm**

Identifies the preferred term for the synonym.

*class:* Term  
*multiplicity:* zero or one

14.4.7 TermToConcept

This association relates a Term to its Concepts.

Ends

**term**

Identifies a Term.

*class:* Term  
*multiplicity:* zero or more

**concept**

Identifies the Concepts from which the Term is derived.

*class:* Concept  
*multiplicity:* zero or more

14.4.8 VocabularyElementToModelElement

This association relates a VocabularyElement to the ModelElements for which the VocabularyElement provides business meaning.
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Ends

vocabularyElement

Identifies a VocabularyElement.

class: VocabularyElement
multiplicity: zero or more

modelElement

Identifies the ModelElements for which the VocabularyElement provides business meaning.

class: ModelElement
multiplicity: zero or more

14.4.9 WiderToNarrowerTerm

This association relates a wider term to its narrower terms.

Ends

widerTerm

Identifies a Term.

class: Term
multiplicity: zero or more

narrowerTerm

Identifies the narrower terms for the wider term.

class: Term
multiplicity: zero or more

14.5 OCL Representation of Business Nomenclature Constraints

[C-1] A Concept may not relate to itself.

context Concept

inv: self.relatedConcept->forAll ( p | p <> self )

[C-2] The parent of a Glossary must be a Glossary.

context Glossary

inv: self.parent.oclIsKindOf( Glossary )
[C-3] The child of a Glossary must be a Glossary.

**context** Glossary

**inv:** self.child->forall( p | p.oclIsKindOf( Glossary ) )

[C-4] The parent of a Taxonomy must be a Taxonomy.

**context** Taxonomy

**inv:** self.parent.oclIsKindOf( Taxonomy )

[C-5] The child of a Taxonomy must be a Taxonomy.

**context** Taxonomy

**inv:** self.child->forall( p | p.oclIsKindOf( Taxonomy ) )

[C-6] A Term may not relate to itself.

**context** Term

**inv:** self.relatedTerm->forall ( p | p <> self )

[C-7] A VocabularyElement may not relate to itself.

**context** Vocabulary

**inv:** self.relatedElement->forall ( p | p <> self )

[C-8] The RelatedConcepts association is derived from the RelatedVocabularyElements association. All ends of the RelatedConcepts association must be Concepts.

**context** RelatedConcepts

**inv:** RelatedVocabularyElements.allInstances.select( element.oclIsKindOf( Concept ) and relatedElement.oclIsKindOf( Concept ) )

[C-9] The RelatedTerms association is derived from the RelatedVocabularyElements association. All ends of the RelatedTerms association must be Terms.

**context** RelatedTerms

**inv:** RelatedVocabularyElements.allInstances.select( element.oclIsKindOf( Term ) and relatedElement.oclIsKindOf( Term ) )
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15.1 Overview

The Warehouse Process package documents the process flows used to execute transformations. These process flows may be documented at the level of a complete TransformationActivity or its individual TransformationSteps. A WarehouseProcess object associates a transformation with a set of events, which will be used to trigger the execution of the transformation.

15.2 Organization of the Warehouse Process Package

The Warehouse Process package depends on the following packages:

- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Behavioral
- org.omg::CWM::Analysis::Transformation
A WarehouseProcess object represents the processing of a transformation. It is instantiated as one of its subtypes WarehouseActivity or WarehouseStep, depending on whether it represents the processing of a TransformationActivity or a TransformationStep.

A WarehouseProcess may be associated with one or more WarehouseEvents, each identifying events that cause the processing to be initiated. It may also be associated with one or more internal events that will be triggered when processing terminates.

A ProcessPackage may be used to group together related WarehouseActivities.

WarehouseEvents are divided into three categories: scheduled, external, and internal. Scheduled events can either be defined as a point in time (each Wednesday at 2 pm) or be defined by intervals (every five minutes). A point in time event can be defined as a custom calendar that contains a set of calendar dates. This allows a series of dates to be reused across several WarehouseProcesses.

External events are triggered by something happening outside the data warehouse, for example by a batch process that is not described as a WarehouseProcess.
Internal events are triggered by the termination of a WarehouseProcess. They can be either retry events or cascade events. Retry events normally trigger a rerun of the same WarehouseProcess, whereas cascade events normally trigger a different WarehouseProcess. An internal event may define a condition that determines whether or not the event is triggered. This condition can use details of the execution of the triggering WarehouseProcess recorded in the relevant ActivityExecution and StepExecution objects.

Figure 15-2 Warehouse Events and Custom Calendars
Figure 15-4 shows how the scheduled (every Wednesday at 2 pm) unload process cascades with the load process.
15.3 Warehouse Process Classes

The Warehouse Process package contains the following classes, in alphabetical order:

- CalendarDate
- CascadeEvent
- CustomCalendar
- CustomCalendarEvent
- ExternalEvent
- InternalEvent
- IntervalEvent
- PointInTimeEvent
- ProcessPackage
- RecurringPointInTimeEvent
- RetryEvent
- ScheduleEvent
- WarehouseActivity
- WarehouseEvent
- WarehouseProcess
- WarehouseStep

15.3.1 CalendarDate

An entry in a CustomCalendar representing a specific date and time.

Superclasses

ModelElement
15-3.2 CascadeEvent

A CascadeEvent indicates that completion of one or more triggering WarehouseProcesses triggers another WarehouseProcess.

Superclasses
InternalEvent

Attributes

waitRule

Indicates if the event should be triggered as soon as any of the triggering WarehouseProcesses has completed that satisfies the condition (inherited from InternalEvent) or only when all the triggering WarehouseProcesses have completed (provided the condition is satisfied).

type: WaitRuleType (waitForAll | waitForAny )
multiplicity: exactly one
15.3.4 CustomCalendarEvent

This event is controlled by a list of dates and times. To make the list easily shareable between multiple WarehouseProcesses the calendar itself is in a separate class.

**Superclasses**

- PointInTimeEvent

**References**

*customCalendar*

Indicates which custom calendar is used for this schedule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CustomCalendar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>EventUsesCustomCalendar::customCalendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>exactly one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>CustomCalendar::customCalendarEvent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

*customCalendarEvent*

Indicates which events use this custom calendar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>CustomCalendarEvent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>defined by</td>
<td>EventUsesCustomCalendar::customCalendarEvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>zero or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse</td>
<td>CustomCalendarEvent::customCalendar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15.3.5 ExternalEvent

An ExternalEvent allows the description of the triggering of a WarehouseProcess by a task that is not described in the model. This is merely a place holder. The actual behavior and the connection with the external trigger is left to the implementation of the scheduler.

**Superclasses**

- WarehouseEvent
15.3.6 InternalEvent

An event that may be triggered, depending on whether or not a condition is satisfied, by the conclusion of one or more WarehouseProcess runs.

There are two types of InternalEvents, depending whether the event triggers a series of different WarehouseProcesses, or whether the event triggers the same WarehouseProcess in an attempt to retry a failed run.

Attributes

condition

Indicates what condition the triggering WarehouseProcess run must meet to be considered (success, failure, warnings, etc.). How the condition is expressed, and how the result of a Transform is generated is left to the implementation of the scheduler and the transformation, respectively.

type: BooleanExpression
multiplicity: exactly one

Superclasses

WarehouseEvent

Attributes

description

A free text description of where the external triggering signal comes from.

type: String
multiplicity: exactly one

References

triggeringWP

Associates an internal event with the triggering WarehouseProcess.

class: WarehouseProcess
defined by: TriggeringProcess::triggeringWP
multiplicity: one or more
inverse: WarehouseProcess::internalEvent

15.3.7 IntervalEvent

An IntervalEvent controls a continuous run of a WarehouseProcess. The Warehouse Process will run, then wait for the duration specified in the event, then run again.
An IntervalEvent is not affected by the result of the WarehouseProcess.

**Superclasses**

ScheduleEvent

**Attributes**

**duration**

Indicates the length of time (in seconds) to wait after a run of the WarehouseProcess before triggering the next one.

- **type:** Float
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

### 15.3.8 PointInTimeEvent

A PointInTime event is triggered at a fixed time, independently of any external context.

The triggering time can be either defined functionally (as in the RecurringPointInTimeEvent extension of this class), or by an explicit list of times (CustomCalendarEvent).

**Superclasses**

ScheduleEvent

### 15.3.9 ProcessPackage

A group of related WarehouseActivities.

**Superclasses**

Package

**Contained Elements**

WarehouseActivity

### 15.3.10 RecurringPointInTimeEvent

This event triggers a WarehouseProcess on a regular basis such as a specific date or time (for example, the Wednesday of every other week, at 2:30 pm).

**Superclasses**

PointInTimeEvent
Attributes

**recurringType**

Indicates how often the event should be triggered (weekly, daily, etc.).

type: RecurringType ( everyYear | everyMonth | everyWeek | everyDay | everyHour | everyMinute )
multiplicity: exactly one

**frequencyFactor**

Indicates the repetition of the event. For example, for a weekly recurringType, a value of 1 will mean that it is to be triggered every week, a value of 2 will mean that it is to be triggered every other week, etc.

type: Integer
multiplicity: exactly one

**month**

Indicates which month of the year (from 1 to 12) an annual event is to be triggered.

type: Integer
multiplicity: zero or one

**dayOfMonth**

Indicates which day of the month (from 1 to 31) a monthly or annual event is to be triggered. For a monthly event, if the day of the month is greater than the number of days in the month, it is assumed that the scheduler will run the WarehouseProcess on the last day of the month.

type: Integer
multiplicity: zero or one

**dayOfWeek**

Indicates which day of the week a weekly schedule is running.

type: DayOfWeek ( monday | tuesday | wednesday | thursday | friday | saturday | sunday | workingDay | nonworkingDay )
multiplicity: zero or one

**hour**

Indicates at what hour (from 0 to 23) an annual, monthly, weekly, or daily event is being triggered.

type: Integer
multiplicity: zero or one
### minute

Indicates at what minute (from 0 to 59) an event is triggered. Applies to all events except the “everyMinute” ones.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** zero or one

### second

Indicates at what second (from 0 to 59) an event must be run. Applies to all events.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

#### Constraints

- **month** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyYear. [C-1]
- **month** must be between 1 and 12 (inclusive) when specified. [C-2]
- **dayOfMonth** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyMonth. [C-3]
- **dayOfMonth** must be between 1 and 31 (inclusive) when specified. [C-4]
- **dayOfWeek** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyWeek. [C-5]
- **hour** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyYear or everyMonth or everyWeek or everyDay. [C-6]
- **hour** must be between 0 and 23 (inclusive) when specified. [C-7]
- **minute** must be specified when **recurringType** is not everyMinute. [C-8]
- **minute** must be between 0 and 59 (inclusive) when specified. [C-9]
- **second** must be between 0 and 59 (inclusive). [C-10]

### 15.3.11 RetryEvent

Indicates that a WarehouseProcess should be retried upon failure. This type of event is used for example when a WarehouseProcess relies on sources with uncertain availability (connection or uptime).

In general, the triggering WarehouseProcess and the triggered WarehouseProcess are the same, and only one WarehouseProcess is involved. But this is not an imposed limitation. It is left to the schedulers to decide on the implementation behavior for complex cases.

#### Superclasses

- InternalEvent
Attributes

\textit{waitDuration}

Indicates the length of time (in seconds) to wait before retrying the triggered WarehouseProcess.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textbf{type:} Float
\item \textbf{multiplicity:} exactly one
\end{itemize}

\textit{maxCount}

Indicates how many times the triggered WarehouseProcess should be retried before being declared failed.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textbf{type:} Integer
\item \textbf{multiplicity:} exactly one
\end{itemize}

15.3.12 ScheduleEvent \hspace{1cm} \textit{abstract}

A ScheduleEvent is an abstract class that covers all the clock based events.

\underline{Superclasses}

WarehouseEvent

15.3.13 WarehouseActivity

A WarehouseActivity is a subtype of WarehouseProcess that represents the processing of a TransformationActivity. It may identify WarehouseEvents that trigger the processing of the TransformationActivity and InternalEvents that are triggered by the conclusion of this processing. It may contain a set of WarehouseSteps that define in more detail the processing of the individual TransformationSteps of the TransformationActivity.

\underline{Superclasses}

WarehouseProcess

\underline{Contained Elements}

WarehouseEvent
WarehouseStep
References

transformationActivity

Associates a WarehouseActivity with the TransformationActivity it performs.

class: TransformationActivity

defined by: WarehouseActivityRunsTransformationActivity::transformationActivity

multiplicity: exactly one

warehouseStep

Identifies WarehouseSteps that are components of the WarehouseActivity.

class: WarehouseStep

defined by: WarehouseActivityStep::warehouseStep

multiplicity: zero or more; ordered

inverse: WarehouseStep::warehouseActivity

15.3.14 WarehouseEvent abstract

A virtual class to refer to any Event.

A WarehouseEvent (or its derivations) represents what triggers the running of a WarehouseProcess. An event can be initiated by a clock, by an external trigger, or by an internal trigger (the conclusion of some WarehouseProcess).

Superclasses

Event

References

warehouseProcess

Identifies the WarehouseProcess that is triggered by the WarehouseEvent.

class: WarehouseProcess

defined by: Event::warehouseProcess

multiplicity: exactly one

inverse: WarehouseProcess::warehouseEvent

15.3.15 WarehouseProcess abstract

A WarehouseProcess represents the processing of a transformation. It is instantiated as one of its subtypes WarehouseActivity or WarehouseStep, depending on whether it represents the processing of a TransformationActivity or a Transformation Step.
A WarehouseProcess may be associated with one or more WarehouseEvents, each identifying events that cause the processing to be initiated. It may also be associated with one or more internal events that will be triggered when processing terminates.

**Superclasses**

ModelElement

**Attributes**

**staticDefinition**

When a WarehouseProcess is a constant mapping (such as a Relational View of legacy data or a continuous data propagation process), this flag indicates that the mapping does not require to be run for the target to be up-to-date and in sync with the source.

- **type:** Boolean
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**isSequential**

This flag indicates if more than one instance of this WarehouseProcess may run at a time. If this flag is true, the scheduler should fail any attempt to trigger this WarehouseProcess while an instance is already in progress.

- **type:** Boolean
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**References**

**warehouseEvent**

Associates a WarehouseProcess with a set of events of various types, which will be used to trigger the execution of the WarehouseProcess and its associated transformation.

- **class:** WarehouseEvent
- **defined by:** Event::warehouseEvent
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** WarehouseEvent::warehouseProcess

**internalEvent**

Associates a WarehouseProcess with the internal events it may trigger.

- **class:** InternalEvent
- **defined by:** TriggeringProcess::internalEvent
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
- **inverse:** InternalEvent::triggeringWP
15.3.16 WarehouseStep

A WarehouseStep is a component of a WarehouseActivity. It represents the processing of an individual TransformationStep. It may be used to identify WarehouseEvents that trigger the processing of the TransformationStep and/or InternalEvents that are triggered by the conclusion of the processing of the TransformationStep.

For example, a WarehouseStep may be used to document how a specific TransformationStep should be retried upon failure.

Superclasses

WarehouseProcess

Contained Elements

WarehouseEvent

References

transformationStep

Associates a WarehouseStep with the TransformationStep it performs.

class: TransformationStep

defined by: WarehouseStepRunsTransformationStep ::transformationStep

multiplicity: exactly one

warehouseActivity

Identifies the WarehouseActivity that includes this WarehouseStep.

class: WarehouseActivity

defined by: WarehouseActivityStep::warehouseActivity

multiplicity: exactly one

inverse: WarehouseActivity::warehouseStep

15.4 Warehouse Process Associations

The Warehouse Process package contains the following associations, in alphabetical order:

- Event
- EventUsesCustomCalendar
- TriggeringProcess
- WarehouseActivityRunsTransformationActivity
- WarehouseActivityStep
- WarehouseStepRunsTransformationStep
15.4.1 Event

Associates a WarehouseProcess with a set of events of various types, which will be used to trigger the execution of the WarehouseProcess and its associated transformation.

Ends

warehouseProcess

Identifies the WarehouseProcess, which will be triggered by the event.

class: WarehouseProcess
multiplicity: exactly one
aggregation: composite

warehouseEvent

Identifies a set of events of various types, which will be used to trigger the execution of the WarehouseProcess and its associated transformations.

class: WarehouseEvent
multiplicity: zero or more

15.4.2 EventUsesCustomCalendar

Indicates which custom calendar is used for this schedule.

Ends

customCalendar

Indicates which custom calendar is used for this event.

class: CustomCalendar
multiplicity: exactly one

customCalendarEvent

Indicates which event uses this custom calendar.

class: CustomCalendarEvent
multiplicity: zero or more

15.4.3 TriggeringProcess

Associates an internal event with the WarehouseProcess that triggers it when processing of that WarehouseProcess terminates.
Ends

triggeringWP

Identifies the triggering WarehouseProcess.

class: WarehouseProcess

multiplicity: one or more

internalEvent

Identifies an internal event triggered by the termination of the WarehouseProcess.

class: InternalEvent

multiplicity: zero or more

15.4.4 WarehouseActivityRunsTransformationActivity

Indicates which TransformationActivity is run by the WarehouseActivity.

Ends

transformationActivity

Associates a WarehouseActivity with the TransformationActivity it performs.

class: TransformationActivity

multiplicity: exactly one

warehouseActivity

Identifies WarehouseActivities that perform a TransformationActivity.

class: WarehouseActivity

multiplicity: zero or more

15.4.5 WarehouseActivityStep protected

Associates a WarehouseActivity with its constituent WarehouseSteps.
15.4.6 WarehouseStepRunsTransformationStep

Identifies a TransformationStep that is run by a WarehouseStep.

**Ends**

**transformationStep**

Associates a WarehouseStep with the TransformationStep it performs.

- **class:** TransformationStep
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**warehouseStep**

Identifies WarehouseSteps that perform a TransformationStep.

- **class:** WarehouseStep
- **multiplicity:** zero or more
15.5 OCL Representation of Warehouse Process Constraints

[C-1] **month** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyYear.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.recurringType=everyYear \implies self.month->notEmpty

[C-2] **month** must be between 1 and 12 (inclusive) when specified.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.month->notEmpty \implies 1 <= self.month <= 12

[C-3] **dayOfMonth** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyYear or everyMonth.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.recurringType=everyYear or self.recurringType=everyMonth \implies self.dayOfMonth->notEmpty

[C-4] **dayOfMonth** must be between 1 and 31 (inclusive) when specified.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.dayOfMonth->notEmpty \implies 1 <= self.dayOfMonth <= 31

[C-5] **dayOfWeek** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyWeek.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.recurringType=everyWeek \implies self.dayOfWeek->notEmpty

[C-6] **hour** must be specified when **recurringType** is everyYear or everyMonth or everyWeek or everyDay.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.recurringType=everyYear or self.recurringType=everyMonth or self.recurringType=everyWeek or self.recurringType=everyDay \implies self.hour->notEmpty
[C-7] *hour* must be between 0 and 23 (inclusive) when specified.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.hour->notEmpty **implies** 0 <= hour <= 23

[C-8] *minute* must be specified when *recurringType* is not everyMinute.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.recurringType<>everyMinute **implies** self.minute->notEmpty

[C-9] *minute* must be between 0 and 59 (inclusive) when specified.

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: self.minute->notEmpty **implies** 0 <= self.minute <= 59

[C-10] *second* must be between 0 and 59 (inclusive).

**context** RecurringPointInTimeEvent

**inv**: 0 <= self.second <= 59
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16.1 Overview

The Warehouse Operation package contains classes recording the day-to-day operation of the warehouse processes.

The package covers three separate areas:

- Transformation Executions
- Measurements
- Change Requests

16.1.1 Transformation Executions

Details of the most recent executions of transformations can be recorded, identifying when they ran and whether they completed successfully. This can be used to determine how complete and up-to-date specific information in the data warehouse is.
An ActivityExecution represents an execution of a whole TransformationActivity, and a StepExecution object represents an execution of an individual TransformationStep. If a TransformationStep involves the use of an Operation, an associated StepExecution may reference a CallAction that records the actual arguments passed to the Operation.

These classes allow the lineage of data in a data warehouse to be preserved, by recording when and how it was derived, and where it came from.

16.1.2 Measurements

Measurement objects allow metrics to be held for any ModelElement. For example, they may be used to hold actual, estimated, or planned values for the size of a table.

16.1.3 Change Requests

ChangeRequests allow details of proposed changes affecting any ModelElement to be recorded. They may also be used to keep a historical record of changes implemented or rejected.

16.2 Organization of the Warehouse Operation Package

The Warehouse Operation package depends on the following packages:

- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Behavioral
- org.omg::CWM::Analysis::Transformation

Separate model diagrams are shown below for each of the three main areas supported by the package.
Figure 16-1 Transformation Executions

Figure 16-2 Measurements
16.3 Warehouse Operation Classes

The Warehouse Operation package contains the following classes, in alphabetical order:

- ActivityExecution
- ChangeRequest
- Measurement
- StepExecution
- TransformationExecution

16.3.1 ActivityExecution

An ActivityExecution is used to record details of a specific execution of a TransformationActivity.

Superclasses

TransformationExecution

Contained Elements

StepExecution
References

transformationActivity

Identifies the TransformationActivity of which this is an execution.

class: TransformationActivity
defined by: TransformationActivityExecutions::transformationActivity
multiplicity: exactly one

stepExecution

Identifies the StepExecutions that record the results of executing the individual TransformationSteps of the TransformationActivity.

class: StepExecution
defined by: ActivityStepExecutions::stepExecution
multiplicity: zero or more
inverse: StepExecution::activityExecution

16.3.2 ChangeRequest

This represents a request for change affecting one or more ModelElements. The change request may represent a proposed change or one that has been implemented or rejected.

Superclasses

ModelElement

Attributes

changeDescription

A description of the change.

type: String
multiplicity: exactly one

changeReason

The reason or justification for the ChangeRequest.

type: String
multiplicity: exactly one
status

The status of the ChangeRequest. This would normally contain a string such as proposed, agreed, implemented, or rejected.

type: String
multiplicity: exactly one

completed

Indicates that no further action is required for this change request; that is, it has either been implemented or been rejected.

type: Boolean
multiplicity: exactly one

requestDate

When the change request was raised.

type: Time
multiplicity: exactly one

completionDate

The date when all action on the change request was completed; that is, when implementation was completed or it was rejected.

type: Time
multiplicity: zero or one

References

modelElement

Identifies the ModelElement(s) to which the ChangeRequest applies.

class: ModelElement
defined by: ModelElementChangeRequest::modelElement
multiplicity: one or more

Constraints

A ChangeRequest instance must not apply to itself. [C-1]

A completionDate may only be provided for a completed ChangeRequest. [C-2]
16.3.3 Measurement

A Measurement object indicates the value of some attribute of an object. It can be the
number of rows in a table, the number of pages in an index, the number of different
values in a column, etc.

The flexibility of this class allows for product specific extensions, without changing
the model.

Superclasses

ModelElement

Attributes

value

The value of this Measurement.

type: Float

unit

The unit of measurement.

type: String

type

Identifies how the value was computed. The following values have specific meanings:

measure (measured value)
estimate (estimated value)
plan (planned value)
minimum (minimum value)
maximum (maximum value)
average (average value)

type: String

creationDate

When the value has been computed (see also effectiveDate).

type: Time

multiplicity: exactly one
**effectiveDate**

When the value is effective. For measured values, effective and creation dates should be the same. For estimated actual values, the creation date may be later than the effective date. For plan values, the effective date is normally later than the creation date.

- **type:** Time
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**References**

**modelElement**

Identifies the ModelElement to which the Measurement applies.

- **class:** ModelElement
- **defined by:** ModelElementMeasurement::modelElement
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

**Constraints**

A Measurement instance must not apply to itself. [C-3]

### 16.3.4 StepExecution

A StepExecution is used to record details of a specific execution of a TransformationStep.

**Superclasses**

TransformationExecution

**References**

**transformationStep**

Identifies the TransformationStep of which this is an execution.

- **class:** TransformationStep
- **defined by:** TransformationStepExecutions::transformationStep
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
activityExecution

Identifies an ActivityExecution of which this StepExecution is a part.

class: ActivityExecution
defined by: ActivityStepExecutions::activityExecution
multiplicity: zero or one
inverse: ActivityExecution::stepExecution

callAction

Where a TransformationStep involves the use of an Operation, a CallAction may be used to record details of the actual parameters used in the StepExecution.

class: CallAction
defined by: StepExecutionCallAction::callAction
multiplicity: zero or one

16.3.5 TransformationExecution

A TransformationExecution is used to record details of a specific execution.

Superclasses

ModelElement

Attributes

startDate

The date and time when the execution started.

type: Time
multiplicity: exactly one

endDate

The date and time when the execution ended.

type: Time
multiplicity: zero or one

inProgress

A boolean indicating whether or not the execution is in progress.

type: Boolean
multiplicity: exactly one
successful

A boolean indicating whether or not the execution completed successfully.

type: Boolean

status

An expression that may be used to provide status details of the execution. For example it could provide comments for a successful execution, or details of errors for an unsuccessful execution.

type: Expression

16.3.5.1 Constraints

If the TransformationExecution is not inProgress, the successful status and endDate attributes must be present, and endDate must not be earlier than startDate. [C-4]

16.4 Warehouse Operation Associations

The Warehouse Operation package contains the following associations, in alphabetical order:

- ActivityStepExecutions
- ModelElementChangeRequest
- ModelElementMeasurement
- StepExecutionCallAction
- TransformationActivityExecutions
- TransformationStepExecutions

16.4.1 ActivityStepExecutions

Identifies all the StepExecutions associated with an ActivityExecution.

Ends

activityExecution

Identifies the ActivityExecution of which the StepExecution is a part.

class: ActivityExecution

multiplicity: zero or one

aggregation: composite
16.4.2 ModelElementChangeRequest

Associates ChangeRequests with the ModelElement(s) that they affect.

Ends

modelElement

Identifies a ModelElement affected by a ChangeRequest.

class: ModelElement
multiplicity: one or more

changeRequest

Identifies a ChangeRequest for a ModelElement.

class: ChangeRequest
multiplicity: zero or more; ordered

16.4.3 ModelElementMeasurement

Associates a Measurement object to any ModelElement.

Ends

modelElement

Identifies the ModelElement to which a Measurement relates.

class: ModelElement
multiplicity: exactly one

measurement

Identifies a Measurement for a ModelElement.

class: Measurement
multiplicity: zero or more; ordered
16.4.4 StepExecutionCallAction

Where a TransformationStep involves the use of an Operation, this association between
StepExecution and CallAction allows the actual parameters used in a specific execution
of the TransformationStep to be recorded.

Ends

stepExecution

Identifies the StepExecution to which the CallAction applies.

class: StepExecution
multiplicity: zero or more

callAction

Identifies the CallAction for a StepExecution.

class: CallAction
multiplicity: zero or one

16.4.5 TransformationActivityExecutions

Identifies the ActivityExecutions that record details of each execution of a
TransformationActivity.

Ends

transformationActivity

Identifies the TransformationActivity.

class: TransformationActivity
multiplicity: exactly one

execution

Identifies an ActivityExecution recording details of a specific execution of a
TransformationActivity.

class: ActivityExecution
multiplicity: zero or more

16.4.6 TransformationStepExecutions

Identifies the StepExecutions that record details of each execution of a TransformationStep.
16

Ends

transformationStep

Identifies the TransformationStep.

class: TransformationStep

multiplicity: exactly one

execution

Identifies a StepExecution recording details of a specific execution of a TransformationStep.

class: StepExecution

multiplicity: zero or more

16.5 OCL Representation of Warehouse Operation Constraints

[C-1] A ChangeRequest instance must not apply to itself.

context ChangeRequest

inv: self.modelElement -> forAll (element | element <> self)

[C-2] A completionDate may only be provided for a completed ChangeRequest.

context ChangeRequest

inv: self.completionDate->notEmpty implies self.completed

[C-3] A Measurement instance must not apply to itself.

context Measurement

inv: self.modelElement <> self

[C-4] If the TransformationExecution is not inProgress, the successful status and endDate attributes must be present, and endDate must not be earlier than startDate.

context TransformationExecution

inv: self.inProgress=false implies (self.successful->notEmpty and self.status->notEmpty and self.endDate->notEmpty and self.endDate >= self.startDate)
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17.1 Introduction

This section identifies, at a very high level, points of both commonality and divergence between CWM and the following, existing metadata standards:

- The Meta Data Coalition’s Open Information Model, Version 1.0.
- The OLAP Council’s Multidimensional API (MDAPI), Version 2.0.

Only major commonalities or differences are emphasized. This section can serve as the starting point for any alignment effort one may want to undertake between CWM and any one of the other standards. However, it is not intended to be detailed enough to specify all possible requirements for alignment.
17.2 Background: Components of the OMG Metamodeling Architecture

The CWM specification addresses the metadata interchange requirement of the OMG repository architecture specific to the data warehousing domain. The CWM specification leverages the following standards:

- MOF, the Meta Object Facility, is an OMG metadata interface standard that can be used to define and manipulate a set of interoperable metamodels and their instances (models). The MOF also defines a simple meta-metamodel (based on the OMG UML - Unified Modeling Language) with sufficient semantics to describe metamodels in various domains starting with the domain of object analysis and design. CWM uses MOF as its meta-metamodel.

- UML, the Unified Modeling Language, is an OMG standard modeling language for specification, construction, visualization, and documentation of the artifacts of a software system. CWM uses UML as its graphical notation, and defines a base metamodel; that is, the CWM Object Model that is consistent with the core UML metamodel.

- XMI, or XML Metadata Interchange, is an OMG standard mechanism for the stream-based interchange of MOF-compliant metamodels. XMI is essentially a mapping of the W3C’s eXtensible Markup Language (XML) to the MOF. By being implicitly MOF-compliant, any CWM model instance can be interchanged by enabled tools using the facilities of XMI.

In summary, CWM is a domain-specific extension of the OMG’s Metamodelling Architecture, and as such, implicitly supports the MOF, UML, and XMI standards. Although CWM has certain “compatibilities” with various other standards (as outlined in subsequent sections), these compatibilities should be regarded as touch points for mapping or integration; they do not represent dependencies of any kind. CWM is not dependent upon any standards outside of those of the OMG Metamodelling Architecture.

17.3 CWM and MDC Meta Data Interchange Specification

17.3.1 Overview

The Meta Data Coalition’s MetaData Interchange Specification (MDIS) is a non-proprietary and extensible mechanism for the interchange of meta data between MDIS-aware tools.

MDIS Version 1.1 consists of a metamodel, which defines the syntax and semantics of the metadata to be exchanged, as well as the specification of a framework for supporting an actual MDIS implementation. The MDIS Metamodel is a hierarchically-structured, semantic database model that’s defined by a tag language. The metamodel consists of a number of generic, semantic constructs, such as Element, Record, View, Dimension, Level, and Subschema, plus a Relationship entity that can be used in the specification of associations between arbitrary source and target constructs. The MDIS metamodel may be extended through the use of named properties that are understood
to be tool-specific and not defined within MDIS. Interchange is accomplished via an ASCII file representation of an instance of this metamodel. Although support for an API is mentioned in the specification, no API definition is provided.

The MDIS Access Framework specifies several fairly general mechanisms that support the interchange of metamodel instances. The Tool and Configuration Profiles define semaphores that ensure consistent, bidirectional metadata exchange between tools. The MDIS Profile defines a number of system parameters (environment variables) that would be necessary in the definition of an MDIS deployment. Finally, Import and Export functions are exposed by the framework as the primary file interchange mechanisms for use by tools.

17.3.2 Comparison with CWM

Each of the following bullet items identifies a relevant comparison point between MDIS and CWM, and describes the degree to which the two standards either converge or diverge.

Scope

In general, the overall scope of the MDIS specification is considerably narrower than that of the CWM. Whereas the CWM defines a metamodel of a complete data warehouse (including various types of databases and data sources, specification of warehouse processes and deployment structures, and transformations between data sources and targets), MDIS is restricted to the specification and interchange of database schema concepts only. While MDIS is sufficiently general to specify just about any conceivable database schema, there is no explicit support for any process-oriented semantics. For example, an MDIS metamodel could define a mapping (association) between a relational source and OLAP target, but can not specify the transformation logic at the meta-level (this would have to be done within tool-specific content areas of the interchange structure).

Separation of Metamodels and Instances

MDIS is rather monolithic in that there is no crisp separation between the MDIS metamodel and its instances. Both are interchanged in a single ASCII file, with instances realized by values associated with metamodel tags. There is no provision for a separate definition of the metamodel itself, apart from an instance. It is not possible for two or more instances to refer to a single metamodel definition. Instead, the metamodel definition must be copied into each instance. In comparison, the CWM metamodel, by virtue of XMI, has a normative expression that's completely independent of any of its instances. This normative expression is in the form of an XML Document Type Definition (DTD), and instances, which are streamed via XML Documents, can simply contain references to their defining DTDs.
**Visual Modeling Support**

The MDIS metamodel has a “text-oriented” definition, with no obvious support for graphically-oriented expressions. The CWM metamodel, on the other hand, is an extension of the UML metamodel. This means that any graphical tool (CASE tool, Web browser, etc.) that understands the UML metamodel can also be easily enabled to render the CWM metamodel and, therefore, CWM model instances.

**Tag Language**

The tag language used to define the MDIS metamodel is specific to MDIS only. While non-proprietary in the sense of tool-specific implementations, it does not enjoy the same level of broad, industry acceptance that XML does today.

**API Support**

Since CWM is MOF-compliant, the CWM metamodel has inherent API support in terms of CORBA IDL. Furthermore, this API support can be mapped to almost any programming language for which an IDL (or straight MOF) mapping exists. MDIS, on the other hand, does not appear to support an API. This is a disadvantage because there is no way to acquire “fine-grained,” programmatic access to the MDIS metamodel.

**Relative Cost of Entry**

Implementing MDIS requires the writing of interpreters of the ASCII-based, MDIS metamodel to function according to the MDIS specification. On the other hand, an XMI rendering of CWM can be consumed and validated by any (relatively inexpensive or free) XML parser. The consuming XML application can then easily make use of other XML standard facilities (such as DOM) for browsing or manipulating the metamodel and its instance data.

In conclusion, CWM is more comprehensive in scope than MDIS 1.1. CWM is more powerful, more flexible, and easier to adopt and use than MDIS, mainly because it leverages facilities already defined by the OMG Metamodeling Architecture; that is, MOF, UML and XMI, and because there is widespread industry support for these standards and their attendant implementation technologies (such as XML parsers). Although CWM is oriented to the data warehousing environment, the degree of package separation in the CWM metamodel means that submodels can easily be co-opted for other purposes. Anything that can be accomplished using MDIS can be accomplished using CWM.

However, in all fairness, it should be noted that MDIS is a relatively older standard that was crafted prior to the widespread acceptance of technologies such as UML and XML, and that it could not have possibly leveraged such technologies at the time it was drafted. MDIS represents a noble early attempt at defining a metadata interchange standard and is a baseline against which subsequent standards must be compared. At the time of this writing, the MDC has decided that MDIS will be superseded by Open Information Model (OIM), which is discussed next.
17.4 CWM and MDC Open Information Model

17.4.1 Overview

The Meta Data Coalition’s Open Information Model (OIM) is a non-proprietary and technology-neutral, and extensible specification of the core metadata types that are representative of enterprise-wide information architectures and environments. This enterprise-wide view includes analysis and design, objects and components, database and warehousing, and knowledge management, so in this sense, the scope of the OIM is much broader than that of the CWM, which is focused primarily on the data warehousing domain.

MDC-OIM was originally developed primarily by Microsoft Corporation and Platinum Technology. OIM was subsequently transferred to the MDC, under whose auspices it continues to evolve as a public-domain specification.

MDC-OIM uses UML as its formal specification language. OIM defines common representations of various types of data sources and targets (record, relational, OLAP) and transformations between sources and targets. The OIM metamodel derives from the UML metamodel, and the OIM specification claims that OIM has a repository orientation, but unlike CWM, is not compliant with the MOF. OIM does not use XMI as an interchange mechanism. Rather, it uses a specific OIM to XML encoding to generate interchange files.

The following subsections describe commonalities and differences between CWM and OIM. In the interests of specificity, these comparisons are limited to the salient features of the Database Schema, Data Transformation, OLAP Schema, and Record-Oriented Database Schema models. These comparisons can serve as the starting point for an alignment exercise between CWM and OIM in these model areas, but it should be noted that not all possible points of convergence and divergence are covered here.

17.4.2 Comparison with CWM: Database Schema

The MDC-OIM Database Schema is a metamodel describing relational data sources. Just as with CWM, the purpose of the relational metamodel is to provide a means by which tools may exchange commonly-understood descriptions of relational schemas, with the possible inclusion of tool-specific extensions. It is modeled largely after the ANSI SQL-92 standard. Here are the major comparison points between the CWM Relational Package and the OIM Database Schema:

- **Reference standards.** OIM is based on the SQL-92 standard, while CWM is based on the SQL-99 standard and is compatible with JDBC.

- **Base metaclasses.** Both OIM and CWM have fairly similar base metaclass structures, centered on the notion of column set and the subsequent derivation of table, view, and query from the column set.

- **Keys and indexes.** The concepts of keys (unique keys, foreign keys) and indexes are defined in the CWM as CWM Foundation metaclasses, so they have general applicability to other data models within the CWM, not just the CWM Relational
Package. OIM confines keys and indexes to its relational schema. Hence, only OIM
data source models that derive from, or are based on, the Database Schema, can
provide these concepts.

- **Catalog and schema.** Both the CWM and OIM relational models support the basic
structure of catalogs containing schemas and schemas, in turn, containing all other
relational objects.

- **Deployment structures.** The OIM generally provides Logical and Deployment
subclasses of all of its major semantic classes throughout the OIM Database
Schema. For example, LogicalTable and DeployedTable both derive from the
(semantic) Table metaclass. However, these Logical and Deployed subclasses are
generally not defined much further, except DeployedCatalog is represented as being
owned by a DataSource, which in turn has associations with metaclasses
representing Connections and Providers. Note that most of the OIM models derive
from the Database Schema model; hence, the ultimate deployment of any part of the
OIM must be via mappings to the Database Schema (relational) metamodel. The
overall deployment structures of the CWM metamodel, by comparison, are much
more general than this. CWM defines a Software Deployment metamodel that
defines concepts of providers, data managers, and connections. Any logical data
model (whether Relational, Multidimensional, Record) models its own deployment
by mapping to an appropriate metaclass of the CWM Software Deployment
package. For example, the Catalog metaclass of the CWM Relational metamodel is
implicitly owned by the DataManager metaclass of the Software Deployment
metamodel, and this metamodel in turn relates the physical DataManager to its
associated DataProviders, ProviderConnections, Machine, Site, and most
importantly, deployment-specific TypeMappings (which in turn derive from the
CWM Foundation package).

### 17.4.3 Comparison with CWM: Data Transformations

The MDC-OIM Data Transformations metamodel, like its CWM counterpart, defines
metadata that describes the processes that map and transform the contents of various
source and target data stores. This might include, for example, the transformation of
operational data to a normalized, relational representation or analysis-oriented store.
Both also provide facilities whereby data lineage may be tracked across a series of
transformations.

There are, however, some fundamental differences between the two metamodels. In
particular, the OIM Data Transformation model is specific to the OIM Database
Schema model. In its current form, it can describe relational-to-relational
transformations only, and has certain dependencies on the Database Schema package
(for example, the CodeDecodeSet derives from Database Schema Columns).

The CWM Transformation package, on the other hand, is more generalized and is not
tied to any one particular data store or schema. This is because the CWM
Transformation package describes transformational mappings in terms of the Object
Model core metaclasses of Classifier and Feature. Hence, transformation mappings
may be defined on any CWM metaclasses that derive from these metaclasses.
For example, under CWM, Relational Tables and Multidimensional Dimensions derive from Object Model Class, respectively, and CWM Relational Columns and Multidimensional DimensionedObjects derive from Object Model Attribute, respectively. So the same Transformation metamodel can be used to describe both relational-to-relational mappings, as well as relational-to-multidimensional mappings.

The CWM and MDC-OIM metamodels are most similar, however, in their overall representation of the transformation process. Both metamodels support the specification of transformations in terms of TransformationSteps, TransformationTasks, and dependencies or constraints between steps. Both support the generic specification of Transformation logic based on expressions; however, CWM Transformations can be specified using either an opaque expression (a textual string) or a tree-based expression structure (which comes from the CWM Foundation package’s Expression model). Using structured expressions further facilitates the tracking of transformation lineage.

The historical records of transformations are modeled in similar ways in CWM and MDC-OIM. OIM’s StepExecution and ActivityExecution correspond to similar objects in the CWM Warehouse Operation package.

### 17.4.4 Comparison with CWM: OLAP Schema

MDC-OIM provides an OLAP Schema metamodel for describing the use of multidimensional database technology within the enterprise in support of advanced business analytics and decision support capabilities. OLAP technology has broad applicability, both within the data warehousing environment, specifically, and across the enterprise, in general. Hence, both CWM and OIM have a requirement for representing OLAP and multidimensional metadata.

The CWM and MDC-OIM OLAP metamodels have many similarities, but many fundamental differences, as well. Perhaps the most fundamental difference is in the overall orientation of the two metamodels.

The CWM OLAP metamodel is a pure, semantic model of general OLAP concepts, and does not define any particular logical or physical deployment constructs of its own. This is done for two reasons:

- OLAP and multidimensional concepts (what the user sees) tend to be rather abstract in nature and very broad in applicability; for example, notions such as “dimension” and “dimensioned variable” are concepts that span the enterprise and really aren’t specific to any particular technology that provides computational support for such concepts.

- OLAP concepts may be implemented in many different ways, depending on the objectives of the enterprise and the technologies available. For example, OLAP applications are often implemented using either relational database technology (ROLAP), multidimensional database servers (MOLAP), or some hybrid mixture of both relational and multidimensional technologies.

So the CWM OLAP metamodel defines generic OLAP concepts only and leverages the CWM Transformation metamodel to map OLAP metaclasses to metaclasses of other packages that could be used to describe logical models of implementations (for
example, the CWM Relational and Multidimensional metamodels). Those logical models, in turn, rely on the Software Deployment metamodel to describe their actual, physical deployments.

The MDC-OIM OLAP model, on the other hand, is largely derived from the OIM Database Schema model (in the same manner that the Data Transformation model is). For example, Cubes and Partitions are ultimately derived from ColumnSet. This may have the effect of restricting the usage of the OIM OLAP model to the representation of relational-OLAP constructs only.

The OIM OLAP model also includes a number of logical and physical deployment metaclasses, such as OLAPServer, DataSource, and Connection metaclasses, plus DeployedOLAPDatabase and LogicalOLAPDatabase subclasses, in keeping with the OIM’s overall dichotomization of the concepts of logical versus deployed subclasses. As stated earlier in the discussion on the relational Database Schema, there is no need for the CWM OLAP metamodel to include these kinds of metaclasses, since logical descriptions are implicitly defined by transformation mappings of OLAP semantics to more logical constructs (for example, relational), and the physical deployment metaclasses are provided within a single, Software Deployment metamodel.

Areas where the CWM OLAP and OIM OLAP metamodels are mostly (though not completely) similar include the following:

- **Cubes and Dimensions.** Both metamodels support the concept of Cubes and Dimensions being separate from one another and both contained within an OLAP Database (called Schema in CWM). Both support the special designation of a Time Dimension, although the CWM OLAP metamodel further defines a Measures Dimension. Both metamodels also support the concepts of virtual versus physical Cubes, as well as the concept of a Cubes being composed from sub-cubes (called Cube Regions by CWM and Partitions by OIM). However, OIM includes the notion of an Aggregation metaclass, which represents pre-calculated aggregations in relational stores, generally what one might find in a typical, relational Star-schema deployment of OLAP. CWM provides no such concept, because this is regarded as being an implementation detail that would be addressed at the model instance level.

- **Levels and Hierarchies.** Both OLAP metamodels support the concept of Hierarchy as being a separate entity from its owning Dimension. Both metamodels support the concept of multiple Hierarchies per Dimension. Both metamodels also support the concepts of Dimension Levels and the association of Dimension Levels with Dimension Hierarchies, and both also define mapping constructs that enable Hierarchies and Levels to be mapped to logical deployment structures. However, within the OIM OLAP metamodel, these deployment mappings are explicitly geared toward a relational database (and optionally Star-Schema) deployment, whereas the CWM OLAP contains mapping constructs that derive from more general CWM Transformation mapping metaclasses and, hence, can be used to specify deployment mappings to any conceivable logical structure that might be supported elsewhere within the CWM metamodel.
17.4.5 Comparison with CWM: Record-Oriented Database Schema

The MDC-OIM Record-Oriented Database Schema is a metamodel describing record-oriented data sources. Just as with CWM, the purpose of the record-oriented metamodel is to provide a means by which tools may exchange commonly-understood descriptions of record-oriented data resources, with the possible inclusion of tool-specific extensions. Here are the major comparison points between the CWM Record package and the OIM Record-Oriented Database Schema:

- **Scope.** OIM limits the scope of its record-oriented model to database schemas. CWM, in contrast, permits the description of a broader range of record data resources including both traditional record-oriented resources such as databases, files, and programmatic data structures and non-traditional, hierarchical data resources such as documents, reports, and forms.

- **Specificity.** OIM includes metaclasses supporting a number of language-specific constructs such as COBOL renaming and data structure overlay capabilities and source management constructs such as Copylibs. Many of these constructs are not reusable by other programming languages that support similar notions. CWM, on the other hand, models such capabilities in a general fashion and relegates language-specific constructs to the appropriate language extension packages.

17.5 CWM and OLAP Council/MDAPI

17.5.1 Overview

The OLAP Council’s Multidimensional API (MDAPI) is a non-proprietary specification for an object-oriented API that exposes a full range of OLAP functions that a given vendor’s implementation of an OLAP product might want to support. This includes: Server connection and login, Metadata querying functions, multidimensional data querying functions, generic filtering and sorting capabilities, and error handling and progress monitoring functions. Vendors implementing the MDAPI may also add their own extensions wherever necessary, through pass-through capabilities inherent in the MDAPI.

The MDAPI provides a query-oriented interface to an OLAP metadata/data provider (such as an OLAP server) that can be used to expose both metadata and data cell contents of the provider, and supports the incremental modification of queries, as well as the navigation of result sets and extraction of values from result sets.

17.5.2 Comparison with CWM

There are a number of fundamental differences between the MDAPI and the CWM that make direct comparisons somewhat difficult.
First of all, the MDAPI is an implementation model, rather than a metamodel. The MDAPI primarily defines interfaces that can be used to query metadata from an OLAP metadata provider, which usually (but not necessarily) means a commercially-available OLAP server. For example, an OLAP server can utilize both the CWM OLAP metamodel and the MDAPI in the following manner:

The server initially consumes a CWM model instance and sets up its internal, multidimensional metadata structures accordingly. After the server has been loaded with data input values and calculations, etc., are performed, clients of the server could then issue multidimensional queries against the server through the MDAPI. This has the benefit of providing a unified metadata instance and data querying mechanism. For example, a user can define several metadata queries to subset Dimension Members and then issue a data query that uses the metadata query result sets as the basis for forming and exposing a data result (essentially a cube region or cube view). In this scenario, CWM is used to define the core OLAP metadata to a CWM-enabled provider, and the provider exposes the MDAPI as its primary client interface for exposing both metadata instances and multidimensional data values.

Note that, since a CWM model instance is MOF-compliant, instances of CWM metaclasses have inherent support for CORBA (or programming language mapped) interfaces that provide access and navigation of the model itself. However, this is not necessarily sufficient for integrated multidimensional metadata and data querying, which requires support for generating and navigating result sets, among other things (since the CWM OLAP metamodel is a semantic model and not an implementation model, it defines neither behavioral semantics, nor interfaces). Hence, the MDAPI and CWM can play rather complementary roles in the deployment of a multidimensional data server.

The key to integrating the CWM and the MDAPI in the manner described above is through the alignment of the CWM OLAP metamodel and MDAPI data model, a conceptual model that defines the semantic underpinnings of the metadata objects and interfaces. Alignment, in this case, would generally consist of mapping the major classes of the MDAPI data model to the CWM OLAP metaclasses. The following paragraphs do not attempt such a detailed mapping/derivation, but rather just point out some of the major areas of correspondence between the two models:

- **Cube.** MDAPI, being primarily a query model, does not define the notion of Cube as a persistent, multidimensional database, but rather defines a Cube View. Cube View corresponds closely to the CWM OLAP concept of Cube Region, if the Cube Region’s formula is interpreted as the multidimensional query processed by the Cube View.

- **Dimension.** Both the MDAP data model and CWM OLAP metamodel support similar concepts of Dimension and Dimension types.

- **MemberSelection.** Both models support the concept of a member query on a Dimension. This is called MemberSelection by CWM, and Membership by MDAPI. In both models, this member query is expression based.

- **Hierarchy and Level.** Both models support the concepts of Hierarchy and Level and associations between them. A Dimension can have an arbitrary number of Hierarchies in either model. In the MDAPI data model, Dimension, Hierarchy, and
Level are all subclasses of Membership, and are all, therefore, expression (query) based by default. In the CWM OLAP metamodel, only Level derives from MemberSelection, but the correspondence in this regard is close enough.

- **Properties.** The MDAPI data model supports user-defined property types and values as a means of extending the core data model. A client of the metadata and data query objects (MemberSelection and CubeView) can specify both searches and sorts based on property types and value or ranges of values. The closest equivalent the CWM OLAP metamodel has in this regard is the general association to UML Attributes that’s inherited by any subclasses of the core UML Class. So, at least at the instance level, there is a close correspondence between both models in this regard, as well.
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18.1 Introduction

This section describes the required and optional points of compliance with the CWM specification.

18.2 Required Compliance

18.2.1 CWM Metamodel Compliance

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform is required to implement the following packages:

- ObjectModel
- Foundation
- Transformation
- Warehouse Process
- Warehouse Operation
18.2.2 CWM XML Compliance

The CWM XML is a normative part of CWM. This definition must be used when interchanging the CWM metamodel, in accordance with the XMI specification.

18.2.3 CWM IDL Compliance

The CWM IDL is a normative part of CWM. This definition, or equivalent OMG-compliant language bindings, must be used for programmatic access to warehouse metadata conforming to the CWM metamodel, in accordance with the MOF specification.

18.2.4 CWM DTD Compliance

The CWM DTD is a normative part of CWM. This definition must be used when interchanging warehouse metadata conforming to the CWM metamodel, in accordance with the XMI specification.

18.3 Optional Compliance Points

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that supports relational data resources is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

- Relational

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that supports record data resources is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

- Record

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that supports multidimensional data resources is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

- Multidimensional

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that supports XML data resources is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

- XML

A CWM-compliant warehouse tool that provides data transformation functionality is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

- Transformation

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that provides OLAP functionality is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:
• OLAP

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that provides data mining functionality is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

• Data Mining

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that provides information visualization functionality is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

• Information Visualization

A CWM-compliant warehouse platform or warehouse tool that provides or handles business metadata is required to implement the following package and its dependencies:

• Business Nomenclature
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19.1 Overview

The CWM Foundation, in its DataTypes package, provides metamodel types supporting definition of data types required by data sources, data targets, and tools that implement transformations between them. Although these metamodel types are sufficient to permit the definition of most data types, they do not themselves actually create definitions of data types. This is because the metamodel types are M2 level types whereas data type definitions are M1 level definitions.

This approach to the creation of data types was chosen because the specific data type needs of individual transformation tools and source and target data systems are sufficiently different that their interchange cannot be specified fully in advance. Unfortunately, data type incompatibility is often true even for systems that claim to support the same data language (consider, for example, the many variants of “SQL”).
Even though some tools and systems may enjoy compatibility for commonly used data types (such as integer and string), systems that are compatible across the full range of their data types are indeed rare.

Data type incompatibilities between systems result from a number of factors including specific characteristics of hardware implementation platforms, software vendors’ desire to differentiate their products in the marketplace, and other, largely historical, causes. These factors combine to make definition of a common set of data types supporting the diverse, and frequently incompatible, needs of existing and future CWM-compliant tools impossible in any practical way. Consequently, modelers of software systems in CWM may find it necessary to create both data type definitions compatible with their tools and to create TypeMapping instances to indicate mappings between their tools’ data types and the native data types of systems with which they interchange data.

Nevertheless, the CWM recognizes the importance of shared data types -- especially those based on industry standards such as CORBA IDL, SQL and Java -- as a means of promoting data interchange between disparate systems. Consequently, this chapter provides a set of data type definitions for several widely used industry specifications. These data type definitions serve two purposes within the CWM:

- Provide a pre-defined basis for data interchange among diverse tools and systems that support a selection of standard data types.

- Provide examples of the appropriate use of the CWM Foundation’s metamodel types for creating tool-specific data type definitions.

To further promote understanding of the appropriate use of other CWM Foundation metamodel types, this chapter also contains examples showing how tool-specific expressions can be mapped into the CWM Foundation’s expression metamodel types.

In general, the CWM packages only support data type attributes that are considered necessary for interchange of information between systems; attributes that are thought to be system specific are left to tool modelers. When such attributes must be represented, modelers may create model-specific types that derive from supplied CWM types and house the necessary attributes therein.

The information and definitions in this chapter, while considered important to accomplishing the overall goals of CWM, are supplementary in nature and are not considered a normative part of the CWM specification.

19.2 Organization of the CWM Data Types

The CWM DataTypes contains definitions of data types for the CORBA IDL language [CORBA], the SQL-99 language [SQL], and the Java programming language [Java]. Because they are M1 level entities, data type definitions for these languages are expressed in a tabular form that indicate the instances of M2 level CWM metaclasses that can be created in an appropriate CWM metadata store to define the M1 level data types. The data type definitions might then be used to create M1 level models appropriate for specific tools and software systems.
The example M1 instances define only primitive data types; structured data types are not generally defined in these examples. (However, the CORBA IDL metamodel types required to define M1 structured types are provided as an example of how this might be done, if needed.) Data types that require no additional information to complete their definition, such as SQL’s INTEGER type, are completely defined. However, data types that are in some sense “parameterized,” such as SQL’s CHARACTER(n) and FIXED(p, s) data types, are incompletely defined because it is not practical to anticipate all possible parameter values! Tools that need to declare such parameterized data types should do so as they encounter them. The data type instances in this chapter define a few parameterized data types, where appropriate, as examples.

As an example of appropriate usage of the CWM Foundation’s TypeMapping metamodel to indicate preferred and non-preferred mappings between the data types of different tools and software systems, the last section of the chapter contains some example mappings between Java and CORBA IDL and between Java and SQL-99.

19.3 CORBA IDL Data Types

The CORBA IDL Data Types package depends on the following packages:
- org.omg::CWM::ObjectModel::Core
- org.omg::CWM::Foundation::DataTypes

19.3.1 Overview

A CORBA IDL metamodel extension to the CWM Foundation is required to support the CORBA IDL data types in the CWM model. It is provided here as an example of extending the DataTypes metamodel and is not a normative part of the CWM specification.

The chief motivation for the creation of this metamodel is the need to provide a typeCode attribute for CORBA IDL data types. These extensions also serve as an illustration of the use of CWM Foundation metamodel types as superclasses of the metamodel types for a specific language environment.

19.3.2 Organization of the CORBA IDL Data Types

Because the M1 data type instances are of primary import and because of the length of the metamodel subsection, the M1 instances are described before the metamodel types. When reviewing the M1 instances, refer to the appropriate metamodel type definitions and Figure 19-1 for more information about metamodel types.
19.3.3 CORBA IDL Data Type Instances

Data type instances for CORBA IDL non-structured data types are presented in the following table. The M1 data types instances correspond to those described in the CORBA IDL language specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORBA IDL Data Type</th>
<th>Instance of</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>any</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octet</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_octet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_char</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wchar</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_wchar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>short</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long long</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_longlong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unsigned short</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_ushort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unsigned long</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_ulong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19.3.4 CORBA IDL Data Types Classes

CORBA IDL metamodel classes are provided to support the definition of CORBA data types that cannot be represented simply as instances of the IDLType class. This group of types includes all CORBA structured and array-like data types as well as those that also derive from the types defined in the CWM Foundation’s Data Types conceptual area.

19.3.4.1 Alias

The Alias type represents CORBA IDL type aliases. Aliases must be represented by their own type so that they can have a typeCode attribute as required by the CORBA IDL definition.

Superclasses

IDLType
TypeAlias

19.3.4.2 ArrayType

The ArrayType class represents CORBA IDL array data types.

Superclasses

IDLType

Attributes

length

The number of elements in the array. Multiply dimensioned arrays are treated as arrays of array in CORBA IDL.

type: Integer

multiplicity: exactly one

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORBA IDL Data Type</th>
<th>Instance of</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>unsigned long long</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_ulonglong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>float</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_double</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long double</td>
<td>IDLType</td>
<td>typeCode = tk_longdouble</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References

**elementType**

The type of elements of an array.

- **class:** IDLType
- **defined by:** ArrayElementType::elementType
- **multiplicity:** exactly one

19.3.4.3 **EnumType**

The EnumType class represents the CORBA IDL enumerated data type, enum.

**Superclasses**

- IDLType
- Enumeration

19.3.4.4 **ExceptionType**

The ExceptionType class represents the CORBA IDL exception data type.

**Superclasses**

- IDLType

19.3.4.5 **FixedType**

The FixedType class represent CORBA IDL fixed data types.

**Superclasses**

- IDLType

**Attributes**

**digits**

Number of digits of precision.

- **type:** Integer
- **multiplicity:** exactly one
scale

Number of implied decimal places. Scale may be either positive (implied left decimal places) or negative (implied right decimal places).

type: Integer
multiplicity: zero or more

19.3.4.6 IDLType

The IDLType class is a common superclass for all CORBA IDL data type classes that require a typeCode.

Superclasses

DataType

Attributes

typeCode

The type code value identifying a CORBA IDL data type.

type: TypeCode
multiplicity: exactly one

19.3.4.7 SequenceType

The SequenceType class represents CORBA IDL sequence data types. Sequences are single dimensioned arrays of a user-specified type.

Superclasses

IDLType

Attributes

length

The number of elements in the sequence expressed in type units.

type: Integer
multiplicity: exactly one


References

**elementType**

The type of elements of a sequence.

class: IDLType
defined by: SequenceElementType::elementType
multiplicity: exactly one

19.3.4.8 **StringType**

The StringType class represents CORBA IDL string data types.

**Superclasses**

IDLType

**Attributes**

**length**

The number of characters in the string. If length is zero, the string is considered unbounded.
type: Integer
multiplicity: exactly one

19.3.4.9 **StructType**

The StructType class represents CORBA IDL user-defined data types created with the typedef keyword.

**Superclasses**

IDLType

19.3.4.10 **UnionType**

The UnionType class represents CORBA IDL union data types.

**Superclasses**

IDLType

Union
19.3.4.11 \textbf{WstringType}

The \textit{WstringType} class represents CORBA IDL \textit{wstring} data types. A CORBA \textit{wstring} is an ordered sequence of \textit{wchars}, each of which represents a ‘wide’ character from any character set.

\textbf{Superclasses}

IDLType

\textbf{Attributes}

\textit{length}

The number of \textit{wchars} in the string. If \textit{length} is zero, the string is considered unbounded.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{type}: Integer
  \item \textit{multiplicity}: exactly one
\end{itemize}

19.3.5 \textbf{CORBAL IDL Data Types Associations}

19.3.5.1 \textbf{ArrayElementType} \hspace{1cm} \textit{Protected}

Associates an ArrayType with the type of its elements.

\textbf{Ends}

\textit{arrayType}

Arrays having elements of this type.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{class}: ArrayType
  \item \textit{multiplicity}: zero or more
\end{itemize}

\textit{elementType}

Identifies the type of an array’s elements.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{class}: IDLType
  \item \textit{multiplicity}: exactly one
\end{itemize}

19.3.5.2 \textbf{SequenceElementType} \hspace{1cm} \textit{Protected}

Identifies the type of elements in a sequence.
Ends

**elementType**

Identifies the type of elements in a sequence.

- **class**: IDLType
- **multiplicity**: exactly one

**sequence**

Sequences of this type.

- **class**: SequenceType
- **multiplicity**: zero or more

### 19.4J Java Data Types

Creation of primitive data type instances for the Java language is straightforward because they are all simple, unparameterized types. These primitive data types are used for simple declarations and for building more complex data types implemented as Java classes. Even such common data types as String are implemented as classes in Java. The CWM ObjectModel provides sufficient support for the description of Java classes that CWM classes (notably, Class and Attribute) should be used directly to define any needed Java classes. Consequently, CWM need not provide metamodel classes supporting the definition of Java classes or primitive data types -- the available CWM classes are sufficient.

The Java language specification provides additional semantics about the meaning of, and restrictions on, primitive data types. For example, the `int` data type is restricted to integer values in the range \(-2^7\) to \(2^7 - 1\). However, because these restrictions are constant for all variables of type `int`, they do not need to be encoded into the metamodel. Consequently, the `DataType` class is sufficient as the container of all Java primitive data types as is shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Instance of</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>byte</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>short</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>float</td>
<td>DataType</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19.5 SQL-99 Data Types

The data types defined by the SQL-99 specification are created within CWM as instances of the Relational package’s SQLSimpleType metaclass. These data type instances are a superset of those defined by the SQL-92 specification and follow the SQL-99 specification’s Data_Type_Descriptor information. Practical implementations of SQL-based systems will have variations on the types presented here; consult relevant product information for details.

The SQL-99 data type instances provide a number of examples of the use of “parameterized” types. Because the CWM Relational package separates the notions of data type and column, the data type instances do not contain all seemingly relevant data type parameters. Rather, the Column instances associated with a particular Table instance contain the values of some parameters. For example, for a Column instance of declared data type DECIMAL(5, 2), the precision (“5”) and scale (“2”) would be recorded in the attributes Column::precision and Column::scale, respectively, whereas the DECIMAL data type instance would have its SQLSimpleType::precisionRadix attribute set to the value 10, meaning that the precision and scale values are stored as base-10 numeric values. Similarly, a Column instance declared as CHARACTER(80) would have the Column::length attribute set to 80 while the CHARACTER data type’s SQLSimpleType::characterOctetLength attribute would be set to value 8 indicating that the data type contains 8-bit character codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SQL-99 Data Type</th>
<th>Instance of</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIT</td>
<td>SQLSimpleType</td>
<td>characterMaximumLength = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecisionRadix = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericScale = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dateTimePrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT VARYING</td>
<td>SQLSimpleType</td>
<td>characterMaximumLength = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecisionRadix = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericScale = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dateTimePrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BINARY LARGE OBJECT*</td>
<td>SQLSimpleType</td>
<td>characterMaximumLength = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecisionRadix = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericScale = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dateTimePrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHARACTER</td>
<td>SQLSimpleType</td>
<td>characterMaximumLength = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecisionRadix = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericScale = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dateTimePrecision = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQL-99 Data Type</td>
<td>Instance of</td>
<td>Attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| CHARACTER VARYING | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = IDV  
characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)  
numericPrecision = null  
numericPrecisionRadix = null  
numericScale = null  
dateTimePrecision = null |
| CHARACTER LARGE OBJECT* | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = IDV  
characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)  
numericPrecision = null  
numericPrecisionRadix = null  
numericScale = null  
dateTimePrecision = null |
| NATIONAL CHARACTER | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = IDV  
characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)  
numericPrecision = null  
numericPrecisionRadix = null  
numericScale = null  
dateTimePrecision = null |
| NATIONAL CHARACTER VARYING | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = IDV  
characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)  
numericPrecision = null  
numericPrecisionRadix = null  
numericScale = null  
dateTimePrecision = null |
| NATIONAL CHARACTER LARGE OBJECT* | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = IDV  
characterOctetLength = null (defined in Column)  
numericPrecision = null  
numericPrecisionRadix = null  
numericScale = null  
dateTimePrecision = null |
| NUMERIC | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = null  
characterOctetLength = null  
numericPrecision = null (defined in Column)  
numericPrecisionRadix = 10  
numericScale = null (defined in Column)  
dateTimePrecision = null |
| DECIMAL | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = null  
characterOctetLength = null  
numericPrecision = null (defined in Column)  
numericPrecisionRadix = 10  
numericScale = null (defined in Column)  
dateTimePrecision = null |
| INTEGER | SQLSimpleType | characterMaximumLength = null  
characterOctetLength = null  
numericPrecision = IDV  
numericPrecisionRadix = 2 or 10 (IDV)  
numericScale = 0  
dateTimePrecision = null |
### SQL-99 Data Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SQL-99 Data Type</th>
<th>Instance of</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SMALLINT                  | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = 2 or 10 (IDV)  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = 0  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = null |
| FLOAT                     | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = 2  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = null  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = null |
| REAL                      | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = 2  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = null  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = null |
| DOUBLE PRECISION          | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = 2  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = null  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = null |
| BOOLEAN*                  | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = null  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = null  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = null |
| DATE                      | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = null  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = IDV |
| TIME                      | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = null  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = IDV |
| TIME WITH TIMEZONE        | SQLSimpleType     | characterMaximumLength = null  
 |                           |                   | characterOctetLength = null  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecision = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericPrecisionRadix = IDV  
 |                           |                   | numericScale = null  
 |                           |                   | dateTimePrecision = IDV |
19.6 Type Mapping Examples

To promote understanding of the appropriate use of the CWM Foundation’s TypeMapping package for recording mappings between data types defined by different software systems, this section presents example instances illustrating how the CORBA IDL and Java primitive data types can be mapped to each other and how the Java and SQL-99 primitive data types can be mapped to each other. These mappings are obtained from relevant published standards documents: [IDL-Java], [Java-IDL] and [JDBC]. Although the CWM Relational package supports the SQL-99 standard, the type mappings between Java and SQL are derived from the JDBC specification which uses X/Open CLI SQL as its SQL language standard rather than SQL-99. Consequently, the Java/SQL mappings are not exactly equivalent to those that would be needed to map to SQL-99 but should serve to illustrate the mapping techniques required. SQL typeNumbers from the java.sql.Types file can be used to uniquely identify SQL types.

The following tables present sample type mapping instances for CORBA IDL/Java and Java/SQL-99 mappings. Because TypeMapping instances are unidirectional, two instances -- one for each direction -- are required to indicate that a pair of data types can be mutually interchanged. To keep the size of the tables manageable, only type mapping instances with isBestMatch = True are shown; other, non-preferred mappings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SQL-99 Data Type</th>
<th>Instance of</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIMESTAMP</td>
<td>SQLSimpleType</td>
<td>characterMaximumLength = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>characterOctetLength = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecision = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecisionRadix = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericScale = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dateTimePrecision = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMESTAMP WITH TIMEZONE</td>
<td>SQLSimpleType</td>
<td>characterMaximumLength = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>characterOctetLength = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecision = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecisionRadix = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericScale = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dateTimePrecision = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERVAL</td>
<td>SQLSimpleType</td>
<td>characterMaximumLength = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>characterOctetLength = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecision = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericPrecisionRadix = IDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>numericScale = null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dateTimePrecision = IDV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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can be added as necessary to support particular implementation needs. Also, values for the `isLossy` attribute of `TypeMapping` instances are omitted because their precise values may be implementation dependent.

**Table 19-1** `TypeMapping` instances mapping CORBA IDL data types to Java data types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SourceType (IDL)</th>
<th>TargetType (Java)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>char</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wchar</td>
<td>char</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octet</td>
<td>byte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>string</td>
<td><code>java.lang.String</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wstring</td>
<td><code>java.lang.String</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>short</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unsigned short</td>
<td>unsigned short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long</td>
<td>int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unsigned long</td>
<td>int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long long</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unsigned long long</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>float</td>
<td>float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double</td>
<td>double</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed</td>
<td><code>java.math.BigDecimal</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 19-2** `TypeMapping` instances mapping Java data types to CORBA IDL data types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SourceType (Java)</th>
<th>TargetType (IDL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>void</td>
<td>void</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>wchar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>byte</td>
<td>octet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>short</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long</td>
<td>long long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>float</td>
<td>float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double</td>
<td>double</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 19-3 TypeMapping instances mapping X/Open CLI SQL data types to Java data type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SourceType (X/Open CLI SQL)</th>
<th>TargetType (Java)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARCHAR</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONGVARCHAR</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMERIC</td>
<td>java.math.BigDecimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECIMAL</td>
<td>java.math.BigDecimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT</td>
<td>boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TINYINT</td>
<td>byte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMALLINT</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEGER</td>
<td>int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIGINT</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL</td>
<td>float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOAT</td>
<td>double</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUBLE</td>
<td>double</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BINARY</td>
<td>byte[]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARBINARY</td>
<td>byte[]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONGVARBINARY</td>
<td>byte[]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>java.sql.Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>java.sql.Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMESTAMP</td>
<td>java.sql.Timestamp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19-4 TypeMapping instances mapping Java data types to X/Open CLI SQL data types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SourceType (Java)</th>
<th>TargetType (X/Open CLI SQL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>VARCHAR (or LONGVARCHAR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.math.BigDecimal</td>
<td>NUMERIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>BIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>INTEGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long</td>
<td>BIGINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Float</td>
<td>REAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>DOUBLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 19-4  TypeMapping instances mapping Java data types to X/Open CLI SQL data types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SourceType (Java)</th>
<th>TargetType (X/Open CLI SQL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>byte[]</td>
<td>VARBINARY (or LONGVARBINARY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.sql.Date</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.sql.Time</td>
<td>TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.sql.Timestamp</td>
<td>TIMESTAMP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References

A.1 Normative

[MOF] - MOF, an adopted standard of the OMG. http://www.omg.org


[XML] - XML 1.0, an adopted standard of the W3C. http://www.w3c.org

A.2 Non-Normative

[CORBA] - CORBA/IIOP 2.3.1 Specification, 99-10-07


[OIM] - MDC Open Information Model, Version 1.0, 1999


[WFM] - Workflow Management Facility (OMG, bom/98-06-07)

Glossary

This glossary defines the terms that are used to describe CWM. The glossary includes concepts from the Meta Object Facility (MOF), the Unified Modeling Language (UML), and XML Metadata Interchange (XMI) for completeness. The rationale for including key MOF, UML and XMI terms is to be consistent in the definition and usage of fundamental object modeling as well as meta modeling constructs. This glossary builds on the UML 1.3, MOF 1.3, and XMI 1.1 glossaries.

Glossary entries are listed alphabetically. The new glossary entries have been marked (CWM) and mainly consist of data warehousing related terminology.

Scope

This glossary includes terms from the following sources:

- Meta Object Facility 1.3 specification [MOF]
- UML 1.3 specification [UML]
- XMI 1.1 specification [XMI]
- Object Management Architecture object model [OMA]
- CORBA 2.0 [CORBA]
- W3C XML 1.0 specification [XML]

Notation Conventions

The entries in the glossary usually begin with a lowercase letter. An initial uppercase letter is used when a word is usually capitalized in standard practice. Acronyms are all capitalized, unless they traditionally appear in all lowercase.
When brackets enclose one or more words in a multi-word term, it indicates that those words are optional when referring to the term. For example, *aggregate [class]* may be referred to as simply *aggregate*.

The following conventions are used in this glossary:

- **Contrast**: `<term>`. Refers to a term that has an opposed or substantively different meaning.
- **See**: `<term>`. Refers to a related term that has a similar, but not synonymous meaning.
- **Synonym**: `<term>`. Indicates that the term has the same meaning as another term, which is referenced.
- **Acronym**: `<term>`. This indicates that the term is an acronym. The reader is usually referred to the spelled-out term for the definition, unless the spelled-out term is rarely used.

The glossary is extensively cross-referenced to assist in the location of terms that may be found in multiple places.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abstract class</td>
<td>A class that cannot be instantiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abstraction</td>
<td>A group of essential characteristics of an entity that distinguish it from other entities. An abstraction defines a boundary relative to the perspective of the viewer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abstract language</td>
<td>A system of expression for expressing information that is independent of any particular human readable notation. Contrast: concrete language or notation. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actual parameter</td>
<td>Synonym: argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregate [class]</td>
<td>A class that represents the &quot;whole&quot; in an aggregation (whole-part) relationship. See: aggregation. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregation</td>
<td>A special form of association that specifies a whole-part relationship between the aggregate (whole) and a component part. See: composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>analysis</td>
<td>A phase of the software development process whose primary purpose is to formulate a model of the problem domain. Analysis focuses on what to do, design focuses on how to do it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>analysis time</td>
<td>Refers to something that occurs during an analysis phase of the software development process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annotation</td>
<td>Synonym: note. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any</td>
<td>A CORBA primitive data type. A strongly typed “universal union” type that can contain any value whose type is a CORBA data type. This data type is typically used in CORBA IDL when it is not possible to choose an appropriate type at the time the interface is defined. Use of CORBA anys entails dynamic type checking, and extra overheads in value transmission. See strong typing, dynamic typing, TypeCode. (CORBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>architecture</td>
<td>The organizational structure of a system. An architecture can be recursively decomposed into parts that interact through interfaces, relationships that connect parts, and constraints on the way that parts can be assembled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>argument</td>
<td>A specific value corresponding to a parameter. Synonym: actual parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>array</td>
<td>1. A CORBA constructed data type. 2. A collection (1) whose type fixes the number of elements. The ordering and uniqueness properties of an array are indeterminate. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>artifact</td>
<td>A piece of information that is used or produced by a software development process. An artifact can be a model, a description, or a piece of software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>association</td>
<td>1. A semantic relationship two or more types describe a set of connections between their respective instances. (UML) 2. An association (1) between classes. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>A model element that defines an association (2) in an MOF metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>association end</td>
<td>See: association role.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssociationEnd</td>
<td>A model element that defines an association end in an MOF metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>association class</td>
<td>A modeling element that has both association and class properties. An association class can be seen as an association that also has class, or as a class that also has association properties. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>association role</td>
<td>The role that a type or class plays in an association. Synonym: association end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute</td>
<td>1. An attribute of an object is an identifiable association between the object and some other entity or entities. (OMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. An attribute is a named property of a type. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. An attribute is a named property of a class. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribute</td>
<td>A model element that defines an attribute in an MOF metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bag</td>
<td>An unordered collection in which duplicate members are allowed. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>base type</td>
<td>The base type of a collection (1) is the type (1) of its elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>behavior</td>
<td>The observable effects of an operation, including its results (MOF). Synonym: behavior (OMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>binary association</td>
<td>An association between two classes. The degenerate case of an n-ary association where “n” is two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>1. A UML enumeration type whose values are true and false. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. A CORBA primitive data type whose values are true and false. (CORBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boolean expression</td>
<td>An expression that evaluates to a boolean value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>builtin type</td>
<td>A type in a type system that is available as a predefined type in all instantiations of the type system; for example, “short” and “string” are builtin types in CORBA IDL. Contrast: primitive type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>business metadata</td>
<td>Business metadata is used to help end users understand and utilize the data in the warehouse, in business terms. It describes the business context and meaning of the warehouse data. (CWM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDATA section</td>
<td>A part of an XML Document in which any markup (e.g., tags) is not interpreted, but is passed to the application as is. (W3C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cardinality</td>
<td>The number of elements in a collection. Contrast: multiplicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class</td>
<td>1. A type (3) that characterizes objects that share the same attributes, operations, methods, relationships, and semantics. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. An implementation that can be instantiated to create multiple objects with the same behavior. Types classify objects according to a common interface; classes classify objects according to a common implementation. (OMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>A model element that defines a class (1) in an MOF metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classifier</td>
<td>1. A category of UML model elements that roughly correspond to types in programming languages. The category includes association classes, classes (1), data types (2), interfaces, subsystems and use cases. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. The category of MOF model elements analogous to classifier (1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classifier level</td>
<td>In MOF metamodels and UML models, this label indicates that the labelled feature is common to all instances of its classifier. For example, a classifier level attribute of a class is common to all instances of the class. Synonym: static. Contrast: instance level. (UML, MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class diagram</td>
<td>A UML diagram that shows a collection of declarative (static) model elements, such as classes, types, and their contents and relationships. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class proxy</td>
<td>An MOF metaobject that carries the classifier level attributes and operations for an instance of an MOF class. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>client</td>
<td>A type, class, or component that requests a service from another type, class, or component. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>closure</td>
<td>The transitive closure of some object under some relationship or relationships.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| collection                 | 1. A group of values or objects. The values in a collection are often referred to as members or elements of the collection.  
  2. A collection (1) in which the members are instances of the same base type. The type of a collection is defined by the base type and a multiplicity. See: array, sequence, bag, set, list and unique list. (MOF) |
| compile time               | Indicates something that occurs during the compilation of a software module.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| component                  | An executable software module with an identity and a well-defined interface.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| composite [class]          | A class that is related to one or more classes by a composition relationship. See: composition.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| composite aggregation      | Synonym: composition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| composition                | A form of aggregation with strong ownership and coincident lifetime as part of the whole. Parts with non-fixed multiplicity may be created after the composite itself, but once created they live and die with it; that is, they share lifetimes. Such parts can also be explicitly removed before the death of the composite. Composition may be recursive. Synonym: composite aggregation. (UML) |
| concrete class             | A class that can be directly instantiated. Contrast: abstract class                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| concrete language          | Synonym: notation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| constraint                 | A semantic condition or restriction. Certain constraints are predefined, others may be user defined. Constraints may be expressed in natural language or a formal language. (UML, MOF)                                                                                                                                                        |
| Constraint                 | A model element that defines a constraint on another element in an MOF metamodel. (MOF)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| container                  | 1. An entity that exists to contain other entities. See containment  
  2. An entity’s container is the entity that contains it.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| containment                | A form of aggregation that is similar to composition. The fundamental properties of containment are:  
  • an entity can have at most one container at any given time, and  
  • an entity cannot directly or indirectly contain itself.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>containment hierarchy</td>
<td>A containment hierarchy is a tree-shaped graph of entities, consisting of a root entity and all other entities that are directly or indirectly contained by it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>containment matrix</td>
<td>A set of constraints on a containment relationship (expressible as a matrix of boolean values) that determine what other kinds of entities a given kind of entity can contain. For example, the MOF Model definition includes such a matrix to specify which concrete subclasses of ModelElement can be contained by each concrete subclass of Namespace. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORBA</td>
<td>Acronym: The Common Object Request Broker Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORBA IDL</td>
<td>Synonym: IDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWM</td>
<td>Acronym: Common Warehouse Metamodel. The OMG specification for representing and managing warehouse metadata. (CWM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| data | 1. A representation of information.  
2. Items representing facts, text, graphics, images, sound, and video. Data is the raw material of a system supplied by data producers and is used by information consumers to create information. (CWM) |
| data analysis tools | Software that provides a logical view of data in a data warehouse. (CWM) |
| data element | The most elementary unit of data that can be identified and described in a system. (CWM) |
| data management | Controlling, protecting, and facilitating access to data in order to provide information consumers with timely access to the data they need. (CWM) |
| data transformation | Creating information from data. This includes decoding operational data and merging of data from multiple operational data sources. (CWM) |
| data type | A type whose values have no identity. The data types in a type system are typically into the primitive built-in types, and constructed types such as enumerations and so on. |
| DataType | A model element that defines a data type on another element in an MOF metamodel. (MOF) |
| data warehouse | An implementation of an informational database used to store sharable data sourced from an operational database. (CWM) |
| dependency | 1. A relationship between two entities in which a change to an aspect of one entity affects the other (dependent) entity in some way.  
2. A dependency (1) between two modeling elements such that a change to an element changes the meaning of the dependent element. (UML, MOF) |
| derived attribute | An pseudo-attribute whose value is not stored explicitly as part of an object, but is calculated from another state when required. Derived attributes can also be updated. (MOF) |
| derived association | A pseudo-association whose component links are not stored explicitly, but are calculated from another state when queried. Derived associations can also be updated. (MOF) |
| **derived element** | 1. A model element whose value can be computed from another element, but that is shown for clarity or that is included for design purposes even though it adds no semantic information. (UML)  
2. An element in a metamodel that is derived from other metamodel elements, and yet is visible in the interfaces produced by an object mapping. See derived attribute, derived association. (MOF) |
| **design** | The phase of the software development process whose primary purpose is to decide how the system will be implemented. During the design phase, strategic and tactical decisions are made to meet the required functional and quality requirements of a system. |
| **design time** | Refers to something that occurs during a design phase of the software development process. Contrast: analysis time. |
| **development process** | A set of partially ordered steps performed for a given purpose during software development, such as constructing models or implementing models. |
| **diagram** | A graphical presentation of a collection of model elements, most often rendered as a connected graph of arcs (relationships) and vertices (other model elements). |
| **document element** | See root element. (XML) |
| **Document Type Definition** | See DTD (XML) |
| **domain** | An area of knowledge or activity characterized by a set of concepts and terminology understood by practitioners in that area. |
| **dynamic typing** | A category of type safety that can only be enforced by dynamic type checking. Type systems with dynamic typing are more expressive than those with static typing only at the cost of run time overheads and potential type errors. Contrast: static typing. |
| **dynamic type checking** | A type checking activity that occurs at run time. Contrast: static type checking. |
| **DTD** | A set of rules governing the element types that are allowed within an XML document and rules specifying the allowed content and attributes of each element type. The DTD also declares all the external entities referenced within the document and the notations that can be used. (XML) |
| **EBNF** | Acronym: Extended Backus-Naur Form. A widely used notation for expressing grammars. |
| **element** | 1. An atomic constituent of a model. Synonym: model element. (MOF, UML)  
2. A logical unit of information in an XML document. An XML element consists of a start tag, an element content, and a matching end tag. (XML) |
| **Element attributes** | The name-value pairs that can appear within the start tag of an element (2). (XML) |
| **element content** | The elements or text that is contained between the start tag and end tag of an element. (XML) |
| **element type** | A particular type of element, such as a paragraph in a document or a class in an XMI encoded metamodel. The element type is indicated by the name that occurs in its start-tag and end-tag. (XML) |
| **empty string** | A string with zero characters. |
| **end tag** | A tag that marks the end of an element, such as </Model>. See start tag. (XML) |
| **entity** | 1. A “thing.”  
2. An item of interest in a system being modeled. |
| **enumeration** | 1. A type that is defined as a finite list of named values. For example, Color = {Red, Green, Blue}. (UML)  
2. A kind of constructed data type in the CORBA type system. (CORBA) |
| **export** | 1. To transmit a description of an object to an external entity. (OMA)  
2. In the context of packages, to make an element visible outside of its enclosing namespace. See: visibility, import (2). (UML) |
| **expression** | A formula in some language that can be evaluated in some context to give a value. For example, the expression (7 + 5 * 3) evaluates to 22. |
| **extent** | The set of objects that belong to an MOF package instance, class proxy, or association instance. (MOF) |
| **feature** | A (meta-)model element that defines part of another (meta-)model element. For example a UML class has attributes and operations as features. (UML, MOF) |
| **formal language** | A language with a specified syntax and meaning. |
| **formal parameter** | Synonym: parameter. |
| **framework** | A micro-architecture that provides an extensible template for applications within a specific domain. (UML) |
| **frozen** | Synonym: immutable. (MOF) |
| **grammar** | A formal specification of the syntax of a language. |
| **generalizable element** | A model element that may participate in a generalization relationship. See: generalization. (UML) |
| **generalization** | A taxonomic relationship between a more general element and a more specific element. The more specific element is fully consistent with the more general element and contains additional information. An instance of the more specific element may be used where the more general element is allowed. See: specialization |
| **generic interface** | Interfaces that are shared by all MOF metaobjects. See Reflective. Contrast: specific interfaces. (MOF) |
| **HTML** | Acronym: Hyper Text Markup Language. A language for associating visual markup and hyperlinks with textual information that is one of the cornerstones of the World Wide Web. HTML is a particular application of SGML. (W3C) |
| **Identifier** | A value that denotes an instance with identity. See: name, object reference. |
| **identity** | “Thingness.” An instance has identity if it can be distinguished from other instances irrespective of its component values. For example, objects have identity but numbers do not. |
| **IDL** | 1. Acronym: Interface Definition Language. The OMG language for specifying CORBA object interfaces. (OMA)  
2. An interface specification in CORBA IDL (1) - colloquial. |
| **IDL mapping** | 1. A mapping of the design expressed in a model onto CORBA IDL.  
2. An IDL mapping (1) defined in the MOF standard that maps an MOF metamodel into CORBA IDL for metaobjects that represent metadata for the metamodel. |
| **immutable** | The property of an entity or value that it will never change. For example, the number 42 is immutable. Synonym: frozen. Contrast: read only. (MOF) |
| **implementation** | 1. An artifact that is the realization of an abstraction in more concrete terms. For example, a class is an implementation of a type, a method is an implementation of an operation. (UML)  
2. A realization of a design object in engineering technology; for example, IDL or program source code.  
3. The process of producing an implementation (1)(2). |
| **implementation inheritance** | The use of inheritance to produce one implementation artifact from another implementation artifact. Implementation inheritance presupposes interface inheritance. |
| **import** | 1. To create an object based on a description of an object transmitted from an external entity. See import (1). (OMA)  
2. In the context of package, a dependency that shows the packages whose classes may be referenced within a given package (including packages recursively embedded within it). Contrast: export (2). (UML)  
3. A relationship between packages in an MOF metamodel that makes the contents of the imported package visible within the importing package. (MOF) |
| **Import** | A model element that in an MOF metamodel specifies that one package imports another package. (MOF) |
| **information** | 1. The conjunction of data and structure. For example, facts.  
2. Data that has been processed in such a way that it can increase the knowledge of the person who receives it. (CWM) |
| **information consumer** | A person or software service that uses data to create information. (CWM) |
| **information set** | A domain-specific extension of OLAP that defines logical structures for raw data collection from mainly human sources; for example, questionnaire, report form. (CWM) |
| **inheritance** | The mechanism by which more specific elements incorporate structure and behavior of more general elements related by behavior. See generalization. (UML, MOF) |
| **instance** | 1. An instance of a type (1) is some value that satisfies the type predicate. (ODP)  
2. An object created by instantiating a class. (OMA)  
3. An entity to which a set of operations can be applied and which has a state that stores the effects of the operation. (UML) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>instance level</td>
<td>In MOF metamodels and UML models, this label indicates that the labelled feature is common to all instances of its classifier. For example, a classifier level attribute of a class is common to all instances of the class. Contrast: classifier level. (UML, MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instantiate</td>
<td>The act or process of making an instance of something. See: reify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interface</td>
<td>A type (1) that describes the externally visible behavior common to a set of objects. An interface includes the signatures of any operations common to all of the objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interface inheritance</td>
<td>The inheritance of the interface of a more specific element. This does not imply inheritance of behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>introspection</td>
<td>A style of programming in which a program is able to examine parts of its own definition. Contrast: reflection (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>invariant</td>
<td>A constraint on an entity or group of entities that must hold at all times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge</td>
<td>The conjunction of information with some aspect of understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>language</td>
<td>A means of expression. See abstract language, concrete language, natural language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>link</td>
<td>A semantic connection between a tuple of objects. An instance of an association. See: association.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>link role</td>
<td>An instance of an association role. See: link, role.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>A collection in which the order of the contents is significant, and duplicates are allowed. An ordered collection. See: Set, Array, Unique list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lumpy cube</td>
<td>A jagged multidimensional array. A cube whose dimensionality changes dynamically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>markup</td>
<td>Information that is intermingled with the text of an XML document to indicate its logical and physical structure. (XML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>member</td>
<td>Synonym: feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meta-</td>
<td>A prefix that denotes a Describes relationship. For example, “metadata” describes “data.” (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metadata</td>
<td>1. Data that describes other data. A constituent of a model. (MOF) 2. An inclusive term for metadata (1), meta-metadata and meta-meta-metadata. (XMI) 3. Metadata is data about data. Examples of metadata include data element descriptions, data type descriptions, attribute/property descriptions, range/domain descriptions, and process/method descriptions. (CWM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meta-level</td>
<td>The level of “meta-”ness of a concept in a metadata framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meta-metadata</td>
<td>Data that describes metadata. A constituent of a metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meta-meta-metadata</td>
<td>Data that describes meta-metadata. A constituent of a meta-metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meta-metamodel</td>
<td>A model that defines an abstract language for expressing metamodels. The relationship between a meta-metamodel and a metamodel is analogous to the relationship between a metamodel and a model. See: MOF Model, the. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>metamodel</strong></td>
<td>A model that defines an <em>abstract language</em> for expressing other models. An instance of a meta-metamodel. See: MOF metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>metamodel elaboration</strong></td>
<td>The process of generating a repository type from a published metamodel. Can includes the generation of interfaces and repository implementations for the metamodel being elaborated. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>metaobject</strong></td>
<td>1. An object that represents metadata (2). (MOF) 2. Often, an MOF metaobject. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>metaobject protocol</strong></td>
<td>A reflection (1) technology in which a program can alter the behavior of the instances of a class by sending a message to its metaclass. This style of reflection is not part of the MOF specification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meta Object Facility, the</strong></td>
<td>See: MOF, the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>method</strong></td>
<td>The implementation of an operation. The algorithm or procedure that effects the results of an operation. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>model</strong></td>
<td>1. A semantically closed abstraction of a system. See: <em>system</em>. (UML) 2. A semantically closed collection of metadata described by a single metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>model aspect</strong></td>
<td>A dimension of modeling that emphasizes particular qualities of the metamodel. For example, the structural model aspect emphasizes the structural qualities of the metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>model element</strong></td>
<td>Synonym: element. (MOF, UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ModelElement</strong></td>
<td>The abstract superclass of all model elements in an MOF metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>modeling time</strong></td>
<td>Refers to something that occurs during a modeling phase of the software development process. It includes analysis time and design time. Usage note: When discussing object systems it is often important to distinguish between modeling-time and run-time concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>module</strong></td>
<td>A software unit of storage and manipulation. Modules include source code modules, binary code modules, and executable code modules. See: component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MODL</strong></td>
<td>Acronym: Meta Object Definition Language. A textual language developed by DSTC that can be used to define MOF metamodels. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF, the</strong></td>
<td>1. Acronym: Meta Object Facility. The OMG adopted standard for representing and managing metadata. (MOF) 2. A metadata service that implements the MOF, the (1) specification. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF-based model</strong></td>
<td>Synonym: MOF model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF-based metamodel</strong></td>
<td>Synonym: MOF metamodel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF meta-metamodel</strong></td>
<td>Synonym: MOF Model, the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF metamodel</strong></td>
<td>A metamodel whose meta-metamodel is the MOF Model. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF model</strong></td>
<td>A model (2) whose metamodel is an MOF metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF Model, the</strong></td>
<td>The MOF Model is the standard meta-metamodel that is used to describe all MOF metamodels. It is defined in the MOF specification. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiple inheritance</strong></td>
<td>A kind of inheritance in which a type may have more than one supertype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **multiplicity**         | 1. A specification of the range of allowable cardinalities that a set may assume. Multiplicity specifications may be given for roles within associations, parts within composites, repetitions, and other purposes. Essentially a multiplicity is a (possibly infinite) subset of the non-negative integers. (UML)  
2. A specification of the allowable cardinalities of the values of an attribute, parameter, or association end, along with its uniqueness and orderedness. In the MOF, the allowable cardinalities of a multiplicity must form a contiguous subrange of the non-negative integers. (MOF) |
| **multi-valued**         | A ModelElement with multiplicity said to be multi-valued when the ‘upper’ bound of its multiplicity is greater than one. The term does not refer to the number of values held by an attribute, parameter, etc., at any point in time, but rather to the number of values that it can have at one time. Contrast: single-valued. (MOF) |
| **n-ary association**    | An association involving three or more classes. Each link of the association is an n-tuple of values from the respective classes. |
| **name**                 | 1. A human readable identifier. See: identifier.  
2. The name (1) of a model element. (MOF, UML) |
| **namespace**            | 1. A mapping from names (1) to entities denoted by those names  
2. An element of a metamodel whose primary purpose is to act as a namespace (1) for element names. (MOF) |
| **Namespace**            | The abstract class in the MOF model that is the supertype of those classes that act as namespaces (2). The Namespace class also provides element containment in the MOF Model. (MOF) |
| **natural language**     | A language that has no specification. A language that has evolved for human to human communication; for example, English, Sanskrit, American Sign Language. |
| **nested package**       | A package that is defined as contained by another package in an MOF metamodel. An instances of a nested package can only exist in the context of an instance of its enclosing package. (MOF) |
| **node**                 | 1. A component in a network. A network consists of nodes connected by edges.  
2. A run-time physical object that represents a computational resource, generally having at least a memory and often processing capability as well. Run-time objects and components may reside on nodes. (UML) |
<p>| <strong>notation</strong>             | A system of human readable (textual or graphical) symbols and constructs for expressing information. |
| <strong>note</strong>                 | A comment attached to an element or a collection of elements. A note has no semantics. (UML) |
| <strong>object</strong>               | An entity with a well-defined boundary and identity that encapsulates state and behavior. State is represented by attributes and relationships, behavior is represented by operations and methods. An object is an instance of a class. (MOF, UML) |
| <strong>object reference</strong>     | An identifier for an object, typically a CORBA object. (OMA) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCL</strong></td>
<td>Acronym: Object Constraint Language. A pure expression language that is a non-normative part of the UML specification (ad/99-06-08) that is designed for expressing constraints. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OLAP</strong></td>
<td>On-Line Analytical Processing. OLAP uses a multidimensional view of aggregate data to provide quick access to strategic information for further analysis. OLAP and data warehouses are complementary. A data warehouse stores and manages data. OLAP transforms this data into strategic information. (CWM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>operation</strong></td>
<td>A service that can be requested from an object to effect behavior. An operation has a signature, which may restrict the actual parameters that are possible. (MOF, UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>operation database</strong></td>
<td>The operational database contains detailed data used to run the day-to-day operations of a business. It is the source of data for the data warehouse. (CWM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ordered collection</strong></td>
<td>A collection that is ordered. See ordering. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ordering</strong></td>
<td>A property of collections. A collection is ordered if the sequence in which the elements appear needs to be preserved. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>package</strong></td>
<td>A mechanism for organizing the elements of a model or metamodel into groups. Packages may be nested within other packages. (MOF, UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Package</strong></td>
<td>The class in the MOF Model that describes a package in a metamodel. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>package cluster</strong></td>
<td>A package that groups together a number of packages so that a set of instances of those packages can form a single extent. A package composition mechanism. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>package consolidation</strong></td>
<td>Synonym: package cluster. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>package importing</strong></td>
<td>See: import (3). A package composition mechanism. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>package inheritance</strong></td>
<td>A generalization relationship between packages. Analogous to interface inheritance for classes. A package composition mechanism. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>package nesting</strong></td>
<td>Defining one package inside another. A package composition mechanism. See: nested package. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **parameter** | 1. A place holder for a value that can be changed, passed, or returned by a computation.  
   A parameter typically consists of a parameter name, a type, and attributes that specify the information passing semantics for actual parameters. Synonym: formal parameter. Contrast: actual parameter, argument.  
   2. A parameter (1) of an operation or exception. (CORBA, MOF)  
   3. A parameter (1) of an operation, message, or event. (UML) |
<p>| <strong>postcondition</strong> | A constraint that must be true at the completion of a computation. |
| <strong>precondition</strong> | A constraint that must be true at the start of a computation. |
| <strong>primitive type</strong> | A type from which other types may be constructed, but that is not constructed from other types. See type system. |
| <strong>product</strong> | The artifacts of development, such as models, code, documentation, work plans. (UML) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>profile</strong></th>
<th>A simplified subset of a language or a metamodel.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **projection** | 1. A primitive operation in relational algebra that produces a relation by “slicing” one or more columns from another relation.  
2. The set of MOF class instances that is visible via the reference operations of a class instance. For a class X, an n-ary association A(X,Y₁, ... Yₙ₋₁) and an instance x ∈ X then the expression  

\[
\text{PROJECT} [Y₁, ... Yₙ₋₁] \left( \text{SELECT} A \text{ WHERE } X = x \right)
\]

defines the set of links. In the binary case, the set is a set of instances. (MOF)  
3. A mapping from a set to a subset. (UML) |
| **property** | 1. A characteristic of an entity.  
2. A property (1) that is represented as a mapping from an entity and a property name to a value for the property. See tagged value. (UML) |
| **pseudo-code** | An informal description of an algorithm in a language whose meaning is not fully defined. |
| **published (meta-)model** | A (meta-)model that has been frozen, and made available for use. For example, a published metamodel can be used to instantiate repositories and can be safely reused in other metamodels. |
| **read only** | Describes an object or attribute for which no explicit update operations are provided. (MOF) |
| **recursive** | See recursive. |
| **reference** | 1. An identifier.  
2. A use of a model element. (UML, MOF)  
3. A feature of a class that allows a client to navigate from one instance to another via association links. See projection (2). (MOF) |
| **Reference** | A model element that defines a *reference* in an MOF metamodel. (MOF) |
| **reflection** | 1. A style of programming in which a program is able to alter its own execution model.  
A reflective program can create new classes and modify existing ones in its own execution. Examples of reflection technology are metaobject protocols and callable compilers.  
2. In the MOF, reflection characterizes what happens when a client examines and updates metadata without compile time knowledge of its metamodel. (MOF) |
| **reflective** | Describes something that uses or supports reflection. |
| **reflective interfaces** | Synonym: generic interface. (MOF) |
| **Reflective** | The name of the CORBA IDL module containing the MOF’s reflective interfaces. (MOF) |
| **reify** | To produce an object representation of some information. |
| **relation** | A collection of relationships (1) with the same roles. A relation is typically pictured as a two dimensional table with the rows representing relationship tuples, and the columns representing the roles and their values. |
| **relationship** | 1. A semantic connection between 2 or more entities where each entity fills a distinct role. A relationship is typically expressed as a tuple.  
2. Colloquially, a relation.  
3. A relationship (1) between elements of a model. Examples include associations and generalizations (MOF, UML). |
| **repository** | 1. A logical container for metadata. (MOF)  
2. A distributed service that implements a repository (1). (MOF) |
| **requirement** | A desired feature, property (1), or behavior of a system. |
| **responsibility** | A contract or obligation of a type or class. (UML) |
| **reuse** | The act or process of taking a concept or artifact defined in one context and using it again in another context. |
| **role** | 1. A position in a relationship or column in a relation.  
2. The named specific behavior of an entity participating in a particular context. A role may be static (e.g., an association role) or dynamic (e.g., a collaboration role). (UML) |
| **run time** | The period of time during which a computer program executes. |
| **scope** | 1. A region of a specification in which a given identifier or entity may be used.  
2. An attribute of some features in the UML metamodel and MOF Model that determines if the feature is instance level or classifier level. (MOF, UML) |
| **sequence** | 1. A CORBA constructed data type. (CORBA)  
2. A collection whose data type does not specify ordering or uniqueness semantics. Differs from an array in that the number of elements is not fixed. (MOF) |
<p>| <strong>set</strong> | An unordered collection in which a given entity may appear at most once. |
| <strong>signature</strong> | The name and parameters of an operation. Parameters may include an optional returned parameter. (MOF) |
| <strong>single inheritance</strong> | A form of generalization in which a type may have only one supertype. |
| <strong>single-valued</strong> | A ModelElement with a multiplicity is called single-valued when its upper bound is equal to one. The term single-valued does not pertain to the number of values held by the corresponding feature of an instance at any point in time. For example, a single-valued attribute, with a multiplicity lower bound of zero may have no value. Contrast: multi-valued. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>specialization</strong></th>
<th>The reverse of a generalization relationship.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>specific interfaces</strong></td>
<td>An interface for metadata described by a given metamodel that is tailored to the abstract syntax of that metamodel. Contrast: generic interface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>specification</strong></td>
<td>A precise description that can or should be used to produce things.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Generalized Markup Language</strong></td>
<td>See: SGML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>start tag</strong></td>
<td>A tag that marks the beginning of an element, such as <code>&lt;Model&gt;</code>. Also see end-tag. (XMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>state</strong></td>
<td>The state of an object is the group of values that constitute its properties at a given point in time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>static</strong></td>
<td>In C++ or Java, a static attribute or a static member function is shared by all instances of a class. Synonym: classifier level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>static type checking</strong></td>
<td>Contrast: dynamic type checking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>static typing</strong></td>
<td>Contrast: dynamic typing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>strong typing</strong></td>
<td>A characteristic of a computational system that type failures are guaranteed not to occur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>stereotype</strong></td>
<td>A new type of modeling element that extends the semantics of the metamodel. Stereotypes must be based on certain existing types or classes in the metamodel. Stereotypes may extend the semantics, but not the structure of pre-existing types and classes. Certain stereotypes are predefined in the UML, others may be user defined. Stereotypes are one of three extendibility mechanisms in UML.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>string</strong></td>
<td>A sequence of text characters. The details of string representation depends on implementation, and may include character sets that support international characters and graphics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>subclass</strong></td>
<td>In a generalization relationship the specialization of another class, the superclass. See: generalization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>subtype</strong></td>
<td>In a generalization relationship the specialization of another type, the supertype. See: generalization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>subsystem</strong></td>
<td>A part of a system that it is meaningful to describe in isolation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>superclass</strong></td>
<td>In a generalization relationship the generalization of another class, the subclass. See: generalization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>supertype</strong></td>
<td>In a generalization relationship the generalization of another type, the subtype. See: generalization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>supplier</strong></td>
<td>A type, class, or component that provides services that can be invoked by others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>system</strong></td>
<td>A collection of connected units that are organized to accomplish a specific purpose. A system can be described by one or more models, possibly from different viewpoints. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tagged value</td>
<td>A representation of a property as a name-value pair. In a tagged value, the name is referred to as the tag. Certain tags are predefined; others may be user defined. (UML, MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technical metadata</td>
<td>Technical metadata, such as transformation mappings, is used to build and maintain the data warehouse processes. It describes the data used by various tools to store, manipulate, or move warehouse data. (CWM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technology mapping</td>
<td>A mapping that transforms a design expressed as a model or metamodel into implementation artifacts; for example, CORBA IDL or program source code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>top-level package</td>
<td>A package that is not nested in another package. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transitive closure</td>
<td>1. The transitive closure of the value ( v_0 ) in ( V ) under the mapping ( m : V \rightarrow V ) is defined by the following equation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ \text{TC}(v_0, m) \equiv { v \in V : (v = v_0) \lor (\exists v_i \in \text{TC}(v_0, m) : m(v_i) = v) } ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In other words, the set of all ( V )'s that are “reachable” from ( v_0 ) via the mapping. (Math)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. The transitive closure of an initial object under an association is the set of objects reachable from the initial object via extant links in the association. (MOF, XMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>1. A predicate characterizing a collection of entities. (RM-ODP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. A predicate defined over values that can be used to restrict a possible parameter or characterize a possible result. Synonym: type (1). (OMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. A stereotype of class that is used to specify a domain of instances (objects) together with the operations applicable to the objects. A type (3) may not contain methods. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type checking</td>
<td>A process that checks for programs or executions that could lead to type failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TypeCode</td>
<td>A CORBA primitive data type. The TypeCode type is used in CORBA to pass runtime descriptions of CORBA types. A CORBA any value contains a TypeCode to describe the embedded value's type. See any. (CORBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type error</td>
<td>An event that is triggered when type checking detects a situation that could lead to type failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type expression</td>
<td>An expression that evaluates to a reference to one or more types. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type failure</td>
<td>A type failure occurs when a computation erroneously uses a value thinking it has one type when it has a different (incompatible) type. The consequences of a type failure are often completely unpredictable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type loophole</td>
<td>A construct or artifice that allows a program to breach type safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type safety</td>
<td>A desirable property of a program or computation that type failures are guaranteed not to occur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type system</td>
<td>A language for expressing types (1). A type system is typically defined from a small set of primitive type and type constructors. See metamodel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typing</td>
<td>Synonym: type checking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>unique list</strong></td>
<td>An ordered collection in which no entity may appear more than once as a collection member; that is, a list in which duplicate elements are not allowed. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>uniqueness</strong></td>
<td>A property of collection types that determines whether a given element may appear more than once in the collection. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>unordered collection</strong></td>
<td>A collection in which the order in which the collection members appear has no significance. See ordering. (MOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UML, the</strong></td>
<td>Acronym: The Unified Modeling Language. (UML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UUID</strong></td>
<td>Acronym: Universally Unique IDentifier. An identifier that is guaranteed to be unique across all computer systems and across time, provided certain assumptions hold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>valid XML document</strong></td>
<td>An XML Document that conforms to its DTD. (XML)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **value**       | 1. An element of a type domain. (UML)  
2. An entity that can be a possible actual parameter in a request. (OMA) |
| **view**        | A projection (3) of a model, which is seen from a given perspective or vantage point and omits entities that are not relevant to this perspective. (UML) |
| **visibility**  | An attribute of a model element whose value (public, protected, private, or implementation) determines the extent to which the model element may be seen, and hence used, outside of the namespace in which it is defined. |
| **W3C, the**    | Acronym: the World Wide Web Consortium. The standards body that takes the lead in developing standards related to the Web; for example, HTML, HTTP, and XML. (XML) |
| **well formed XML document** | An XML document that consists of a single element containing properly nested subelements. All entity references within the document must refer to entities that have been declared in the DTD, or be one of a small set of default entities. (XML) |
| **XLink**       | An XML construct for representing links to external documents. See Xpointer. (XML) |
| **XMI**         | Acronym: XML-based Metadata Interchange. The adopted OMG standard for a metadata interchange format that is based on the W3C’s XML specification. (XMI) |
| **XML**         | Acronym: Extensible Markup Language. A profile of SGML. XML is the W3C standard for representing structured information; for example, web metadata. (XML) |
| **XML Declaration** | A processing instruction at the start of an XML document, which asserts that the document is an XML Document. (XML) |
| **XML Document** | An XML document consists of an optional XML Declaration, followed by an optional DTD, followed by a document element. (XML) |
| **XPointer**    | An XML construct for linking to an element, range of elements, or text region within the same XML document. (XML-Link 6) |
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